

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00870
Patent 8,560,705

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,560,705**

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review.....	1
A.	The Petition Improperly Relies on Material That It Fails to Establish Is Statutory Prior Art	2
B.	The Petition’s Obviousness Grounds Are Redundant and Should Be Denied.....	10
C.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood That Petitioner Will Prevail With Respect to Any Claim	14
1.	<i>Beser</i> and RFC 2401 would not have been combined as the Petition suggests.....	15
2.	The Petition’s Analyses Is Conclusory and Does Not Meet the Requirements of § 312(a)(3).....	21
a)	Dependent Claim 8	21
b)	Dependent Claims 13 and 28.....	24
III.	The Petition’s Claim Constructions Are Flawed and Should Be Rejected	26
A.	Overview of the ’0705 Patent.....	27
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	28
C.	“Interception of a Request” (Claims 1, 5, 16, and 19).....	30
D.	“Domain Name” (Claims 1 and 16)	34
E.	“Virtual Private Network Link” (Claims 6 and 21)	35
1.	A “VPN Link” Does Not Exist Outside of a Virtual Private Network	35
2.	“Authentication” and “Address Hopping” Alone Do Not Result in a “Virtual Private Network Link”	37

3.	A “Virtual Private Network Link” Must Be Direct	40
4.	A “Virtual Private Network Link” Requires a Network.....	43
5.	A “Virtual Private Network Link” Requires Encryption.....	45
F.	Secure Communication Link (Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 16, 20, 21, 24-25, and 27).....	46
1.	“Authentication” and “Address Hopping” Alone Do Not Result in a “Secure Communication Link”	47
2.	A “Secure Communication Link” Must Be Direct	47
3.	A “Secure Communication Link” Requires Encryption.....	49
G.	“Phone” (Claims 3, 15, 18, and 30)	52
H.	“Secure Communications Service” (Claims 5 and 19).....	52
I.	Secure Domain Name” (Claims 7 and 22).....	53
J.	“Modulated Transmission Link/Unmodulated Transmission Link” (Claims 10, 24, and 25).....	56
IV.	Conclusion	57

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>A.R.M., Inc. v. Cottingham Agencies Ltd.</i> , IPR2014-00671, Paper No. 10 (Oct. 3, 2014)	3
<i>Actavis, Inc. v. Research Corp. Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01126, Paper No. 22 (Jan. 9, 2015).....	3
<i>Apple Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00450, Paper No. 9 (June 29, 2015).....	5, 23
<i>Apple Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00079, Paper No. 8 (Apr. 25, 2014).....	24
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 15 (May 14, 2014).....	30, 57
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00481, Paper No. 1 (Mar. 7, 2014)	37, 46
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00483, Paper No. 11 (Sept. 15, 2014).....	53
<i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline</i> , 713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013.)	48-49, 51
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper (Aug. 29, 2014)	5-6
<i>In re Cruciferous Sprout Litig.</i> , 301 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	21
<i>Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014).....	9
<i>EMC Corp. v. Personal Web Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00087, Paper No. 25 (June 5, 2013).....	11, 13

<i>Eon-Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp.</i> , 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	45
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	19
<i>Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 15 (Jan. 9, 2013).....	27, 42
<i>Google Inc. et al. v. EveryMD.com LLC</i> , IPR2014-00347, Paper No. 9 (May 22, 2014).....	24
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	19
<i>Idle Free Sys., Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00027, Paper No. 26 (June 11, 2013).....	2
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	3
<i>L-3 Comm. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00832, Paper No. 9 (Nov. 14, 2014)	3
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (Oct. 25, 2012).....	10, 11, 12
<i>Medichem, SA v. Rolabo, SL</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	19, 20
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , No. 2014-1542, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 10081 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015)	27, 51, 54
<i>Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Mobile Scanning Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00093, Paper No. 28 (Apr. 29, 2013).....	27, 42
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	27
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP</i> , IPR2014-00514, Paper No. 18 (Sep. 9, 2014)	8, 9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.