

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:

U.S. Patent No. 6,886,956

Filed: Nov. 18, 2002

Issued: May 3, 2005

Inventors: PARKER, Jeffery R., et al.

Assignee: Innovative Display Technologies,
LLC

Title: LIGHT EMITTING PANEL
ASSEMBLIES FOR USE IN AUTOMOTIVE
APPLICATIONS AND THE LIKE

Trial No.: IPR2015-00896

Attorney Docket: 04305.9001-01

Panel: Not Yet Assigned

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF JOHN L. WEST, Ph.D.

I, John Lawton West, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I have been retained by Toyota Motor Corporation (“Petitioner”) to provide expert opinions in connection with the above-captioned inter partes review being requested by Petitioner. A summary of my opinions appears below.

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Background and Experience	1
III.	Materials Reviewed	3
IV.	Guiding Legal Principles	3
	A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	3
	B. Anticipation Invalidity	4
	C. Obviousness Invalidity	5
V.	Overview of the '956 Patent	6
VI.	Explanation of the References	9
	A. Katase, Japanese Laid-Open Utility Model Application No. JP H5-25602U	9
	B. Pristash, U.S. Patent No. 5,005,108	12
	C. Shinkai, Japanese Utility Model Publication No. JP H1-92004U	14
VII.	Obviousness Combinations	16
	A. Obviousness of combining the teachings of Katase and Pristash to position a lens “against” a light guide	16
	B. Obviousness of combining the teachings of Shinkai and Katase to use an LED light source	18
	C. Obviousness of combining the teachings of Shinkai, Katase, and Pristash to position a lens “against” a light guide	18
VIII.	Secondary Considerations of Obviousness.....	20

I. Introduction

1. I have been retained by Toyota Motor Corporation (“Toyota” or “Petitioner”) as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Although I am being compensated at my standard rate of \$400.00 per hour for the time I spend on this matter, no part of my compensation depends on the outcome of this proceeding, and I have no other interest in this proceeding.

2. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 6,886,956 (“the ’956 patent”) (attached as Ex. 1001).

3. I have been asked to render certain opinions regarding the ’956 patent and whether certain references would disclose or suggest certain features in the claims of the ’956 patent to a person of ordinary skill in the art. The substance and bases of my opinions appear below.

II. Background and Experience

4. My curriculum vitae, which includes a more detailed summary of my background, experience, and publications, is attached as Attachment A.

5. I was awarded a B.S. in chemistry from the College of William and Mary in 1976, and an M.S. and Ph.D. in Chemistry from Carnegie Mellon-University in 1979 and 1980. I am a University Trustee Professor at Kent State University, where I teach and conduct research in the fields of liquid crystal materials and devices.

6. My teaching includes a graduate-level course titled “Chemical Physics of Electro-Optic Polymers,” which covers subjects ranging from the basics of polymerization to the optics of polymer films, including edge-illuminated panels and light-emitting diodes (LEDs). I also teach an honors-level course titled “Be Smarter than Your Phone,” which surveys the technologies that make the smart phone possible and assesses the impact on business and communications.
7. My research includes developing a novel means of producing transparent conducting electrodes on plastic substrates required for displays and touch screens, developing responsive fibers used to integrate displays and electronics in clothing and medical sensors, and exploring the mechanism of chiral induction in liquid crystals.
8. I am named as an inventor on 19 separate patents and patent applications, which cover various technologies relating primarily to liquid crystal applications.
9. Since 1984, I have served as a Senior Research Fellow at the Liquid Crystal Institute (“LCI”) at Kent State University, also serving as Associate Director from 1990-1996, and as Director from 1997-2003. The LCI is recognized as the world’s leading research center in the field of liquid crystals. The LCI includes over 50 faculty members from departments across Kent State University, including chemistry, physics, biology, mathematics, and computer science. I concurrently served as Director of the Chemical Physics Interdisciplinary Program (“CPIP”) at Kent State from 1997-2003, and of the NSF Science and Technology Center for Advanced Liquid Crystalline Optical Materials (“ALCOM”) from 1997 to 2002. During my

tenure as Director of the LCI, CPIP, and ALCOM, I established and oversaw an industrial partnership that engaged over 60 companies from across the nation and around the world, fostered the transfer of technology from lab to market, supervised the design of a 65,000 square-foot research and education facility and received an NSF Pioneer Award in 2003 for my leadership of ALCOM.

10. My work with the LCI covered a broad range of subjects, including subjects relating to automotive applications. For example, I collaborated with scientists from General Motors on research from June 1986 to May 1989 in a significant sponsored program. The research studied certain optics issues generally related to sun roofs for vehicles, and resulted in at least three papers coauthored by me and General Motors scientists.

III. Materials Reviewed

11. The opinions set forth in this declaration are based on my education, my experience and the documents listed in Attachment B, which I reviewed in forming my opinions.

IV. Guiding Legal Principles

A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art

12. I am informed that a “person of ordinary skill in the art” (“POSITA”) refers to a hypothetical person who is presumed to have known the relevant art at the time of the invention. Many factors may determine the level of ordinary skill in the art, including: (1) the type of problems encountered in the art, (2) prior art solutions to

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.