

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re : U.S. Patent No. 7,420,550
Filed : August 31, 2004
Issued : September 2, 2008
Inventor(s) : Shen Yuh-Ren et al.
Assignee : Surpass Tech Innovation LLC
Title : LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DRIVING DEVICE OF MATRIX
STRUCTURE TYPE AND ITS DRIVING METHOD
Trial No. : To Be Assigned
Panel: : To Be Assigned
Attorney Docket No.: 77331-5

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,420,550

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	10
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	10
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	10
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	10
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	10
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	11
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	11
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	11
	A. Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	12
	B. The Specific Art and Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	12
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '550 PATENT	13
	A. Specification of the '550 Patent	13
	1. The Admitted Prior Art	14
	2. The Alleged Invention Of The '550 Patent.....	16
	B. Claims 1-5 of the '550 Patent.....	21
	C. Prosecution History of the '550 Patent.....	22
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	23
	A. "Liquid crystal display driving device"	23
	B. "The first and the second date lines of the first group of date lines"	23

C.	“Gate lines . . . insulated with each other” and “data lines . . . insulated with each other”	24
VIII.	APPLICATION OF CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW IS REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	25
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-5 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Shimada in view of Kubota	26
1.	The Disclosure of Shimada.....	26
2.	The Disclosure of Kubota	29
3.	Claims 1-5 are obvious over Shimada in view of Kubota	30
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Shimada in view of the Admitted Prior Art	39
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-5 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Janssen in view of Kubota	41
1.	The Disclosure of Janssen	41
2.	Claims 1-5 are obvious over Janssen in view of Kubota	44
D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-3 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Janssen in view of the Admitted Prior Art	55
E.	Ground 5: Claim 3 is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Shimada in view of the Admitted Prior Art and further in view of Takeuchi ...	58
F.	Ground 6: Claim 3 is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Janssen in view of the Admitted Prior Art and further in view of Takeuchi	59
IX.	CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	23
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</i> , 909 F.2d 1464 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	34, 50
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Rowe v. Dror</i> , 112 F.3d 473 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	23
<i>In re Shreiber</i> , 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	34, 51
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	12
35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	11
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1).....	11
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(c).....	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	61
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	10

iii

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(a)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.63	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	23
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2).....	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	23
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	25
37 C.F.R. § 42.105	61
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.