

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00870

Patent 8,560,705

---

**Declaration of Fabian Monroe, Ph.D.**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction.....1
- II. Resources Consulted.....2
- III. Background and Qualifications .....2
- IV. Level of Ordinary Skill.....7
- V. Claim Terms .....8
  - A. Secure Communication Link (Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 16, 20, 21, 24-27) .....8
    - 1. “Authentication” and “Address Hopping” Alone Do Not Result in a “Secure Communication Link”.....9
    - 2. A “Secure Communication Link” Must Be Direct .....11
    - 3. A “Secure Communication Link” Requires Encryption.....12
  - B. “Virtual Private Network Link” (Claims 6 and 21) .....13
    - 1. A “VPN Link” Requires a Virtual Private Network.....14
    - 2. “Authentication” and “Address Hopping” Alone Do Not Result in a “Virtual Private Network Link”.....15
    - 3. A “Virtual Private Network Link” Must Be Direct .....15
    - 4. A “Virtual Private Network Link” Requires a Network.....16
    - 5. A “Virtual Private Network Link” Requires Encryption.....17
  - C. Secure Domain Name (Claims 7 and 22).....18
  - D. Other Terms.....20
- VI. *Beser* and RFC 2401 .....22
  - A. *Beser’s* Disclosure .....22
  - B. Claims 1 and 16.....25

- 1. “Interception of a Request, Generated By the Client Device, to Look Up an Internet Protocol (IP) Address of the Target Device Based on a Domain Name Associated With the Target Device” .....25
  - a) The Alleged Request in *Beser* Is Not a “Request . . . to Look Up an Internet Protocol (IP) Address” .....26
  - b) The Alleged Request in *Beser* Is Not “Intercept[ed]” .....28
- 2. *Beser* and RFC 2401 .....30
- C. Dependent Claims .....35
  - 1. Claims 6 and 21.....35
  - 2. Claims 7 and 22.....36
  - 3. Claims 13 and 28.....37
  - 4. Claims 2-5, 8-12, 14, 15, 17-20, 23, 25-27, 29, and 30.....38
- VII. *Beser*, RFC 2401, and *Brand*.....38
- VIII. Conclusion .....38

I, FABIAN MONROSE, declare as follows:

**I. Introduction**

1. I have been retained by VirnetX Inc. (“VirnetX”) for this *inter partes* review proceeding. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 8,560,705 (“the ’0705 patent”). I understand the ’0705 patent is assigned to VirnetX and that it is part of a family of patents that stems from U.S. provisional application nos. 60/106,261 (“the ’261 application”), filed on October 30, 1998, and 60/137,704 (“the ’704 application”), filed on June 7, 1999. I understand that the ’0705 patent is a continuation of U.S. application no. 13/049,552 filed March 16, 2011 (“the ’552 application”), which is a continuation of U.S. application no. 11/840,560 filed August 17, 2007 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211, “the ’211 patent”), which is a continuation of U.S. application no. 10/714,849 filed November 18, 2003 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,418,504, “the ’504 patent), which is a continuation of U.S. application no. 09/558,210 filed April 26, 2000 (“the ’210 application,” abandoned). And I understand the ’210 application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application no. 09/504,783 filed February 15, 2000 (now U.S. Patent 6,502,135, “the ’135 patent”), and that the ’135 patent is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application no. 09/429,643 filed October 29, 1999 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,010,604), which claims priority to the ’261 and ’704 applications.

## II. Resources Consulted

2. I have reviewed the '0705 patent, including claims 1-30. I have also reviewed the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (Paper No. 1) filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“Office”) by Apple Inc. on March 17, 2015 (Paper No. 1, the “Petition”). I have also reviewed the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“Board”) decision to institute *inter partes* review (Paper No. 8, the “Decision”) of October 1, 2015.

3. I understand that in this proceeding the Board instituted review of the '0705 patent on two grounds: (1) obviousness of claims 1-23 and 25-30 over *Beser* and RFC 2401; and (2) obviousness of claim 24 over *Beser*, RFC 2401, and *Brand*. I have reviewed the exhibits and other documentation supporting the Petition that are relevant to the Decision and the instituted grounds, and any other material that I reference in this declaration.

## III. Background and Qualifications

4. I have a great deal of experience and familiarity with computer and network security, and have been working in this field since 1993 when I entered the Ph.D. program at New York University.

5. I am currently a Professor of Computer Science at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. I also hold an appointment as the Director of Computer and Information Security at the Renaissance Computing Institute

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.