Google Inc. vs. Summit 6 LLC MARTIN E. KALISKI, PH.D. on 02/26/2016


```
1
             UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2
              BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
     GOOGLE INC.,
                                     ORIGINAL
 5
              Petitioner,
 6
                                  Case IPR2015-00806
     vs.
 7
     SUMMIT 6 LLC,
                                  U.S. Patent No. 7,765,482
 8
                                  Case IPR2015-00807
              Patent Owner.
                               )
 9
                                  U.S. Patent No. 8,612,515
10
11
12
13
14
              DEPOSITION OF MARTIN E. KALISKI, PH.D.
15
                     San Luis Obispo, California
16
                      Friday, February 26, 2016
17
                        8:00 a.m. - 10:57 a.m.
18
19
20
                   Lora L. Shoffstall, RPR, CSR 9271
     Reported by:
21
                   File No. 214310
22
23
24
25
```

San Luis Obispo 805.541.0333 Santa Maria 805.928.7554 Merit Court Reporting & Video www.MeritReporting.com

depos@MeritReporting.com Fax: 805.541.2136




4	MILE DEDOCTATION OF MADRIN B. MALIGHT DU D
1	THE DEPOSITION OF MARTIN E. KALISKI, PH.D.
2	was taken at Merit Court Reporting & Video, 3220 South
3	Higuera Street, Suite 323, San Luis Obispo, California,
4	before Lora L. Shoffstall, CSR No. 9271 and Registered
5	Professional Reporter, on Friday, February 26, 2016,
6	commencing at 8:00 a.m.
7	
8	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
9	For Petitioner:
10	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND
11	BY: MICHAEL MORLOCK, ESQ.
12	1001 West Fourth Street
13	Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101
14	(336) 607-7391
15	mmorlock@kilpatricktownsend.com
16	For Patent Owner:
17	LEE & HAYES, PLLC
18	BY: JOHN SHUMAKER, PH.D., ESQ.
19	11501 Alterra Parkway, Suite 450
20	Austin, Texas 78758
21	(512) 872-3006
22	jshumaker@leehayes.com
23	
24	
25	





1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS EXAMINED BY	PAGE
3	MARTIN E. KALISKI, PH.D BY MR. MORLOCK	4
4	BY MR. SHUMAKER	101
5		
6		
7	INFORMATION REQUESTED	
8	(None)	
9		
10		
11		
12	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER	
13	(None)	
14		
15		
16		
17	EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
18	(No exhibits offered.)	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		




1		MARTIN E. KALISKI, PH.D.,
2		having first been duly sworn,
3		was examined and testified as follows:
4		
5		EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. MO	ORLOCK:
7	Q.	Could you state your full name for the record,
8	please.	
9	A.	Martin Kaliski. K-a-l-i-s-k-i.
10	Q.	Thank you. And could you give your address
11	too, plea	ase.
12	A.	2831 El Cerrito, C-e-r-r-i-t-o, Street,
13	San Luis	Obispo, California 93401.
14	Q.	Thank you. Dr. Kaliski, is there any reason
15	you can't	t give complete and accurate testimony this
16	morning?	
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	And I see from your CV you have been deposed
19	before.	
20	A.	Many times.
21	Q.	Approximately how many?
22	A.	Between 50 and 60.
23	Q.	Between 50 and 60. So I will give you the very
24	short ver	rsion of standard deposition instructions.
25		I'm going to ask questions, and you're going to



San Luis Obispo 805.541.0333 Santa Maria 805.928.7554

1	answer those questions. Do you understand that?
2	A. I do understand that.
3	Q. And you understand you're under oath just as
4	though you were testifying in front of a judge and jury
5	and all that? Just, we're in a room?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. Okay. I will assume you're going to understand
8	a question I ask unless you ask for clarification.
9	A. That's fair.
10	Q. That's fair. Thank you.
11	Obviously, you need to respond audibly so our
12	court reporter can type out the answer. So no nodding
13	and all that.
14	Could you walk me through your education,
15	starting with immediately after high school.
16	A. Sure. I was at MIT for nine years, from
17	freshman through PhD.
18	Q. And what degrees did you receive from MIT?
19	A. Four degrees. I received a bachelor of science
20	in electrical engineering, a bachelor of science in
21	mathematics, a master of science in electrical
22	engineering, and a PhD in electrical engineering.
23	Q. Is your experience primarily engineering, is
24	what it sounds like?
25	A. As opposed to?





DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

