

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00810

Patent 8,868,705

Patent Owner's Response

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction.....1
- II. Claim Construction.....1
 - A. “Secure Domain Name” (Claims 3, 10, and 25)3
 - B. “Encrypted Communications Channel” Phrases (Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 11-13, 18, 21, 22, and 26-29)8
 - C. “Intercept[ing] . . . A Request to Look up an Internet Protocol (IP) address” (Claims 1 and 21)11
 - D. “Domain Name” (Claims 1, 3, 10, 21, and 25).....13
 - E. “Provisioning Information” (Claims 1, 2, 9, and 21).....13
 - F. “Modulated Transmission Link/Unmodulated Transmission Link” (Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 27 and 28).....16
 - G. “Phone” (Claims 8, 15, 30, and 32)17
- III. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Render Obvious Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-26, and 28-3417
 - A. *Beser*’s Disclosure17
 - B. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Disclose “Intercepting From the Client Device a Request to Look Up an Internet Protocol (IP) Address Corresponding to a Domain Name Associated With the Target Device”21
 - 1. The Alleged Request in *Beser* Is Not a “Request to Look Up an Internet Protocol (IP) Address”22
 - 2. The Alleged Request in *Beser* Is Not “Intercept[ed]”23
 - C. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Would Not Have Been Combined as the Petition Suggests27
 - D. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Render Obvious Dependent Claims 3, 10, and 2533

E. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Render Obvious Dependent Claims 4 and 26.....34

F. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Render Obvious Dependent Claims 14 and 3135

G. *Beser* and RFC 2401 Do Not Render Obvious Dependent Claims 18-20 and 22-2437

H. Dependent Claims 2, 6-9, 12, 13, 15-17, 28-30, and 32-34.....38

IV. *Beser*, RFC 2401, and *Brand* Do Not Render Obvious 5, 11, and 27.....38

V. Petitioner Has Not Shown that RFC 2401 Is a Prior Art Printed Publication39

A. The Evidence Presented with the Petition Cannot Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFC 2401 Was Publicly Accessible.....39

B. The Board’s Findings Are Insufficient to Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFC 2401 Was Publicly Accessible.....41

C. The Supplemental Information Is Also Insufficient to Establish by a Preponderance of the Evidence that RFC 2401 Was Publicly Accessible44

VI. Petitioner’s Expert Testimony Should be Accorded Little, If Any Weight.....47

VII. Conclusion51

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alexsam, Inc. v. IDT Corp.</i> , 715 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	50
<i>Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 9 (June 25, 2015).....	39, 40
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 15 (May 14, 2014).....	4, 12, 25
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 41 (May 11, 2015).....	14
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00811, Paper No. 8 (Sept. 11, 2015).....	13, 14, 15
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts In Optics, Inc.</i> , 111 F. App'x 582 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	47, 50
<i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC</i> , 713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	6
<i>Brand v. Miller</i> , 487 F.3d 862 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	48
<i>Centricut, LLC v. Esab Group, Inc.</i> , 390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	47
<i>In re Cuozzo</i> , 793 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	3
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446, 2015 WL 5895939 (Oct. 6, 2015)	3
<i>Cyber Corp. v. FAS Techs., Inc.</i> , 138 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	6
<i>Dish Network L.L.C. v. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00499, Paper No. 7 (July 17, 2015)	41, 42

<i>Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014).....	44
<i>Finnigan Corp. v. ITC</i> , 180 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	47
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	32
<i>Google Inc. v. Art+Com Innovationpool GMBH</i> , IPR2015-00788, Paper No. 7 (September 2, 2015).....	40, 41
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	32
<i>Idle Free Sys., Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00027, Paper No. 26 (June 11, 2013).....	2
<i>Kinetic Technologies, Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00690, Paper No. 43 (Oct. 19, 2015)	46
<i>Koito Mfg. Co. v. Turn-Key-Tech LLC</i> , 381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	48
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	43
<i>Medichem, SA v. Rolabo, SL</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	32
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	7, 15
<i>Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems, Inc.</i> , 536 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	47, 50
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP</i> , IPR2014-00514, Paper No. 18 (Sep. 9, 2014).....	43
<i>Schumer v. Lab. Computer Sys., Inc.</i> , 308 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	48, 49

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.