

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2015-00758, Paper No. 27

IPR2015-00785, Paper No. 30

IPR2015-00792, Paper No. 29

IPR2015-00800, Paper No. 31

IPR2015-00801, Paper No. 27

571-272-7822

August 11, 2016

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Petitioner

vs.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00758, 785, 792, 800, and 801

Patent 7,237,634 and 8,214,097

Application 11/229762

Technology Center 3600

Oral Hearing Held: June 29, 2016

Before: JAMESON LEE, SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and CARL M. DeFRANCO, Administrative Patent Judges

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, June 29, 2016 at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia in Courtroom A, at 10:49 a.m.

REPORTED BY: KAREN BRYNTESON, RMR, CRR, FAPR

Case IPR2015-00758, 785, 792, 800, and 801
Patent 7,237,634 and 8,214,097

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER, FORD MOTOR:

SANGEETA SHAH, ESQ.
JOHN RONDINI, ESQ.
FRANK ANGILERI, ESQ.
ANDREW TURNER, ESQ.
Brooks Kushman LLP
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
(248) 358-4400

LISSI MOJICA, ESQ.
KEVIN GREENLEAF, ESQ.
Dentons US LLP
1530 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1125
650-798-0300

MATTHEW J. MOORE, ESQ.
GABRIEL K. BELL, ESQ.
Latham & Watkins LLP
555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
(202) 637-2200

Case IPR2015-00758, 785, 792, 800, and 801
Patent 7,237,634 and 8,214,097

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOHN S. GOETZ, ESQ.
Fish & Richardson P.C.
601 Lexington Avenue
52nd Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 765-5070

BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQ.
LINDA L. KORDZIEL, ESQ.
Fish & Richardson, P.C.
1425 K Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 783-5070

ALSO PRESENT:

DAVID KELLEY, Ford
FRANCES KEENAN, Paice and Abell

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (10:49 a.m.)

3 JUDGE MEDLEY: Please be seated. Good
4 morning. This is the hearing for IPR2015-00758, 785, 792, 800,
5 and 801, between Petitioner, Ford, and Patent Owner, Paice and
6 Abell Foundation, involving challenged claims of U.S. Patents
7 7,237,634 and 8,214,097.

8 Oral argument for this session has been consolidated
9 for these five IPRs for the convenience of the parties, as they
10 requested. We have not expanded the panel for any one case, so
11 we want to make that clear. Do you understand?

12 Per the May 26th order, each party will have 45
13 minutes of total time to present arguments. Petitioner will
14 proceed first to present your case with respect to the challenged
15 claims and grounds for which the Board instituted trial.

16 Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to
17 Petitioner's presentation. And Petitioner, you may reserve
18 rebuttal time.

19 At this time, and because we have an additional
20 panel member here at the bench, please introduce yourselves for
21 the record, beginning with the Petitioner.

22 MR. ANGILERI: Your Honor, Frank Angileri for
23 Petitioner. With me at counsel table is Andy Turner.

24 JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you.

1 MR. GOETZ: John Goetz on behalf of Patent
2 Owner, Fish & Richardson. With me is Mr. Livedalen, who will
3 present the argument. And we also have lead counsel, Ms.
4 Kordziel. And Ms. Francie Keenan from Paice and Abell
5 Foundation. Thank you, Your Honor.

6 JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you.

7 MR. ANGILERI: Your Honor, we have a bench
8 book for Judge Lee. Can I bring that up now?

9 JUDGE LEE: Please.

10 MR. ANGILERI: May it please the Board, again, I
11 am Frank Angileri for Ford.

12 And as we talked, these petitions focus largely on
13 the Severinsky and Bumby prior art that the Board has
14 addressed in final decisions. As such, many of the issues raised
15 in these five petitions concern issues that the Board has finally
16 decided in other petitions.

17 Similar to our group 1, what we have done for the
18 Board is on slide 2, we tried to summarize the issues as we
19 understand them being raised by Paice. On the left side, we
20 have also tried to correlate it with slides on the presentation.
21 And then up at the top, we correlate those issues with the
22 various petitions that are in this section.

23 We have also tried to add a color in yellow for what
24 we understand to be a new issue, and green for what we believe
25 to be an old issue or a decided issue. And then again at the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.