#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No.: 8,532,641 Attorney Docket No.: Inventors: Russell W. White, 110797-0004-658 Kevin R. Imes Customer No. 28120 Formerly Application No.: 13/673,391 Petitioners: Issue Date: Sept. 10, 2013 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Filing Date: Nov. 9, 2012 Samsung Electronics America, Inc. Priority Date: March 28, 2000 Former Group Art Unit: 2646 Former Examiner: Erika Washington

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING MEDIA

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,532,641



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF EX	XHIBI	TS	vi		
I.	INTR	CODU	CTION	1		
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8					
III.	PETI	TION	ERS HAVE STANDING	7		
IV.	SUM	MARY	OF THE '641 PATENT	8		
	Α.	Overv	view of the '641 Patent	8		
	В.	'641 I	Patent Prosecution History	10		
V.	TO C OF T	LAIM HE '81	NT CLAIMS 1-3, 5-7, 9-10 and 12 ARE NOT ENTITLED PRIORITY TO THE MARCH 28, 2000 FILING DATE 12 APPLICATION AND THE SEPTEMBER 23, 2004 ATE OF THE '755 APPLICATION	11		
VI.	PETI	TION	A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ERS WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST M OF THE '641 PATENT	28		
	Α.	Claim	Construction Under § 42.104(b)(3)	29		
	В.	Level	of Ordinary Skill in the Art & State of the Art	30		
	C.	Ground 1: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn & the knowledge of a POSITA (Claims 1-3, 5, 9); Ground 2: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn & Nokia (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10); Ground 3: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn, Nokia & the knowledge of a POSITA (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10); Ground 4: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn, Galensky & the knowledge of a POSITA (Claims 7, 12); Ground 5: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn, Galensky & Nokia (Claims 6, 7, 12); Ground 6: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn, Galensky, Nokia & the knowledge of a POSITA (Claims 6, 7, 12); Ground 7: Obvious over Hu in view of Ahn & Galensky (Claim 12)				
		1.	Overview of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2006/0262103 ("Hu")	33		
		2.	Overview of U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0214525 ("Ahn")	34		
		3.	Overview of Nokia 9000/9000i Owner's Manual ("Nokia")	35		
		4.	Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,845,398 ("Galensky")	35		
		5.	Motivation to Combine Hu with Ahn, Nokia, & Galensky	36		
		6.	Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10 & 12 Are Obvious Over Grounds 1-7	42		



Inter Partes Review
United States Patent No. 8,532,641

VII.	CONCLUSION	59	ļ
V 11.		リノ	



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

CASES	Page(s)
Dystar Textilfarben GMBH v. C.H. Patrick Co., 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	36, 38, 42
In re Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC, 550 Fed. Appx. 884 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 9, 2014)	16
In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr., 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	29
In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1268, 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	12
Kaiser Aluminum v. Constellium Rolled Prods. Ravenswood, LLC, Case IPR2014-01002, Paper 11 (Dec. 29, 2014)	32
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co., Case CBM2012-00003, Paper 15 (Feb. 12, 2013)	12
Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co., Case CBM2013-00009, Paper 10 (Mar. 28, 2013)	32
Nestle USA, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc. Case IPR2014-01235, Paper 12 (Dec. 22, 2014)	32
Studiengesellschaft Kohle, M.B.H. v. Shell Oil Co., 112 F.3d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	27
Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp., Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 28 (Feb. 12, 2015)	33
Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp., Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 31 (Feb. 12, 2015)	7, 33
Target Corp. v. Destination Maternity Corp., Case IPR2014-00508, Paper 32 (Feb. 12, 2015)	33



Tronzo v. Biomet, Inc., 156 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	12
Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	12
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C.	
§ 102	passim
§ 103	passim
§ 112	12
§§ 311-319	1
§ 314	28
§ 315	
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R.	
§ 1.33	60
§ 42	1
§ 42.8	5-6
§ 42.15	60
§ 42.22	8
§ 42.100	29, 60
§ 42.104	7, 8, 29
§ 42.105	60
§ 42.122	7
§ 325	3



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

