

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00800
Patent 7,237,634

**PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE '634 PATENT	2
A.	Background of the '634 Patent.....	2
B.	Claim Construction	5
1.	“setpoint (SP)”	6
2.	The Challenged Claims Require a Comparison of Road Load to Setpoint and MTO	11
III.	ARGUMENT	13
A.	Ford's Conclusory Petition is Deficient Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) ...	13
B.	Ford Will Be Estopped from Maintaining its Challenges to Claims 161 and 215	15
C.	The Bumby References Fail to Disclose Using Road Load and a Setpoint to Determine When to Operate the Engine.....	15
1.	The Petition Fails to Establish that the Bumby References Compare Road Load to a Setpoint	16
2.	Ford's Discussion of Torque at the Drive Wheels is Misleading 19	
3.	Ford and Dr. Davis's Description of the Sub-optimal Control Algorithm of Bumby II and Bumby III is Inaccurate	23
4.	The Sub-optimal Control Algorithm of Bumby II and Bumby III is Fundamentally Different from the Claimed Control Strategy ...	29
D.	Ford Fails to Establish a Motivation to Combine	32

1.	The Discussion in Ford's Petition is Insufficient	33
2.	Bumby IV and Bumby V Teach Away from Bumby II and Bumby III	39
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10
<i>Braintree Laboratories, Inc. v. Novel Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 749 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	35
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	6, 10
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	14
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	36
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	14
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5, 6, 9, 13
<i>In re Nilssen</i> , 837 F.2d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	33
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	6, 10, 13
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	35
<i>Smiths Indus. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Vital Signs, Inc.</i> , 183 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	33

...

In re Suitco Surface, Inc.,
603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)5, 6

U.S. Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.,
103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997) 11

In re Vaidyanathan,
381 Fed. Appx. 985 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 10

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1031, 13, 14

35 U.S.C. § 311 1

35 U.S.C. § 315 15

35 U.S.C. § 322 13

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.22 13

37 C.F.R. § 42.1001, 5, 15

37 C.F.R. § 42.120 1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.