UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner V.
PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION Patent Owner
Case IPR2015-00795 Patent 7,104,347

DECLARATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN IN SUPPORT OF THE PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION				
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE				
III.	LEGAL UNDERSTANDING				
IV.	DEFINITION OF A PERSON OF SKILL IN THE ART				
V.	THE	'347]	PATENT	10	
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS				
VII.	ANALYSIS AND OPINIONS				
	A.	do n	prior art references selected by Ford and Dr. Davis ot disclose using road load compared to a setpoint to rmine when to operate the engine	14	
		1.	Introduction to Ibaraki '882	14	
		2.	Ibaraki '882 does not compare road load to setpoint	17	
		3.	Ibaraki '882 does not disclose a setpoint that is "substantially less than MTO"	37	
	B. Koide does not teach or render obvious a torque-based control strategy			40	
	C. Frank does not disclose road-load-based hysteresis			40	
	D. The proposed combination of Kawakatsu and Ibaraki '882 does not disclose or render obvious "wherein the total torque available at the road wheels from said internal combustion engine is no greater than the total torque available from said first and second electric motors combined"				
	E.		one does not disclose controlling the engine by ting a rate of change of torque output of the engine	45	



		1.	Introduction to Vittone	45
		2.	Vittone does not disclose road load or torque required from the engine to propel the hybrid vehicle and/or to drive one or more of the first or the second motors to charge the battery	47
		3.	Vittone does not disclose limiting a rate of change of engine torque output	51
		4.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine Ibaraki '882 with Vittone	52
	F.	reme	bining Yamaguchi with Ibaraki '882 does not dy the defects identified above with respect to ki '882	53
	G.	reme	bining Ibaraki '882 with Ibaraki '626 does not dy the defects identified above with respect to ki '882	54
	H.		ur's cruise control is not a road-load-based control	55
VIII	I CONCLUSION		SION	56



DECLARATION EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Name
Ex. 2301	Table of Ford's IPR Petitions
Ex. 2302	Gregory Davis Deposition Transcript (Feb. 25, 2015)
Ev. 2202	The Oxford Essential Dictionary, American Ed.
Ex. 2303	(1998) (excerpt)
Ex. 2304	Appendix A (Jan. 15, 2014)
Ex. 2305	Declaration of Daniel A. Tishman in Support of
EX. 2303	Patent Owners' Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 2306	Declaration of Neil Hannemann
Ex. 2307	Neil Hannemann CV
	Gregory W. Davis, Deposition Tr. (IPR2015-00722,
Ex. 2308	IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787, IPR2015-00790,
EX. 2300	IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794, IPR2015-00795)
	(January 13, 2016)
	Hybrid Power Unit Development for Fiat Multipla
Ex. 2309	Vehicle," by A. Caraceni, G. Cipolla, and R.
EX. 2309	Barbiero, SAE Publication 981124 (1998)
	("Caraceni")
Ex. 2310	Ex. 1661 from IPR2015-00790
Ev. 2211	Jeffery L. Stein, Deposition Tr. (IPR2014-00875)
Ex. 2311	(Mar. 3, 2015)
Ex. 2312	Gregory W. Davis, Deposition Tr. (IPR2014-00571,
EX. 2312	IPR2014-00579) (January 13, 2015)
Ex. 2313	Gregory W. Davis Deposition Tr. (IPR2014-01416)
LA. 2313	(June 3, 2015)



I, Neil Hannemann, hereby declare the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained by counsel for Paice LLC and the Abell Foundation (collectively, "Paice" or "Patent Owner") to investigate and analyze certain issues relating to the validity of claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 ("the '347 patent").
- 2. Specifically, for purposes of this declaration, I have been asked to analyze the arguments made by Ford Motor Company ("Ford" or "Petitioner") in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of the '347 patent, Case No. IPR2015-00795. I have reviewed Ford's petition, along with the declaration of Ford's expert, Dr. Davis, and the documents cited therein. I have reviewed the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("the Board") decision to institute, as well as the Board's claim constructions. My analysis is based on the Board's claim constructions, unless I specifically note otherwise.
- 3. I understand that the Board has instituted review of the following claims of the '347 patent (the "challenged claims"): 1, 3-5, 14, 16, 19, 20, and 22. The Board did not institute review of claim 2.
- 4. I understand that Ford and Dr. Davis argue that the challenged claims are obvious over various combinations of U.S. Patent No. 5,789,882 to Ibaraki et al. (Ex. 1303) ("Ibaraki '882"), U.S. Patent 5,934,395 to Koide (Ex. 1317) ("Koide"),



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

