

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No.: 8,532,641	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Inventors: Russell W. White,	§	110797-0004-659
Kevin R. Imes	§	Customer No. 28120
Formerly Application No.: 13/673,391	§	Petitioners:
Issue Date: Sept. 10, 2013	§	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.;
Filing Date: Nov. 9, 2012	§	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
Priority Date: March 28, 2000	§	
	§	
Former Group Art Unit: 2646	§	
Former Examiner: Erika Washington	§	
	§	
	§	

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING MEDIA

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,532,641**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	v
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	6
III. PETITIONERS HAVE STANDING.....	7
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘641 PATENT	9
A. Overview of the ‘641 Patent	9
B. ‘641 Patent Prosecution History.....	11
V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONERS WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘641 PATENT.....	12
A. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	12
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art & State of the Art.....	14
C. <u>Ground 1</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 1, 2, 5, 9); <u>Ground 2</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10); <u>Ground</u> <u>3</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Rydbeck, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 1, 2, 5, 9); <u>Ground 4</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia, Rydbeck, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10); <u>Ground 5</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Galensky, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claim 7); <u>Ground 6</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia, Galensky, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 6, 7); <u>Ground 7</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Rydbeck, Galensky, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claim 7); <u>Ground 8</u> : Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia Rydbeck, Galensky, Ushiroda, & Bork (Claims 6, 7)	14
1. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,990,334 (“Ito”).....	17
2. Overview of Nokia 9000/9000i Owner’s Manual (“Nokia”)	18
3. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,403 (“Ushiroda”).....	18
4. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,932 (“Bork”)	19
5. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,973,067 (“Haartsen”).....	20
6. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 7,123,936 (“Rydbeck”).....	21
7. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,845,398 (“Galensky”).....	21

8.	Motivation to Combine Ito with Haartsen, Nokia, Ushiroda, Bork, Rydbeck, & Galensky	21
9.	Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9 & 10 Are Obvious Over Grounds 1-8	29
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	47

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Dystar Textilfarben GMBH v. C.H. Patrick Co.</i> , 464 F.3d 1356, 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	27
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	13
<i>Kaiser Aluminum v. Constellium Rolled Prods. Ravenswood, LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-01002, Paper 11 (Dec. 29, 2014)	16
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , Case CBM2013-00009, Paper 10 (Mar. 28, 2013)	16
<i>Nestle USA, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc.</i> Case IPR2014-01235, Paper 12 (Dec. 22, 2014)	16
<i>Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp.</i> , Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 28 (Feb. 12, 2015)	17
<i>Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp.</i> , Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 31 (Feb. 12, 2015)	8, 17
<i>Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp.</i> , Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 32 (Feb. 12, 2015)	17
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C.	
§ 102.....	passim
§ 103.....	passim
§ 112.....	1
§§ 311-319.....	1

§ 314.....	12
§ 315.....	8, 17

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R

§ 1.33.....	47
§ 42.....	1
§ 42.8.....	6
§ 42.15	47
§ 42.22	8
§ 42.100	13, 47
§ 42.104	7, 8, 12
§ 42.105	47
§ 42.122	7
§ 325.....	3, 16

MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE

§ 2111	13
--------------	----

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.