UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD. Petitioner

v.

SURPASS TECH INNOVATION LLC Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-00885

Patent 7,202,843

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(B)(1)

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) and the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE"), the undersigned counsel, on behalf of Petitioner, hereby serves and submits the following objections to evidence submitted by Patent Owner accompanying Patent Owner Surpass Tech Innovation LLC's Preliminary Response, filed and served on June 26, 2015. These objections are timely because they are filed within ten business days of the institution decision. *See* Paper 9.

II. OBJECTIONS

A. Exhibit 2001

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2001, and any reference to or reliance on such exhibit, for the following grounds:

37 C.F.R. § 42.61 (Admissibility of evidence): This exhibit is not admissible under any applicable rule of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

F.R.E. 401/402 (Relevance): This exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted. For example, this exhibit has no bearing on whether the challenged claims are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102, the ground of institution in this proceeding.

F.R.E. 403 (Excluding evidence for prejudice, confusion, waste of time, or other reasons): This exhibit includes information whose probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the

1

danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.

F.R.E. 801/802/805 (hearsay): This exhibit includes inadmissible hearsay and/or double hearsay with no applicable exceptions.

F.R.E. 901 (authentication): This exhibit is inadmissible because Patent Owner has not submitted sufficient evidence to support that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.

B. Exhibit 2002-2003

Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2002-2003, and any reference to or reliance on such exhibits, for the following grounds:

37 C.F.R. § 42.61 (Admissibility of evidence): These exhibits are not admissible under any applicable rule of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

F.R.E. 401/402 (Relevance): These exhibits are not relevant to any ground upon which trial was instituted. For example, these exhibits have no bearing on whether the challenged claims are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102, the ground of institution in this proceeding.

F.R.E. 403 (Excluding evidence for prejudice, confusion, waste of time, or other reasons): These exhibits include information whose probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the Patent No. 7,202,843 CASE IPR2015-00885

danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.

III. **CONCLUSION**

Δ

For at least the foregoing reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2001-2003.

Dated:	September 22, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
	стр <i>и</i> шет 22, 2010	/Robert G Pluta Reg No 50970/ Robert G. Pluta Registration No. 50,970 William J. Barrow Registration No. 62,813 Amanda K. Streff Registration No. 65,224 Jamie B. Beaber (motion for pro hac
		vice admission to be filed)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 22, 2015, a copy of the attached

Petitioner's objections to evidence under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) has been served

by electronic mail to the attorneys of record in this proceeding:

Wayne M. Helge (Reg. No. 56,905) Donald L. Jackson (Reg. No. 41,090) Michael R. Casey (Reg. No. 40,294) Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey L.L.P. 8300 Greensboro Drive, Suite 500 McLean, VA 22102 Telephone: 571-765-7700 Fax: 571-765-7200 Email: whelge@dbjg.com Email: djackson@dbjg.com

Date: September 22, 2015

DOCKF

RM

Respectfully submitted,

By:/Robert G Pluta Reg No 50970/ Robert G. Pluta Registration No. 50,970 Mayer Brown LLP 71 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312-701-8641 Facsimile: 312-701-7711

Counsel for LG Display Co., Ltd.