Paper No. ____ Filed: May 2, 2016

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996 Telephone: (202) 551-1990 Facsimile: (202) 551-0496 Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00811 Patent No. 8,868,705

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE



Table of Contents

I.	Prec	Precise Relief Requested		
II.	Legal Standard			
III.	Exhibits 1003, 1004, 1007, 1015-1017, 1024-1035, 1037-1041, 1043-1048, 1057-1060, 1063-1065, and 1067-1069, and Portions of Exhibit 1005 Should be Excluded from the Record			
	A.	Exhibits 1022, 1023, 1043, and 1057-1059 Constitute Inadmissible Hearsay	2	
	B.	Exhibits 1060 and 1063-1065 Constitute Inadmissible Hearsay	3	
	C.	Exhibits 1003, 1004, 1007, 1015-1017, 1024-1035, 1037-1041, 1044-1048, and 1067-1069 Lack Relevance	5	
	D.	Portions of Exhibit 1005 Lack Relevance	5	
IV.	Conclusion		6	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Rules	
Federal Rule of Evidence 401	5
Federal Rule of Evidence 402	1, 5
Federal Rule of Evidence 403	5
Federal Rule of Evidence 801	1
Federal Rule of Evidence 802	1, 2, 3
37 C.F.R. 42.62(a)	1
Other	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48758	1



I. Precise Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64, Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. ("Patent Owner") moves to exclude certain exhibits submitted by Apple Inc. ("Petitioner"). This motion is timely filed in accordance with the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper No. 9). In particular, Petitioner requests that Exhibits 1003, 1004, 1007, 1015-1017, 1024-1035, 1037-1041, 1043-1048, 1057-1060, 1063-1065, and 1067-1069, and portions of Exhibit 1005 be excluded from the record.

II. Legal Standard

The Federal Rules of Evidence apply to *inter partes* review proceedings. 37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a), Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48758. Under Federal Rule of Evidence 402, "irrelevant evidence is not admissible." Fed. R. Evid. 402. Also, unless an exception applies, an out of court statement offered for the truth of the matter asserted is inadmissible. Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802.

III. Exhibits 1003, 1004, 1007, 1015-1017, 1024-1035, 1037-1041, 1043-1048, 1057-1060, 1063-1065, and 1067-1069, and Portions of Exhibit 1005 Should be Excluded from the Record

The Board should exclude exhibits 1003, 1004, 1007, 1015-1017, 1024-1035, 1037-1041, 1044-1048, 1057-1060, 1063-1065, and 1067-1069 because one or more of these exhibits includes evidence that is inadmissible hearsay or the evidence in these exhibits is irrelevant to the instant proceeding. The Board should also exclude portions of Exhibit 1005 because they are irrelevant to the instant



proceeding. Patent Owner timely objected to these exhibits stating the precise grounds under which these exhibits are inadmissible. (Paper Nos. 11, 18, 31.)

A. Exhibits 1022, 1023, 1043, and 1057-1059 Constitute Inadmissible Hearsay

Exhibits 1022, 1023, 1043, and 1057-1059 should be excluded as inadmissible hearsay. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 801-802. Patent Owner previously objected to these exhibits on this ground. (Paper No. 11 at 1; Paper No. 18 at 1.) Petitioner has failed to rebut Patent Owner's objections. As such, these exhibits should be excluded.

Specifically, absent an applicable exception, the rule against hearsay operates to prohibit out-of-court statements from being offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted. Fed. R. Evid. 801-802. Here, Petitioner submitted out-of-court declarations, i.e., declarations that were not made for purposes of the present proceeding, in an attempt to establish that *Aventail* (Ex. 1009), RFC 2401 (Ex. 1008), and RFC 2543 (Ex. 1013) qualify as printed publications. For instance, Petitioner contends that "Aventail is a printed publication that was distributed to the public without restriction no later than January 31, 1999." (Pet. at 15.) In attempting to prove the truth of this statement, Petitioner relies on testimony in out-of-court declarations by three individuals—Christopher Hopen (Ex. 1023), Michael Fratto (Ex. 1043), and James Chester (Ex. 1022). (Pet. at 15-16.) After



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

