
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VMR PRODUCTS LLC

Petitioner

v.

FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,365,742
Issue Date: February 5, 2013
Title: AEROSOL ELECTRONIC CIGARETTE
Inventor: Lik Hon
Filed: April 5, 2011
U.S. Application Serial No. 13/079,937

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	1
	A. REAL PARTY INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
	B. RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
	C. NOTICE OF LEAD COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a)..	3
	D. SERVICE INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(4)	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104.....	3
	A. GROUND FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	3
	B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	4
	1. The claims for which <i>inter partes</i> review is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	4
	2. The statutory grounds and prior art on which the challenge is based under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	4
	3. How the challenged claims are to be construed under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	6
	4. How the construed claims are unpatentable under the identified statutory grounds under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	9
	5. The exhibit number of the supporting evidence relied upon under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5).....	9
V.	BACKGROUND	10
	A. THE ‘742 PATENT	10

B. THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘742 PATENT	12
1. Preliminary Amendment	12
2. First Office Action Rejects Claim 30 as Indefinite	12
3. Applicants Add “Heating Wire Wound on a Porous Component”	13
4. Examiner Interview	14
5. Notice of Allowance.....	15
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘742 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	15
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF HON ‘043 IN FURTHER VIEW OF SUSA	15
1. Independent claim 1	16
2. Independent claim 2	26
3. Independent claim 3	29
B. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF HON ‘043 IN FURTHER VIEW OF ABHULIMEN.....	32
1. Abhulimen discloses “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component”	33
C. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF HON ‘043 IN FURTHER VIEW OF WHITTEMORE.....	34
1. Whittemore discloses “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component”	35

D. GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF HON ‘034 IN FURTHER VIEW OF COUNTS.....	36
1. Counts discloses “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component”	37
E. GROUND 5: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN FURTHER VIEW OF SUSA	38
1. Independent claim 1	38
2. Independent claim 2	48
3. Independent claim 3	51
F. GROUND 6: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF SUSA IN FURTHER VIEW OF ABHULIMEN.....	54
1. Abhulimen Discloses “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component”	54
2. Abhulimen Discloses the Remaining “Porous Component” Limitations	55
G. GROUND 7: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 3 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF SUSA IN FURTHER VIEW OF WHITTEMORE.....	57
1. Whittemore Discloses “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component”	57
2. Whittemore Discloses the Remaining “Porous Component” Limitations	59
VII. CONCLUSION.....	60
VIII.CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4).....	60

...

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,365,742 (“742 Patent”)
1002	Declaration of Gregory Buckner, Ph.D.
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Gregory Buckner, Ph.D.
1004	China Patent CN 2719043 (“Hon ‘043”) – issue date August 24, 2005
1005	Certified English translation of Hon (CN 2719043) pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.63(b)
1006	WO 2005/099494, which is the PCT application equivalent of Hon (CN 2719043) (“Hon ‘494”)
1007	Certified English translation of WO 2005/099494 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.63(b)
1008	CA 2562581, which is the Canadian equivalent of Hon (CN 2719043) (“Hon ‘581”)
1009	US 2007/0267031, which is the U.S. equivalent of Hon (CN 271043) (“Hon ‘031”)
1010	EP 0845220 (“Susa”) – Published June 3, 1998
1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,144,962 (“Counts”) – issue date September 8, 1992
1012	WO 03/034847 (“Abhulimen”), Published May 1, 2003
1013	U.S. Patent No. 2,057,353 (“Whittemore”) – issue date October 13, 1936
1014	Litigation proceedings in CV 14-1645 – Rulings on Claims Construction
1015	WO 2007/131449, which is the PCT application for the ‘742 Patent
1016	‘742 Prosecution History, Preliminary Amendment

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.