UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner
V.
PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC. Patent Owner
Case IPR2015-00801 Patent 7,237,634

### **PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTI	RODUCTION	. 1
II.	THE	'634 PATENT	.2
	A.	Background of the '634 Patent	.2
	B.	Claim Construction	.4
		1. "setpoint (SP)"	.4
		2. The Challenged Claims Require a Comparison of Road Load to Setpoint and MTO	
		3. "abnormal and transient conditions"	0
III.	ARGUMENT11		
	A.	Ford's Conclusory Petition is Deficient Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)1	2
	В.	Grounds 1-8 Should Be Denied—the Prior Art of Record Fails to Disclose Using RL to Determine When to Operate the Engine1	3
		<ol> <li>Severinsky Uses Speed to Determine When to Use the Engine</li> <li>14</li> </ol>	
		2. The Passages of Severinsky on Which Ford Relies Are Inapposite—Severinsky Does Not Use Road Load to Determine Whe to Operate the Engine	
	C.	Grounds 1-8 Should Be Denied—the Prior Art of Record Fails to Disclose a Setpoint	26
		1. Severinsky's Sweet Spot Is Not a Setpoint	28
		2. Ford's Focus on <i>Output</i> Torques Is Flawed	5
		3. Severinsky Does Not Disclose the "Abnormal and Transient	



		Conditions" Limitations
	D.	Ford's Reliance on '634 Patent's Discussion of Severinsky is Flawed and Improper
	Е.	Grounds 1-3, 5, and 8 Should Be Denied—Ford Fails to Establish a Rationale to Combine Severinsky with Yamaguchi
	F.	Ground 2 Should Be Denied—Lateur's Cruise Control Is Not a Road- Load-Based Control Strategy
	G.	Ground 3 Should Be Denied—Suga's Vehicle Does Not Relate to Sizing a Motor in a Hybrid Vehicle
	H.	Grounds 4-6 Should Be Denied—the Prior Art of Record Fails to Disclose Limiting a Rate of Change of Torque Output of the Engine to Achieve Stoichiometry
		1. Vittone Does Not Disclose Controlling the Engine by Limiting a Rate of Change of Torque Output of the Engine
		2. Ford Fails to Establish a Motivation to Combine Vittone with Severinsky, Yamaguchi, and Suga
	I.	Ground 8 Should Be Denied—the Prior Art of Record Fails to Disclose Road-Load-Based Hysteresis
		Neither Severinsky nor Frank Discloses Road-Load-Based Hysteresis      55
		2. Ford Fails to Establish a Rationale to Combine
IV.	CON	CLUSION60



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Pa	ge(s)
Cases	
In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc., 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	8
Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc., 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13
Ex parte Clapp, 227 U.S.P.Q. 972 (BPAI 1985)	50
Clearwater Sys. Corp. v. Evapco, Inc. 394 F. App'x 699 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	43
In re Cortright, 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	7
In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC, 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
Elkay Mfg. Co. v. Ebco Mfg. Co., 192 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	43
Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	7
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)1	2, 13
Ex parte Gunasekar, et al., Appeal 2009-008345, 2011 WL 3872007 (BPAI Aug. 29, 2011)5	0, 60
Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs., 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	47
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)5	
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)12, 5	



Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	passim
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7, 10
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (CCPA 1981)	13
PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	44
In re Suitco Surface, Inc., 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
Tempo Lighting Inc. v. Tivoli LLC, 742 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	38
In re Vaidyanathan, 381 Fed. Appx. 985 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	8
Whole Space Indus., Ltd. v. Zipshade Indus. (B.V.I.) Corp., IPR2015-00488, Paper 14 (PTAB Jul. 24, 2015)	49, 60
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103	1, 11, 12, 59
35 U.S.C. § 311	1
35 U.S.C. § 322	12
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	1, 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

