

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC,
INNOPHARMA INC., INNOPHARMA LLC,
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.
Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and
BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,669,290 to Sawa *et al.*

Issue Date: March 11, 2014

Title: Aqueous Liquid Preparation Containing 2-Amino-3-(4-
bromobenzoyl) Phenylacetic Acid

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2015-00902

MOTION FOR JOINDER PURSUANT TO
35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	2
III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	3
A. Joinder will not impact the Board’s ability to complete the review in a timely manner	4
B. Joinder will promote efficiency by consolidating issues, avoiding duplicate efforts, and preventing inconsistencies	6
C. Joinder will not prejudice Senju or Metrics	6
IV. CONCLUSION.....	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Dell, Inc. v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2013-00385	4, 6
<i>Motorola Mobility LLC v. SoftView LLC</i> , IPR2013- 00256	6
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 103	2, 3
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 3
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1).....	7
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....	5
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c).....	5, 7
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(a).....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	1
157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011).....	5
U.S. Patent No. 4,910,225.....	2
U.S. Patent No. 6,107,343.....	2
U.S. Patent No. 8,669,290.....	passim

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

InnoPharma Licensing Inc., InnoPharma Licensing LLC, InnoPharma Inc., InnoPharma LLC, Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Mylan Inc. (collectively “InnoPharma”) respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder, together with a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,669,290 (the “’290 Patent”) (“Petition”). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b), InnoPharma requests institution of an *inter partes* review and joinder with the *inter partes* review concerning the same patent in *Metrics, Inc., Mayne Pharma, and Johnson Matthey, Inc. v. Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Bausch & Lomb, Inc., and Bausch & Lomb Pharma Holdings Corp.*, Case No. IPR2014-01043 (the “Metrics IPR”), which was instituted on February 19, 2015.

In accordance with the Board’s Representative Order identifying matters to be addressed in a motion for joinder (Paper No. 15, IPR2013-00004, April 24, 2013), InnoPharma submits that: (1) joinder is appropriate because it will promote efficient determination of the validity of the ’290 Patent without prejudice to Metrics, Inc., Mayne Pharma, and Johnson Matthey, Inc. (collectively, “Metrics”) or Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Bausch & Lomb, Inc., and Bausch & Lomb Pharma Holdings Corp. (collectively “Senju”) (*See, e.g.*, Paper No. 10, IPR2013-00256, June 20, 2013 (granting motion for joinder under similar circumstances));

(2) InnoPharma's Petition raises the same grounds of unpatentability as Metrics IPR; (3) joinder would not affect the pending schedule in the Metrics IPR nor increase the complexity of that proceeding, minimizing costs; and (4) InnoPharma is willing to agree to consolidated filings with Metrics to minimize burden and schedule impact.

This Motion for Joinder is timely under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b), as it is submitted within one month of February 19, 2015, the date on which the Metrics IPR was instituted.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. Senju Pharmaceutical, Co., Ltd., is the owner of the '290 Patent.
2. On November 3, 2014, Senju Pharmaceutical, Co., Ltd., et al. filed a complaint against Innopharma for infringement of the '290 Patent (the "Underlying Litigation").
3. On June 26, 2014, Metrics filed its petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1-30 of the '290 Patent.
4. On February 19, 2015, a decision instituting *inter partes* review of claims 1-30 of the '290 Patent was entered in the Metrics IPR (Paper No. 19, IPR 2014-01043) on the grounds that claims 1-30 were unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,910,225 ("Ogawa") and U.S. Patent No. 6,107,343 ("Sallmann") under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (Paper No. 19, IPR 2014-01043).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.