

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 to Severinsky et al.

IPR Case No.: IPR2015-00795

**REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,104,347**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Updated List of Exhibits iii

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claim construction.....2

 A. *Setpoint*2

 B. Paice’s “comparison” amendment is improper2

III. Ford is not estopped as Paice alleges2

IV. Grounds 1-7: Ibaraki ’882 in view of Koide and the knowledge of a PHOSITA renders the challenged claims unpatentable3

 A. Ibaraki ’882 discloses operating the engine/motor when the “road load” is above/below a “setpoint”3

 1. Paice’s power argument is not based on the figures nor disclosure of Ibaraki ’8825

 2. Fig. 5 of Ibaraki ’882 discloses mode selection based on road load and setpoint9

 3. Even if Ibaraki is power-based, the challenged claims are obvious based on the undisputed mathematical relationship between power and torque11

 B. Ibaraki ’882 discloses a setpoint substantially less than MTO12

V. Ground 2: Claims 3 and 4 are obvious over Ibaraki ’882 in view of Koide, Frank, and the knowledge of a PHOSITA14

 A. As the Board has found, Frank discloses the hysteresis limitations14

 B. Rationale to combine Ibaraki ’882, Koide and Frank14

VI. Ground 3: Claim 16 is obvious over Ibaraki ’882 in view of Koide, Kawakatsu and the knowledge of a PHOSITA15

VII. Ground 4: Claim 20 is obvious over Ibaraki ’882 in view of Koide, Vittone, and the knowledge of a PHOSITA18

 A. As the Board has previously found, a PHOSITA would have understood that Vittone’s “steady state management” teaches that the rate of change of torque output of the engine is limited18

 B. Rationale to combine Ibaraki ’882 and Koide with Vittone19

 C. Paice’s narrow interpretation of Ibaraki ’882 and Vittone is incorrect20

VIII. Ground 5: Claim 19 is obvious over Ibaraki '882 in view of Koide, Yamaguchi, and the knowledge of a PHOSITA21

IX. Ground 6: Claim 22 is obvious over Ibaraki '882 in view of Koide, Ibaraki '626, and the knowledge of a PHOSITA21

X. Ground 7: Paice's arguments regarding the cruise control limitations of claim 14, and the rationale to combine Ibaraki '882 and Koide with Lateur are not persuasive22

 A. Lateur discloses the additional "cruise control" limitations22

 B. Rationale to combine Ibaraki '882 and Koide with Lateur.....24

XI. Conclusion24

Certificate of Service26

Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.2427

Updated List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1301	US Patent 7,104,347	Sept. 12, 2006	'347 Patent
1302	Ford Letter to Paice	Sept. 2014	
1303	US Patent 5,789,882	Aug. 4, 1998	Ibaraki '882
1304	US Patent 5,623,104	Apr. 22, 1997	Suga '104
1305	US Patent 4,335,429	Jun. 15, 1982	Kawakatsu '429
1306	Automotive Electronics Handbook (Jurgen)		Jurgen
1307	US Patent 5,823,280	Oct. 20, 1998	Lateur '280
1308	Declaration of Gregory Davis		Davis Dec.
1309	US Application 60-100095	Filed Sept. 11, 1998	'095 Provisional
1310	Excerpt of USPN 7,104,347 File History	n/a	'347 File History
1311	U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	July 3, 2007	'634 Patent
1312	7,237,634 File History (certified)	n/a	'634 Patent File History
1313	Toyota Litigations	2005	Toyota Litigation
1314	Hyundai Litigation	2013-2014	Hyundai Litigation
1315	PTAB Decisions & Preliminary Response in 2014-00571		
1316	Bosch Automotive Handbook (1996)	Oct. 1996	Bosch Handbook
1317	US Patent 5,934,395	Aug. 10, 1999	Koide
1318	US Patent 6,116,363	Sept. 12, 2000	Frank
1319	Engineering Fundamentals of the Internal Combustion Engine	1997	Pulkrabek
1320	Fiat Conceptual Approach to Hybrid Cars Design (Vittone)	Dec. 5-7, 1994	Vittone
1321	US Patent 5,865,263	Feb. 2, 1999	Yamaguchi
1322	US Patent 6,003,626	Dec. 21, 1999	Ibaraki '626
1323	Innovations in Design: 1993 Ford Hybrid Electric Vehicle Challenge	Feb. 1994	

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1324	1996 & 1997 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1997 & Feb. 1998	
1325	Introduction to Automotive Powertrain (Davis)		Davis Textbook
1326	History of Hybrid Electric Vehicle (Wakefield-1998)	1998	Wakefield
1327	SAE 760121 (Unnewehr-1976)	Feb. 1, 1976	Unnewehr
1328	SAE 920447 (Burke-1992)	Feb. 1, 1992	Burke 1992
1329	Vehicle Tester for HEV (Duoba-1997)	Aug. 1, 1997	Duoba 1997
1330	DOE Report to Congress (1994)	April 1995	1994 Report to Congress
1331	SAE SP-1331 (1998)	Feb. 1998	SAE SP-1331
1332	SAE SP-1156 (1996)	Feb. 1996	SAE SP-1156
1333	Microprocessor Design for HEV (Bumby-1988)	Sept. 1, 1988	Bumby/Masding 1988
1334	DOE HEV Assessment (1979)	Sept. 30, 1979	HEV Assessment 1979
1335	EPA HEV Final Study (1971)	June 1, 1971	EPA HEV Final Study
1336	Propulsion System for Design for EV (Ehsani-1996)	June 18, 2005	IEEE Ehsani 1996
1337	Propulsion System Design for HEV (Ehsani-1997)	Feb. 1997	IEEE Ehsani 1997
1338	Critical Issues in Quantifying HEV Emissions (An 1998)	Aug. 11, 1998	An 1998
1339	WO 9323263A1 (Field)	Nov. 25, 1998	9323263
1340	Toyota Prius (Yamaguchi-1998)	Jan. 1998	Toyota Prius Yamaguchi 1998
1341	US Patent 6,209,672	April 3, 2001	'672 Patent
1342	SAE SP-1089 (Anderson-1995)	Feb. 1995	SAE SP-1089
1343	1973 Development of the Federal Urban Driving Schedule (SAE 730553)	1973	SAE 1973
1344	Gregory Davis Resume		
1345	Gregory Davis Data		
1346	US Patent 4,407,132	Oct. 4, 1983	Kawakatsu '132

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.