

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

<b>INNOVATIVE DISPLAY</b>	§	
<b>TECHNOLOGIES LLC</b>	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	<b>CASE NO. 2:14-cv-00201-JRG</b>
v.	§	<b>(LEAD CASE)</b>
	§	
<b>HYUNDAI MOTOR COMPANY et al.</b>	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	
<hr/>		
	§	
<b>INNOVATIVE DISPLAY</b>	§	
<b>TECHNOLOGIES LLC</b>	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	<b>CASE NO. 2:14-CV-200-JRG</b>
v.	§	<b>(Member Case)</b>
	§	
<b>TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION</b>	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
<b>et. al.</b>	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE**


This matter having come before this Court upon Unopposed Motion, and the Court being fully apprised in the matter,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. Case No. 2:14-cv-200-JRG is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
2. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Protective Order entered by the Court on December 22, 2014 in Case No. 2:14-cv-201-JRG (the Lead Case) (Document 167), including specifically the provisions that impose continuing confidentiality obligations after the case terminates and those that require return or certification of destruction of previously produced confidential documents within sixty

**So Ordered and Signed on this**

**May 20, 2015**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE