# FORD MOTOR COMPANY v. PAICE, L.L.C., ET AL.

## NEIL HANNEMANN (416)

September 4, 2015

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Δ

1         UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE         1         A P P E A R A N C E S           2         ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY:         3         (by videoconference)           3         BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD         3         (by videoconference)           4	
3         BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD         3         (by videoconference)           4	
4	
5         FORD MOTOR COMPANY         5         JOHN P. RONDINI, ESQUIRE           6         Petitioner,         6         FRANK A. ANGILERI, ESQUIRE           7         v.         7         BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.           8         PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.         8         1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor           9         Patent Owner         9         Southfield, Michigan 48075           10	
6         Petitioner,         6         FRANK A. ANGILERI, ESOURE           7         v.         7         BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.           8         PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.         8         1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor           9         Patent Owner         9         Southfield, Michigan 48075           10	
7       v.       7       BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.         8       PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.       8       1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor         9       Patent Owner       9       Southfield, Michigan 48075         10	
8         PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.         8         1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor           9         Patent Owner         9         Southfield, Michigan 48075           10	
9         Patent Owner         9         Southfield, Michigan 48075           10	
10	
11       U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634       11         12       IPR Case No.: IPR2014-01416       12       ON BEHALF OF PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION         13       BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE       13       BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE         14       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN       14       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         15       Washington, D.C.       15       1425 K Street, Northwest         16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070       19         20       20       21         21       22       23         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,       1       C O N T E N T S         2       held at the offices of:	
12       IPR Case No.: IPR2014-01416       12       ON BEHALF OF PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION         13       13       BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE         14       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN       14       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         15       Washington, D.C.       15       1425 K Street, Northwest         16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070       20         20       20       21         22       23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8       8	
13       BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE         14       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN       14       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         15       Washington, D.C.       15       1425 K Street, Northwest         16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       18       (202) 783-5070         19       20       21         20       21       22         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8       8	INC
14       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN       14       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         15       Washington, D.C.       15       1425 K Street, Northwest         16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070       19         20       20       20         21       22       23         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8       8	
15       Washington, D.C.       15       1425 K Street, Northwest         16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070       19         20       20       20         21       21       21         22       22       23         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:       2         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3       By Mr. Rondini	
16       Friday, September 4, 2015       16       11th Floor         17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070         19       19         20       20         21       21         22       22         23       Job No. 90945         24       Pages 1 - 29         25       Reported by: Karen Young         25       Reported by: Karen Young         26       25         27       24         28       Page 2         29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young         26       Page 2         27       Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         4       1425 K Street, Northwest         5       11th Floor         6       Washington, D.C. 20005         7       (202) 783-5070         8       8	
17       1:05 p.m.       17       Washington, D.C. 20005         18       (202) 783-5070         19       19         20       20         21       21         22       22         23       Job No. 90945         24       Pages 1 - 29         25       Reported by: Karen Young         26       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         4       1425 K Street, Northwest         5       11th Floor         6       Washington, D.C. 20005         7       (202) 783-5070         8       8	
18       18       (202) 783-5070         19       19         20       20         21       21         22       22         23       Job No. 90945         24       Pages 1 - 29         25       Reported by: Karen Young         26       23         27       Page 2         28       24         29       24         29       25         Page 2       Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.         4       1425 K Street, Northwest         5       11th Floor         6       Washington, D.C. 20005         7       (202) 783-5070         8       8	
20       20         21       21         22       22         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:       2         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8	
21       21         22       22         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:       2         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8	
22       22         23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:       2         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3         4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8	
23       Job No. 90945       23         24       Pages 1 - 29       24         25       Reported by: Karen Young       25         Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,         2       held at the offices of:       2         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3       By Mr. Rondini	
24Pages 1 - 292425Reported by: Karen Young25Page 2Page 21Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,12held at the offices of:23FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.341425 K Street, Northwest4511th Floor56Washington, D.C. 2000567(202) 783-5070788	
25Reported by: Karen Young25Page 2Page 21Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,1C O N T E N T S2held at the offices of:2EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN3FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.3By Mr. Rondini	
Page 2       Page 2         1       Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN,       1       C O N T E N T S         2       held at the offices of:       2       EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN         3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3       By Mr. Rondini	
1Videoconference Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN, leld at the offices of:1C O N T E N T S2held at the offices of:2EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN3FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.3By Mr. Rondini	
2held at the offices of:2EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN3FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.3By Mr. Rondini	2 4
3       FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.       3       By Mr. Rondini	
4       1425 K Street, Northwest       4         5       11th Floor       5         6       Washington, D.C. 20005       6         7       (202) 783-5070       7         8       8	PAGE
5     11th Floor     5       6     Washington, D.C. 20005     6       7     (202) 783-5070     7       8     8	
6     Washington, D.C. 20005     6       7     (202) 783-5070     7       8     8	
7     (202) 783-5070     7       8     8	
8 8	
9 9	
10 J0	
12 Pursuant to notice, before Karen Young, 12 E X H I B I T S	
13 Notary Public of the District of Columbia. 13 Exhibit 1 Declaration of Neil Hannemann 5	
14 14 Exhibit 2 U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	
15 15 Exhibit 3 U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970 27	
16 16	
17 (Attached to Transcript)	
18 18	
19 19	
20 20	
21 21	
22 22	
23 23	
24 24 25 25	
25 Z5	

_ 1		
Page 5	Pac	ge 7
1 PROCEEDINGS	1 you've worked on control strategies, correct?	
2 (Deposition Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3	2 <b>A Yes.</b>	
<sup>3</sup> were marked for identification.)	3 Q Okay. Do you understand what hysteresis	s is
4 NEIL HANNEMANN,	4 with respect to control strategies?	
5 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	5 MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection to form.	
6 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR FORD MOTOR COMPANY	6 A It can be yes, I do understand.	
7	7 Q What is your understanding of hysteresis	
8 BY MR. RONDINI:	8 with respect to control strategies?	
9 Q For this matter, this is the deposition for	<sup>9</sup> A It's a factor that you can can build	
10 matter IPR2014-01416. For the record, Mr. Hannemann,	10 into your controls to to create that, to cre	ate
11 can you state your full name?	11 the hysteresis.	
12 A Neil Hannemann.	12 Q Okay, let's let's you kind of did a	
13 Q And would the court reporter please hand	13 circular answer there and you answered the quest	tion
14 Mr. Hannemann the exhibit labeled Exhibit 1? Mr.	14 with a question. What is your understanding of	
15 Hannemann, for the record, what is Exhibit Number 1?	15 hysteresis with respect to control strategies? Let's	S
16 A This is my declaration in support of the	16 try that again.	
17 patent owner's response in this matter.	A Well, when you're using it in a contro	I
18 Q Mr. Hannemann, before we we dive into	18 strategy, you're you're actually creating t	
19 your declaration in detail, could you please explain	19 hysteresis.	
20 to me what your understanding of hysteresis is?	20 Q What are you what do you mean by you	u're
A You mean like the the Webster Dictionary	21 creating the hysteresis?	
22 understanding?	A Well, when you're controlling someth	ing,
23 Q No, in in your technical understanding,	23 it's not a naturally occurring characteristic,	-
24 what as an engineer and somebody who's worked in	control has to then create that hysteresis.	
the engineering field for over 20 years, what is	25 Q What is your definition of hysteresis?	
Page 6	Dac	ge 8
_		-
hysteresis in your opinion?     A Well, hysteresis is, as I've mostly	A Well, you know, I would probably go to dictionary, which I don't have handy with me	
	j,j,j	
<ul> <li>a encountered it, it's having a different value when</li> <li>you're increasing or decreasing some type of</li> </ul>	·····, ····, ·····, ······, ····	111
<ul> <li>you re increasing or decreasing some type of</li> <li>function.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>G Q Okay, and and in your experience, is</li> </ul>		
7 hysteresis a a form of control strategy that is	······································	
	······································	mv
8 commonly employed? 9 MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.	<ul> <li>8 trying to just think if I actually define that in</li> <li>9 declaration. Just going to flip through and se</li> </ul>	-
10 A I think hysteresis is more of a	<ul> <li>declaration. Just going to hip through and se</li> <li>can yeah, I mean, I don't think I actually d</li> </ul>	
10 A T think hysteresis is more of a 11 characteristic, and it's sometimes it's not	10 can yean, I mean, I don't think I actually o 11 it, that generally in my declaration, so	enned
12 related to a control strategy. It's sometimes	12 Q Sitting here today though, could you	
<ul> <li>12 related to a control strategy. It's sometimes</li> <li>13 something that can occur in a, you know, mechanical</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>provide me with what your understanding I know</li> </ol>	
13 something that can occur in a, you know, mechanical 14 device, so	14 it's not going to be in the dictionary and I'm not	v
15 Q So within a mechanical I'm sorry, go	15 going to hold you to it. I'm just trying to	
16 ahead.	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	rt
17 A Well, I should say, for example, a shock	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;
5		
<ul> <li>absorber, wouldn't an engineer have designed the</li> <li>shock absorber to have that hysteresis function?</li> </ul>	1 3	-
5	3 3 1	stems,
	1,2	
<ul> <li>characteristic that occurs, and engineers understand</li> <li>it, but it it can be just a natural occurrence.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>absorbers, rubber bushings. There's a lot of</li> <li>mechanical components that have hysteresis</li> </ul>	26.2
25 Q Okay. Now, in your engineering experience,	<ul> <li>24 mechanical components that have hysteresis</li> <li>25 characteristic, and that's that's where it co</li> </ul>	
2.5 Q Okay. Now, in your engineering experience,	and that s that s where it co	11162

