

STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

IPR Case No.: IPR2015-00800

**REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO 7,237,634**

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities iii

Updated Exhibit List iv

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claim construction.....2

 A. “Setpoint (SP)”2

 B. Paice seeks to amend each independent claim to include “a comparison of the RL to a setpoint (SP) results in a determination that ...”3

III. Paice’s procedural arguments are unfounded.....4

 A. Ford’s Petition provides a detailed analysis of the claims with respect to the Bumby references and is not conclusory4

 B. Ford is not estopped from maintaining its challenges to dependent claims 172, 226, 230, and 2345

IV. The Board has already found the Bumby references use “road load” compared to a “setpoint” to determine when to operate the engine.....6

 A. The Bumby references use “actual torque and speed values” for determining the vehicle’s mode of operation.....8

 1. Mr. Hannemann’s example confirms that the sub-optimal control strategy is based on torque not “demand power”9

 B. Ford accurately described the teachings of the Bumby references.....12

 1. Paice is incorrect that Bumby V is “separate and distinct” from the teachings of Bumby II and III12

 2. The Board has already found that the Bumby references determine the recited “road load”14

 3. Paice’s footnote argument regarding the Board’s Decision in IPR2014-00579 does not have merit15

 C. Ford accurately described the sub-optimal control strategy18

 D. The Bumby references are not “fundamentally different” than the claimed control strategy as Paice alleges20

V. The Board has already found that Ford sufficiently established that there exists a motivation to combine Bumby I - Bumby V21

 A. The Board has already found that Bumby IV and V do not teach away from Bumby II and III23

VI. Conclusion25
Certificate of Service26

Table of Authorities

Cases

Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB,
892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989)23

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966)5

In re Fulton,
391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)23

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....25

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 3155

Updated Exhibit List

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1901	U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	July 3, 2007	'634 Patent
1902	7,237,634 File History (certified)	n/a	'634 Patent File History
1903	Declaration of Gregory Davis		Davis Dec.
1904	Ford Letter to Paice	Sept. 2014	Ford Letter
1905	“Computer modelling of the automotive energy requirements for internal combustion engine and battery electric-powered vehicles,” IEE PROCEEDINGS, Vol. 132	Sept. 1985	Bumby I
1906	“Optimisation and control of a hybrid electric car,” IEE PROCEEDINGS, Vol. 134	Nov. 1987	Bumby II
1907	“A hybrid internal combustion engine/battery electric passenger car for petroleum displacement,” Proc Inst Mech Engrs Vol 202	1988	Bumby III
1908	“A test-bed facility for hybrid i c-engine/battery-electric road vehicle drive trains,” Trans Inst MC Vol 10	Apr.-June 1988	Bumby IV
1909	“Integrated microprocessor control of a hybrid i.c. engine/battery-electric automotive power train,” Trans Inst MC Vol 12	1990	Bumby V
1910	Masding Thesis – “Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles”	Nov. 1989	Masding Thesis
1911	US Patent 7,104,347	Sept. 12, 2006	'347 Patent
1912	Toyota Litigations	2005	Toyota Litigation

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.