

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Ciena Corporation,
Coriant Operations, Inc. (formerly Tellabs Operations, Inc.),
Coriant (USA) Inc., and
Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc.

Petitioners

v.

Capella Photonics, Inc.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00894
Patent RE42,678

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. IN VIEW OF DIFFERENT ARGUMENTS ON THE RECORD, THE BOARD SHOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY INSTITUTE AND JOIN THE PROCEEDING WITH IPR2014-01276 9

III. BACKGROUND 10

 A. Optical Circulators Limited the Scalability of Optical Switches 11

 B. The '678 Patent Discloses a Scalable Switch with Multiple Ports 12

 C. Claims 15

IV. CLAIMS 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46, 53, AND 61-65 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF BOUEVITCH, SMITH, AND LIN 16

 A. Petitioners Improperly Conflate Two Disparate Embodiments of Bouevitch—Modifying Means 150 and MEMS Array 50—Without Providing *KSR* Rationale 16

 B. A POSA Would Not Have Combined Bouevitch and Smith 23

 1. Bouevitch Modifying Means is Based on Polarization, such that Adding Smith’s Mirrors Would Disrupt Switching 26

 2. Using Smith’s Tilttable Mirrors in Bouevitch Would Disrupt Bouevitch’s Explicit Teaching of Parallel Alignment 27

 3. Absent Hindsight, a POSA Would Not Have Used a More Complex Two-Axis Mirror to Achieve the Same Function as a One-Axis Mirror 31

 C. Bouevitch Does Not Teach or Suggest “Multiple Fiber Collimators, Providing an Input Port . . . and a Plurality of Output Ports” as Recited in Independent Claims 1, 21, 44, and 61 32

1.	Proper Meaning of the Term “Port” as Recited in the ’678 Patent Claims	33
2.	The ’678 Patent Disavows Circulator Ports from Meeting the Claimed Ports	35
3.	The Meaning of the Term “Port” as Recited in the Claims was Understood by a POSA	39
4.	Bouevitch at Most has Two Ports as Recited in the ’678 Patent Claims	40
D.	The Bouevitch Figure 11 Configuration Does Not Reflect Light Beams Into the Circulator Ports	41
E.	The Applied References Do Not Teach or Suggest Micromirrors Being Pivotal About Two Axes and Being Continuously Controllable as Recited in Independent Claims 1, 44, and 61	42
1.	Petitioners Concede that Bouevitch Does Not Teach or Suggest Micromirrors Being Pivotal About Two Axes and Being Continuously Controllable	43
2.	Smith Does Not Meet the Claimed Micromirrors Being Pivotal About Two Axes and Being Continuously Controllable	44
3.	Lin’s One-Axis Mirror Does Not Meet the Claimed Micromirrors Being Pivotal About Two Axes and Being Continuously Controllable	47
4.	Petitioners Fail to Provide <i>KSR</i> Rationale for Combining Smith and Lin	51
V.	A POSA WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO USE DUECK’S DIFFRACTION GRATING IN BOUEVITCH	51
VI.	PETITIONERS DO NOT EXPLAIN HOW OR WHY A POSA WOULD HAVE INCORPORATED SMITH’S ALLEGED SERVO CONTROL INTO BOUEVITCH	53

VII. THE PORTIONS OF SMITH PETITIONERS RELY ON DO NOT HAVE
§ 119(E) SUPPORT TO PRE-DATE THE '368 PATENT55

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Alloc, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003)35

Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. v. Cordis Corp.,
554 F.3d 982 (Fed. Cir. 2009)17

Cisco Systems, Inc. v. Capella Photonics, Inc.,
IPR2014-01276 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 18, 2015)..... 10, 23

Facebook, Inc. v. Software Rights Archive, LLC,
IPR2013-00479 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 2, 2015).....22

In re Chaganti,
554 F. App’x 917 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....17

In re Giacomini,
612 F.3d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2010)55

JDS Uniphase Corp. v. Fiber, LLC,
IPR2013-00318 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 5, 2014) 6, 23

JDS Uniphase Corp. v. Fiber, LLC,
IPR2013-00336 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 5, 2013)24

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....17

Mitsubishi Plastics, Inc. v. Celgard, LLC,
IPR2014-00524 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 21, 2014).....1, 9

Nat’l Envm’t Prods. Ltd. v. Dri-Steem Corp.,
IPR2014-01503 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 1, 2015)17

SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advances Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)35

*Securus Techs, Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp.*,
IPR2015-00153 (P.T.A.B. May 1, 2015)55

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.