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 9		Page 11
1	from. Now, lately control systems have you know,	1	that electronically and, you know, even likely
2	you program controlling hysteresis, and that's in a	2	even have it calibrateable, if that's a word, or a
3	way artificially creating this characteristic that	3	user could have some input into those criteria, and
4	occurs in mechanical components.	4	with a mechanical system, you wouldn't.
5	Q So let's I guess maybe take a look at a	5	Q So with electronic control systems, if I'm
6	couple of examples of, you know, control systems that	6	understanding your testimony correct, hysteresis is a
7	might incorporate some type of hysteresis. You're	7	form of control strategy where you would have some
8	familiar with thermostats, correct?	8	type of a set threshold or a setpoint, and the
9	A Like in the house?	9	hysteresis would be used to not have fluctuations
10	Q Sure, in a house.	10	around that setpoint. Is that an accurate assessment
11	A Sure.	11	of what your understanding of hysteresis is?
12	Q Yeah, would would a thermostat in your	12	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.
13	house, would that have some type of a hysteresis	13	A Well, using the word "setpoint," which is
14	built into the control system if it's electronic?	14	very specific to this this matter, and I don't
15	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, calls for	15	know if the thermostat designers used the terminology
16	speculation.	16	"setpoint," but I think that the in a thermostat,
17	A Yeah, this is something I could never even	17	there's actually two points. There's a high and a
18	explain to my ex-wife because she didn't she	18	low, so it it basically fluctuates between a high
19	didn't get it, but you could I mean, these days a	19	and a low point.
20	lot of thermostats are electronic and you'd program	20	Q Around the threshold, so getting around
21	that in. In the older days it was a mercury switch	21	from the use of "setpoint" as it's used in the in
22	that would, you know, physically give you the	22	the patents, let's use the "threshold." It's not
23	hysteresis characteristic in a thermostat. So that's	23	used in the patent claims. So it's your
24	an example of a of a component that has the same	24	understanding that you would have a threshold value,
25	function but it's it's changed how it arrives at	25	and then you would have the hysteresis would be
	Tunction but it 3 it 3 changed now it arrives at		
	Page 10		Page 12
1	that function.	1	some band above and below that threshold value to
2	Q Right, so so moving from the mercury	2	ensure your furnace doesn't turn on and off if the
3	switch mechanical systems to the electronic systems	3	temperature's fluctuating around that threshold; is
4	that are commonly found in houses today, how would	4	that correct?
5	how would the hysteresis be implemented in a control	5	A Yeah, and again, I don't know the exact
6	strategy?	6	terms that somebody designing a thermostat might use,
7	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.	7	but you know, as a general description, I can agree
8	A Yeah, you know, I haven't really analyzed a	8	with with with your statement.
9	thermostat in enough detail, at least an electronic	9	Q Sure. Well, let's take it in terms or, you
10	one, to to tell you how they would do it, but I	10	know, constructs of, you know, automotive technology.
11	would think they're, you know, somehow emulating what		You understand what a cruise control system is,
12	a mercury switch did, and maybe with electronics,	12	correct?
13	you've got an opportunity to improve on that.	13	A Yes.
14	Q Well, in electronics, would you would	14	Q Would a automotive engineer implementing a
15	you have some type of a setpoint where you would set	15	control strategy for cruise control, would they
16	the temperature, and the hysteresis would ensure your	16	implement some type of hysteresis into the cruise
17	heater or your air conditioning doesn't turn on and	17	control?
18	off rapidly if the temperature is fluctuating around	18	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, calls for
19	that setpoint?	19	speculation.
20	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form, calls for	20	A I think it's likely that you could do that.
21	speculation.	21	Q How would they do that?
22	A Well, that's, you know, both the electrical	22	A You know, it depends depends upon how
23	and mechanical ones, you know, that's the purpose of	23	the system works. You have to you can only work
24	the the switch in the mechanical system. That's	24	with the parameters you've got involved in in the
25	that's what it did, and then you could emulate	25	system.

