

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,560,705

Issued: October 15, 2013

Filed: January 3, 2012

Inventors: Victor Larson, *et al.*

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD EMPLOYING AN AGILE NETWORK  
PROTOCOL FOR SECURE COMMUNICATIONS USING SECURE DOMAIN  
NAMES

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2015-00870

---

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 8,560,705**

## Table of Contents

<b>I.</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Certification the '0705 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a)) .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)) .....</b>	<b>1</b>
	1. Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
	2. Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
	3. Lead and Backup Lead Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3)) .....	2
	4. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)) .....	2
	5. Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a)).....	2
<b>II.</b>	<b>Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b)).....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>Relevant Information Concerning the Contested Patent .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Overview of the '0705 Patent .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	1. The '0705 Patent Specification.....	3
	2. Representative Claims .....	5
<b>B.</b>	<b>Patent Owner's Assertion of Related Patents.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Effective Filing Date.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>The Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>Claim Construction .....</b>	<b>9</b>
	1. “interception of a request” .....	9
	2. “domain name” .....	10
	3. “secure communication link” .....	11
	4. “phone” .....	12
	5. “secure communications service” .....	13
	6. “virtual private network link” .....	14
	7. “secure domain name” .....	15
	8. “modulated transmission link” / “unmodulated transmission link”.....	16

<b>IV.</b>	<b>Analysis of the Patentability of the '0705 Patent.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Overview of Beser (Ex. 1007) .....</b>	<b>17</b>
	a) Request Containing a Unique Identifier .....	19
	b) Negotiation of Private IP Addresses.....	22
<b>B.</b>	<b>Overview of RFC 2401 (Ex. 1008) .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Overview of Brand (Ex. 1012).....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Beser (Ex. 1007) In View of RFC 2401 (Ex. 1008) Would Have Rendered Obvious Claims 1-23, 25-30 .....</b>	<b>26</b>
	1. A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Found It Obvious to Encrypt IP Traffic in the Beser Scheme Based on the Teachings in Beser and RFC 2401 .....	30
	2. Independent Claims 1 and 16 Would Have Been Obvious .....	34
	a) Claim 16 Preamble .....	34
	b) “facilitat[e/ing] a connection with the target device over a secure communication link” .....	35
	c) “created based on (i) interception of a request ... to look up an internet protocol (IP) address . . . based on a domain name” .....	36
	d) “created based on ... (ii) a determination ... that... a secure communication link can be established”.....	37
	e) “allow[ing] participation in audio/video communications ... over the secure communication link” .....	40
	f) Additional System Elements of Claim 1 .....	41
	3. Claims 2 and 17 Would Have Been Obvious .....	43
	4. Claims 3 and 18 Would Have Been Obvious .....	43
	5. Claims 14 and 29 Would Have Been Obvious .....	43
	6. Claims 15 and 30 Would Have Been Obvious .....	44
	7. Claims 4 and 20 Would Have Been Obvious .....	44
	8. Claims 5 and 19 Would Have Been Obvious .....	46
	9. Claims 6 and 21 Would Have Been Obvious .....	47
	10. Claims 7 and 22 Would Have Been Obvious .....	48

11.	Claim 8 Would Have Been Obvious.....	49
12.	Claim 9 and 23 Would Have Been Obvious.....	51
13.	Claims 10, 11, 25 and 26 Would Have Been Obvious .....	51
14.	Claims 12 and 27 Would Have Been Obvious .....	53
15.	Claims 13 and 28 Would Have Been Obvious .....	53
<b>E.</b>	<b>Beser In View of RFC 2401 In View of Brand (Ex. 1012) Would Have Rendered Obvious Claim 24.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>F.</b>	<b>No Secondary Considerations Exist .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>56</b>

**I. Introduction**

**A. Certification the '0705 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner**

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 8,560,705 (Ex. 1050) (the '0705 patent) is available for *inter partes* review. Petitioner also certifies it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of the claims of the '0705 patent. Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '0705 patent. The '0705 patent has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privy of Petitioner.

Petitioner also certifies this petition for *inter partes* review is timely filed as it has never been asserted against Petitioner in litigation. Thus, because there is no patent owner's action, this petition complies with 35 U.S.C. § 315(b). Petitioner also notes that the timing provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 311(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.102(a) do not apply to the '0705 patent, as it pre-dates the first-to-file system. *See* Pub. L. 112-274 § 1(n), 126 Stat. 2456 (Jan. 14, 2013).

**B. Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))**

The Director is authorized to charge the fee specified by 37 CFR § 42.15(a) to Deposit Account No. 50-1597.

**C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))**

**1. Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))**

The real party in interest of this petition pursuant to § 42.8(b)(1) is Apple Inc. ("Apple") located at One Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.