

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-00810  
Case IPR2015-00811  
Patent 8,868,705 B2<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, JENNIFER S. BISK, and  
GREGG I. ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Conduct of the Proceedings  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

## INTRODUCTION

On December 9, 2015, a conference call was held in the above proceedings, among counsel for Apple, Inc. (“Petitioner”), counsel for VirnetX, Inc. (“Patent Owner”), and Judges Easthom, Bisk, and Anderson. Patent Owner requests authorization to file a motion requesting permission to file a request for certificate of correction under 37 C.F.R. § 1.322(a)(3), which applies where the error is made by the Patent Office.

## DISCUSSION

Patent Owner asserted in its Preliminary Response (“Prelim. Resp.,” Paper 3)<sup>2</sup> that claims 1 and 21 of the ’705 patent incorrectly includes the word “transmitted” instead of “intercepted.” Prelim. Resp. 29, n.3 (citing Ex. 1002, 638–639, 641, 655–656). Patent Owner represented in the Preliminary Response that the error was made by the Office during prosecution. *Id.* Petitioner agrees the error was made by the Office.

During the call, the parties stipulated that the change from “transmitted” to “intercepted” was not of patentable significance. Based on the stipulation, Petitioner agreed to Patent Owner’s filing of a request for certificate of correction without the need for the filing of a motion seeking our authorization.

## ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

---

<sup>2</sup> Citations are to IPR2015-00810. IPR2015-00811 raises the identical issues.

IPR2015-00810, IPR2015-0811  
Patent 8,868,705 B2

ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file a request for a certificate of correction changing the word “transmitted” in claims 1 and 21 to “intercepted;”

FURTHER ORDERED that the change of wording does not affect the patentable significance of claims 1 and 21; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order will be filed in IPR2015-00810 and IPR2015-00811.

IPR2015-00810, IPR2015-0811  
Patent 8,868,705 B2

PETITIONER:

Jeffrey P. Kushan  
Scott Border  
Thomas A. Broughan III  
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
[jkushan@sidley.com](mailto:jkushan@sidley.com)  
[sborder@sidley.com](mailto:sborder@sidley.com)  
[tbroughan@sidley.com](mailto:tbroughan@sidley.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Joseph E. Palys  
Naveen Modi  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
[josephpalys@paulhastings.com](mailto:josephpalys@paulhastings.com)  
[naveenmodi@paulhastings.com](mailto:naveenmodi@paulhastings.com)

Jason E. Stach  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.  
[Jason.stach@finnegan.com](mailto:Jason.stach@finnegan.com)