

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00810
Patent No. 8,868,705

Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(1)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. LEGAL STANDARD	1
III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	2
A. The Board Legally Erred by Overlooking the Absence of Evidence in Apple’s Petition Tending to Show That RFC 2401 Is a Printed Publication	2
B. The Board Erroneously Found That RFC 2401 Included Indicia Sufficient to Establish a Reasonable Likelihood That RFC 2401 Is a Printed Publication	7
IV. CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.,
IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 9 (June 25, 2015).....3, 4, 6

Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.,
IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 14 (August 12, 2015)1, 3, 6, 10

Dish Network L.L.C. v. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC,
IPR2015-00499, Paper No. 7 (July 17, 2015)3, 4, 10

Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC,
IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014).....9

Google Inc. v. Art+Com Innovationpool GMBH,
IPR2015-00788, Paper No. 7 (September 2, 2015).....3, 4, 6, 8

In re Lister,
583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)8, 9

Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP,
IPR2014-00514, Paper No. 18 (Sep. 9, 2014)9

Square Inc. v. Unwired Planet, LLC,
CBM2014-00156, Paper No. 22 (Feb. 26, 2015)4, 6

Symantec Corp. v. Trustees of Columbia Univ. in the City of N.Y.,
IPR2015-00371, Paper No. 13 (July 17, 2015)*passim*

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)4, 6

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c).....1

37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)1

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5).....5, 9

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. requests rehearing of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Decision entered September 11, 2015 ("Decision"), instituting an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,868,705 ("the '705 patent"). As explained in Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper No. 6), the Petition (Paper No. 1) fails to establish a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to any claim of the '705 patent and should be denied. Nonetheless, the Board instituted review and in doing so, it legally erred and overlooked certain matters with respect to whether Apple met its burden to show that one of the references at issue is a printed publication.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

"A party dissatisfied with a decision may file a request for rehearing." 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). "The request must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked, and the place where each matter was previously addressed in a motion, an opposition, or a reply." *Id.*

Institution decisions are reviewed on rehearing for an abuse of discretion. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c). An abuse of discretion occurs when a "decision [i]s based on an erroneous conclusion of law or clearly erroneous factual findings, or . . . a clear error of judgment." *Apple Inc. v. DSS Technology Management, Inc.*, IPR2015-00369, Paper No. 14 at 3 (August 12, 2015) (hereinafter *DSS Technology*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.