Filed on behalf of: Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP Ltd.

Entered: March 2, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. *Petitioner*

v.

MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS IP LTD., Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00889 U.S. Patent No. 8,573,209 B2

Before KEN B. BARRETT, MICHAEL J. FITZPATRICK, AND SCOTT A. DANIELS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Mallinckrodt Hospital Products IP Ltd. ("Patent Owner") submits the following objections to evidence submitted by Praxair Distribution, Inc. ("Petitioner"). Petitioner's objections apply equally to Petitioner's reliance on this evidence in any subsequently-filed documents or further proceedings in this matter. These objections are timely, having been served within five business days of service of Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response (Paper 40) in this proceeding.

Notwithstanding these objections, Patent Owner expressly reserves the right to rely on any evidence submitted by Petitioner, including on the ground that such evidence constitutes a party admission.

Objections

Exhibit 1021

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1021 to the extent that it is irrelevant to this proceeding and/or unfairly prejudicial. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1023 to the extent that it constitutes hearsay. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 801-803.

Exhibit 1022

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1022 to the extent that it is not an accurate transcription of the deposition of Warren P. Heim. Mr. Heim has not yet signed the transcript from his deposition in this matter.



Case IPR2015-00889

U.S. Patent No. 8,573,209

Exhibit 1023

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1023 to the extent that it is irrelevant to this

proceeding and/or unfairly prejudicial. See Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. Patent Owner

further objects to Exhibit 1023 to the extent that it is unauthenticated and/or

constitutes hearsay. See Fed. R. Evid. 801-803, 901.

Exhibit 1024

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1024 to the extent that it is irrelevant to this

proceeding and/or unfairly prejudicial. See Fed. R. Evid. 401-403. Patent Owner

further objects to Exhibit 1024 to the extent that it is unauthenticated and/or

constitutes hearsay. See Fed. R. Evid. 801-803, 901. Patent Owner further objects

to Exhibit 1024 to the extent it violates the Best Evidence Rule. See Fed. R. Evid.

1002.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 2, 2016

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33,144)

bob.steinberg@lw.com Latham & Watkins LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

Daniel G. Brown (Reg. No. 54,005)

daniel.brown@lw.com Latham & Watkins LLP

885 Third Avenue



Case IPR2015-00889 U.S. Patent No. 8,573,209

> New York, NY 10022-4834 212.906.1200; 212.751.4864 (Fax)

Counsel for Patent Owner



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 2nd day of March, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Patent Owner's Objections to Evidence Submitted With Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response** was served by electronic mail on Petitioner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

sanjay.murthy@klgates.com

sara.kerrane@klgates.com

benjamin.weed@klgates.com

michael.abernathy@klgates.com

margaux.nair@klgates.com

maria.doukas@klgates.com

Praxair-Ikaria@klgates.com

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33,144) bob.steinberg@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

