Paper No. _____
Filed: January 25, 2016

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.
By:

Joseph E. Palys
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1996
Facsimile: (202) 551-0496
E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1990
Facsimile: (202) 551-0490
E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00871
Patent 8,560,705

Patent Owner's Response

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction....1

II. Claim Construction....1

A. "Interception of a Request . . . to Look up an Internet Protocol (IP) address" (Claims 1, 5, 9, 16, 19, and 23)....4

B. Secure Communication Link (Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 16, 20, 21, 24-27)....5

1. "Authentication" and "Address Hopping" Alone Do Not Result in a "Secure Communication Link"....6

2. A "Secure Communication Link" Must Be Direct....8

3. A "Secure Communication Link" Requires Encryption....13

C. Virtual Private Network Link" (Claims 6 and 21)....15

1. A "Virtual Private Network Link" Requires a Virtual Private Network....16

2. "Authentication" and "Address Hopping" Alone Do Not Result in a "Virtual Private Network Link"....17

3. A "Virtual Private Network Link" Must Be Direct....18

4. A "Virtual Private Network Link" Requires a Network....19

5. A "Virtual Private Network Link" Requires Encryption....21

D. "Secure Domain Name" (Claims 7 and 22)....22

E. Other Terms....25

III. The Cited References Do Not Render Claims 1-30 Unpatentable....27

A. *Aventail* In View of RFC 2401 and RFC 2543 Does Not Render Claims 1-23 and 25-30 Unpatentable....27

1. *Aventail*'s Disclosure....27

2. *Aventail* Does Not Disclose the Claimed "Determination"....29

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

a) The Alleged "Determination" by Aventail Connect 30

b) The Alleged "Determination" by the SOCKS Server 34

3. The Cited References Do Not Disclose the Claimed "Secure Communication Link" 36

4. *Aventail* In View of RFC 2401 and RFC 2543 Does Not Disclose the Features of Claims 4 and 20 38

5. *Aventail* In View of RFC 2401 and RFC 2543 Does Not Disclose the Features of Claims 7 and 22 39

6. *Aventail* In View of RFC 2401 and RFC 2543 Does Not Disclose the Features of Claims 2, 3, 5, 8-15, 17-19, and 23-30 42

B. *Aventail* In View of RFC 2401, RFC 2543, and *Brand* Does Not Render Claim 24 Unpatentable 42

IV. Petitioner's Expert Testimony Should be Accorded Little, If Any Weight 43

V. Each of the Instituted Grounds Is Based on At Least One Reference That Does Not Qualify As Prior Art 46

A. Petitioner Has Not Established that *Aventail* Qualifies As a Printed Publication 47

B. Petitioner Has Not Established that RFCs 2401 and 2543 Qualify As Printed Publications 52

1. The Evidence Presented with the Petition Cannot Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFCs 2401 and 2543 Were Publicly Accessible 52

2. The Board's Findings Are Insufficient to Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFCs 2401 and 2543 Were Publicly Accessible 55

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. The Supplemental Information Is Also Insufficient to Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFCs 2401 and 2543 Were Publicly Accessible 58

VI. Conclusion 60

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Alexsam, Inc. v. IDT Corp.,
715 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 46

Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.,
IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 9 (June 25, 2015).....53

Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.,
IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 15 (May 14, 2014).....4

Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts In Optics, Inc.,
111 F. App'x 582 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 44, 45

Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC,
713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 10, 15, 19, 24

Brand v. Miller,
487 F.3d 862 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 44

Bruckelmyer v. Ground Heaters, Inc.,
445 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 47

Centricut, LLC v. Esab Group, Inc.,
390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 43

In re Cuozzo,
793 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 3

Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee,
No. 15-446, 2016 WL 205946 (U.S. Jan. 15, 2016).....3

Cyber Corp. v. FAS Techs., Inc.,
138 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 19, 24

Dish Network L.L.C. v. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC,
IPR2015-00499, Paper No. 7 (July 17, 2015) 54

Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC,
IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014).....49, 57

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.