

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1996

Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

E-mail: josephpalys@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi

Paul Hastings LLP

875 15th Street NW

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 551-1990

Facsimile: (202) 551-0490

E-mail: naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00810
Patent 8,868,705

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,868,705**

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review.....	1
A.	The Petition Improperly Relies on Material That It Fails to Establish Is Statutory Prior Art	2
B.	The Petition’s Obviousness Ground Is Redundant and Should Be Denied.....	6
C.	The Petition Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood Petitioner Will Prevail With Respect to Obviousness	11
1.	<i>Beser</i> and RFC 2401 Would Not Have Been Combined as the Petition Suggests.....	12
2.	The Petition’s Analyses Is Conclusory and Does Not Meet the Requirements of § 312(a)(3).....	17
a)	Dependent Claims 4 and 26.....	18
b)	Dependent Claims 14 and 31	19
c)	Dependent claims 18-20 and 22-24.....	21
III.	The Petition’s Claim Constructions Are Flawed and Should Be Rejected	22
A.	Overview of the ’705 Patent.....	24
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	25
C.	“Encrypted Communications Channel” Phrases (Claims 1, 2, 4- 7, 9, 11-13, 18, 21, 22, and 26-29)	26
D.	“Intercept[ing] . . . A Request to Look up an Internet Protocol (IP) address” (Claims 1 and 21)	29
E.	“Domain Name” (Claims 1, 3, 10, 21, and 25).....	33
F.	“Secure Domain Name” (Claims 3, 10, and 25)	34

- G. “Provisioning Information” (Claims 1, 9, and 21).....37
- H. “Modulated Transmission Link/Unmodulated Transmission Link” (Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 27 and 28).....39
- I. “Phone” (Claims 8, 15, 30, and 32)41
- IV. Conclusion41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00079, Paper No. 8 (Apr. 25, 2014).....	19
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00237, Paper No. 15 (Sept. 15, 2014).....	29, 34, 40
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00483, Paper No. 11 (Sept. 15, 2014).....	9
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	11
<i>Elec. Frontier Found. v. Pers. Audio, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00070, Paper No. 21 (Apr. 18, 2014).....	5
<i>EMC Corp. v. Personal Web Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00087, Paper No. 25 (June 5, 2013).....	8
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	16
<i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 15 (Jan. 9, 2013).....	23
<i>Google Inc. et al. v. EveryMD.com LLC</i> , IPR2014-00347, Paper No. 9 (May 22, 2014).....	19
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	11
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	11
<i>L-3 Comm. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00832, Paper No. (Nov. 14, 2014)	3

<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (Oct. 25, 2012).....	7
<i>Medichem, SA v. Rolabo, SL</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	17
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , No. 2014-1542, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015).....	24, 25
<i>Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Mobile Scanning Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00093, Paper No. 28 (Apr. 29, 2013).....	23
<i>Petroleum Geo-Services Inc., v. WesternGeco LLC</i> , IPR2014-01476, Paper No. 18 (Mar. 17, 2015)	11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>).....	23, 39
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP</i> , IPR2014-00514, Paper No. 18 (Sep. 9, 2014).....	5
<i>Tempur Sealy Int’l, Inc. v. Select Comfort Corp.</i> , IPR2014-01419, Paper No. 9 (Mar. 27, 2015)	19
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Sys. Inc.</i> , 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	28
<i>In re Zletz</i> , 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	23
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	11
35 U.S.C. § 311(b)	1, 2, 4
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	1, 2, 17
35 U.S.C. § 313.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	2, 21, 22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.