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 13		Page 15
1	Q Well, how about why would an engineer	1	system.
2	implement hysteresis into a cruise control system?	2	Q Why do you say those aren't inputs?
3	A It would be for customer acceptance, so you	3	A That's how I defined it. I defined a speed
4	have a system that the vehicle drives as the customer	4	control system that's using speed as its input, and
5	might expect it to.	5	it's developing a hysteresis characteristic around
6	Q What do you mean, customer acceptance? Why	б	the speed, and then that's what it works with.
7	would the customer not be happy having a cruise	7	Q So it's your opinion that anything that
8	control system without hysteresis?	8	deals with speed doesn't take into consideration any
9	A Well, you know, having not evaluated every	9	other inputs besides speed.
10	cruise control system, but typically customers like a	10	MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, mischaracterizes
11	vehicle that has a, you know, smooth steady operation	11	previous testimony.
12	without abrupt changes, so if you put some hysteresis	12	A I would say any system designed to operate
13	in the system, you can you can achieve that.	13	with certain inputs doesn't consider other inputs.
14	Q So let's so we have maybe an example.	14	So going back to a thermostat, a thermostat considers
15	So if I'm understanding your testimony correctly,	15	temperature, not speed or not load, and the speed
16	what you're saying is cruise control, an operator	16	control system that I gave the balance to, I was
17	would set the cruise control let's say at 55 miles	17	looking at speed, would only look at speed.
18	per hour, and as a vehicle is driving down the road	18	Q But in a in a vehicle, I mean, I
19	at 55 miles an hour, the vehicle experiences a	19	understand your analysis with respect to, you know, a
20	headwind and the vehicle which was set at 55 miles an	20	thermostat not looking at speed and only looking at
21	hour, now the speed drops down to 53 miles an hour,	21	temperature. I wouldn't disagree with that, but in a
22	and if I'm understanding you correctly, the	22	vehicle setting where there's hundreds if not
23	hysteresis is used to ensure that the vehicle doesn't	23	thousands of inputs to a you know, the ECU, one of
24	abruptly try to stop the vehicle to get it down to 53	24	them possibly being speed, you're saying that the
25	miles an hour because the headwind might go away and	25	system would not consider anything else but the
	Page 14		Page 16
1	the vehicle within three seconds might get back up to	1	speed?
1 2	the vehicle within three seconds might get back up to 55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why	2	speed? A It's only going to consider what it's
2 3		2 3	
2 3 4	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why	2 3 4	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's
2 3 4 5	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use
2 3 4 5 6	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense,	2 3 4 5 6	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed. Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.</li> <li>Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.</li> <li>Q Well, I'm just asking in general for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that. Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.</li> <li>Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.</li> <li>Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into consideration whatever you design it to, so in in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that. Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could implement it with whatever inputs he's provided; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into consideration whatever you design it to, so in in my hypothetical, a speed control system that's just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.</li> <li>Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.</li> <li>Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could implement it with whatever inputs he's provided; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into consideration whatever you design it to, so in in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those. Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct? MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form. A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that. Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could implement it with whatever inputs he's provided; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into consideration whatever you design it to, so in in my hypothetical, a speed control system that's just looking at speed would not be able to use other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.</li> <li>Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.</li> <li>Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could implement it with whatever inputs he's provided; is that correct?</li> <li>A If those inputs correctly give you the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>55 miles an hour. Is that a correct example of why you're saying customer acceptance drives hysteresis in a cruise control?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A Not I mean, I think as a general sense, and the point in what you said is it's it is related to speed, and you know, speed is all a cruise control system's looking at, or if we assume a cruise control system that's only looking at speed would make its determinations based on speed, that's correct. Now, a system can't take other factors into consideration, such as load. The vehicle speed control system's not looking at load. It's looking at speed, so it would make its decision based on speed.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry, did you say now the system can take other factors such as load or cannot?</li> <li>A If you well, the system takes into consideration whatever you design it to, so in in my hypothetical, a speed control system that's just looking at speed would not be able to use other factors.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A It's only going to consider what it's programmed to consider. Just because the inputs or characteristics are somewhere in the vehicle, if it's not programmed to use those, it's not going to use those.</li> <li>Q But it could be programmed to strike that. A POSA would understand that you could use those other inputs that are being received in addition to speed, correct?</li> <li>MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection, form.</li> <li>A You know, I haven't considered what we're talking about cruise control systems, which wasn't something I considered in this in this matter, so I really wouldn't be able to give you an opinion on that.</li> <li>Q Well, I'm just asking in general for hysteresis, you know, an engineer in designing a system, if he wanted to implement hysteresis, could implement it with whatever inputs he's provided; is that correct?</li> <li>A If those inputs correctly give you the the end result.</li> </ul>

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.