Paper No. _____ Filed: July 6, 2015

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00867 Patent 8,458,341

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,458,341



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	ductio	n	1		
II.	The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review					
	A.	The Petition Improperly Relies on Material That It Fails to Establish Is Statutory Prior Art				
	В.	The Petition's Obviousness Ground Is Redundant and Should Be Denied				
	C.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Will Prevail With Respect to Any Claim				
		1.	The Cited Portions of <i>Aventail</i> Do Not Disclose the Claimed "Determination"	15		
		2.	The Cited Portions of <i>Aventail</i> Do Not Disclose Receiving "the Requested IP Address of the Second Network Device"	22		
		3.	The Cited Portions of <i>Aventail</i> Do Not Disclose the Claimed Features of At Least Claims 14 and 28	24		
		4.	The Petition Does Not Apply the Proposed Claim Construction for "Provisioning Information" To Aventail	26		
		5.	The Petition Fails to Comply with 35 U.S.C. §312(a)(3) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	28		
III.	The Petition's Claim Constructions Are Flawed and Should Be Rejected					
	A.	Overview of the '341 Patent				
	B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art				
	C.	"Interception of the Request" (Claims 1, 11, 15, and 25)33				
	D.	"Provisioning Information" (Claims 1 and 15)37				



	E.		ure Communications Service" (Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 11, 15, 8, 20, and 25)	39
	F.	"Indi	cation" (Claims 1 and 15)	41
	G.	"Virtual Private Network Communication Link" (Claims 1, 3, 15, and 17)		42
		1.	A "VPN Communication Link" Does Not Exist Outside of a Virtual Private Network	43
		2.	"Authentication" and "Address Hopping" Alone Do Not Result in a "Virtual Private Network Communication Link"	44
		3.	A "Virtual Private Network Communication Link" Must Be Direct	47
		4.	A "Virtual Private Network Communication Link" Requires a Network	50
		5.	A "Virtual Private Network Communication Link" Requires Encryption	52
	H. "Domain Name" (Claims 1 and 15		nain Name" (Claims 1 and 15)	53
	I.	"Moo	dulation" (Claims 7, 8, 21, and 22)	54
IV.	Conc	lusion		55



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Pa	ige(s)
Cases	
A.R.M., Inc. v. Cottingham Agencies Ltd., IPR2014-00671, Paper No. 10 (Oct. 3, 2014)	3
Actavis, Inc. v. Research Corp. Techs., Inc., IPR2014-01126, Paper No. 22 (Jan. 9, 2015)	3
Apple Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc., IPR2015-00450, Paper No. 9 (June 29, 2015)	5
Apple Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC, IPR2014-00079, Paper No. 8 (Apr. 25, 2014)	14
Apple Inc. v. VirnetX, Inc., IPR2014-00481, Paper No. 1 at 6 (Mar. 7, 2014)4	4, 53
Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc., IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 15 (May 14, 2014)	33
Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc., IPR2014-00483, Paper No. 11 (Sept. 15, 2014)	12
Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc., IPR2014-00811, Paper No. 1 (March 2, 2015)	20
Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC, IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (Aug. 29, 2014)	5
Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC, IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014)	9
EMC Corp. v. Personal Web Techs., LLC, IPR2013-00087, Paper No. 25 (June 5, 2013)	11
Eon–Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp., 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	52
Garmin Int'l inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Tech, LLC, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 15 (Jan. 9, 2013)	80, 49



Google Inc. et al. v. EveryMD.com LLC, IPR2014-00347, Paper No. 9 (May 22, 2014)	14
Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equip., Inc., 527 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	24
Idle Free Sys., Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc., IPR2012-00027, Paper No. 26 (June 11, 2013)	2
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	3
L-3 Comm. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC, IPR2014-00832, Paper No. 9 (Nov. 14, 2014)	3
Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co., CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (Oct. 25, 2012)	11, 12, 13
Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., No. 2014-1542, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 10081 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015)	23, 30
Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Mobile Scanning Techs., LLC, IPR2013-00093, Paper No. 28 (Apr. 29, 2013)	30, 49
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	29
Rambus Inc. v. Rea, 527 Fed. Appx. 902 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	29
Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP, IPR2014-00514, Paper No. 18 (Sep. 9, 2014)	9
ScentAir Techs., Inc. v. Prolitic, Inc., IPR2013-00180, Paper No. 18 (Aug. 26, 2013)	2
Square, Inc. v. Unwired Planet, LLC, CBM2014-00156, Paper 22 (Feb. 26, 2015)	4, 5
Tempur Sealy Int'l, Inc. v. Select Comfort Corp., IPR2014-01419, Paper No. 9 (Mar. 27, 2015)	14



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

