

Paper No.: _____
Filed: March 3, 2015

Filed on behalf of: Tristar Products, Inc.

By:

Noam J. Kritzer

Email: nkritzer@bakoskritzer.com

Ryan S. McPhee

Email: rmcphee@bakoskritzer.com

BAKOS & KRITZER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TRISTAR PRODUCTS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

CHOON'S DESIGN INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,622,441

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,622,441

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL.....	3
D.	SERVICE INFORMATION	3
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	4
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	4
1.	Effective Filing Date.....	5
2.	Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	9
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘441 PATENT	13
A.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION.....	13
1.	The ‘441 Patent Specification.....	13
B.	SUMMARY OF THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘441 PATENT.....	14
V.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘441 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	14
A.	GROUND I: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5 ARE ANTICIPATED BY ZALTZMAN	14
1.	Claim 1 is Anticipated by Zaltzman	15
2.	Claim 2 is Anticipated by Zaltzman	16
3.	Claim 5 is Anticipated by Zaltzman	16
B.	GROUND II: CLAIMS 11, 12, 15, AND 16 ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF ZALTZMAN	17
1.	Claim 11 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Phelps	17
2.	Claim 11 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Norris.....	23
3.	Claim 11 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Linstead.....	24
4.	Claim 12 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Phelps or Norris.....	25
5.	Claim 15 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Carruth or Meltzer.....	25
6.	Claim 16 is Obvious Over Zaltzman in View of Carruth or Meltzer.....	26
C.	GROUND III: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5 ARE ANTICIPATED BY LIJOVICH.....	28

..

1.	Claim 1 is Anticipated by Lijovich.....	28
2.	Claim 2 is Anticipated by Lijovich.....	33
3.	Claim 5 is Anticipated by Lijovich.....	34
D.	GROUND IV: CLAIMS 11, 12, 15, AND 16 ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF LIJOVICH	35
1.	Claim 11 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in View of Lijovich and Phelps	35
1.	Claim 11 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in View of Lijovich and Norris	38
2.	Claim 11 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in View of Lijovich and Linstead.....	39
3.	Claim 12 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in View of Lijovich and Phelps.....	40
4.	Claim 15 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in View of Lijovich and Phelps.....	41
5.	Claim 16 is Obvious Under 35 U.S.C.103(a) in View of Lijovich and Phelps and Carruth or Meltzer	43
E.	GROUND V: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5 ARE ANTICIPATED BY NEDRY	44
1.	Claim 1 is Anticipated by Nedry	44
2.	Claim 2 is Anticipated by Nedry	49
3.	Claim 5 is Anticipated by Nedry	50
F.	GROUND VI: CLAIMS 11, 12, 15, AND 16 ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF NEDRY AND PHELPS	51
1.	Claim 11 is Obvious in View of Nedry and Phelps.....	51
2.	Claim 12 is Obvious in View of Nedry and Phelps.....	55
3.	Claim 15 is Obvious in View of Nedry and Phelps.....	56
4.	Claim 16 is Obvious in View of Nedry and Phelps.....	58
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	60

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc.</i> , 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	10, 12
<i>Clio USA, Inc. v. The Procter and Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2013-00438 (PTAB)	3
<i>Graves v. Principi</i> , 294 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	2
<i>In re Seversky</i> , 474 F.2d 671 (CCPA 1973)	8
<i>Invue Security Prods. V. Merchandising Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00122 (PTAB)	2
<i>Nautique Boat Co., Inc. v. Malibu Boats, LLC</i> , IPR2014-01045 (PTAB)	2
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	passim
35 U.S.C. § 103	passim
35 U.S.C. § 311 et seq.	passim
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.1 et seq.	passim

EXHIBITS

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,622,441
- Ex. 1002 File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,622,441
- Ex. 1003 File History of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/846,270
- Ex. 1004 File History of U.S. Patent Application No. 13/626,057
- Ex. 1005 Certificate of Service in the 10848 Litigation
- Ex. 1006 Stipulated Order of Dismissal Without Prejudice in the 01254 Litigation
- Ex. 1007 Rules of Practice, 77 Fed. Reg. No. 157
- Ex. 1008 Carruth et al. U.S. Patent No. 8,418,434 (“Carruth”)
- Ex. 1009 Meltzer U.S. Patent No. 5,426,788 (“Meltzer”)
- Ex. 1010 Linstead U.S. Patent No. 3,438,223 (“Linstead”)
- Ex. 1011 Yates U.S. Patent No. 2,274,572 (“Yates”)
- Ex. 1012 Zaltzman U.S. Patent No. 4,023,245 (“Zaltzman”)
- Ex. 1013 Nedry U.S. Patent Publication No. 2014/0373966 (“Nedry”)
- Ex. 1014 Nedry Provisional 61/838,952
- Ex. 1015 Norris, Kathy. *I Can’t Believe I’m Loom Knitting!* (Little Rock, AR: Leisure Arts, Inc. 2010) (“Norris”)
- Ex. 1016 Phelps, Isela. Looming Knitting Primer (New York: St. Martin’s Griffin 2007) (“Phelps”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.