IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

PAICE LLC,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No.: 2-04CV-211 (DF)

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION, a Japanese Corporation, TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., and TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF PAICE LLC'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

March 8, 2005

Samuel F. Baxter (Bar No. 01938000) McKOOL SMITH P.C. 505 East Travis Street, Suite 105 Marshall, TX 75670

Of counsel:

Ruffin B. Cordell (Bar No. 04820550) Ahmed J. Davis Peter J. Sawert FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W., 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005

Robert E. Hillman FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Andrew D. Hirsch, Esquire PAICE LLC 6830 Elm Street McLean, VA 22101



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

I.)	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Technological Overview	1
B.	The Parties	2
C.	Legal Background	3
II.	THE PAICE PATENTS	3
A.	United States Patent No. 5,343,970	3
В.	United States Patent No. 6,209,672	6
C.	United States Patent No. 6,554,088	9
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS OF CLAIM INTERPRETATION	9
A.	Principles of Claim Construction	9
B.	Construction of Means-Plus-Function Claim Elements	. 11
IV.	PROPOSED INTERPRETATION OF DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS	. 12
A.	'970 Patent	. 12
	1. Claim 1	. 12
	a. "Drive torque"	. 13
	b. "Controllable torque transfer unit"	. 13
	c. "Input shafts"	. 13
	d. "A controller for controlling the operation of and for controlling the relative contributions of"	. 14
	e. "Output member"	. 14
	2. Claim 2	. 15
	a. "Controller means"	. 15
	b. "Operating mode"	. 15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	a.	"Solid state switching means means for converting [and] means for	1.0
4.		ctifying"	
'1 .	•	Claim 11	
	a.	"Solid state switching means"	. 17
5.		Claim 32	. 17
	a. m	"Means for performing the following functions responsive to input commands an onitored operation of said vehicle: selecting an appropriate mode of operation	
	b.	"Low speed running" [mode]	. 19
	c.	"Steady state running" [mode]	. 19
	d.	"Acceleration or hill climbing" [mode]	. 20
	e.	"Battery charging" [mode]	. 20
	f.	"Braking" [mode]	. 20
	g.	"Engine starting"	. 20
6		Claim 38	. 21
	a.	"Solid state switching network"	. 21
B.	'6	72 Patent	. 21
1		Claim 1	. 21
	a.	"Clutch"	. 22
	b.	"Controllable clutch"	. 23
	c.	"Directly coupled"	. 23
2	•	Claim 2	. 24
	a.	"Instantaneous road load" / "RL"	. 24
3		Claim 3	25
	a.	"Monitoring commands provided by the vehicle operator"	25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
4.		Claim 13
	a.	"Total torque available at the road wheels from said engine"
5.		Claim 15
	a. ve	"Operating said controller to control selection of the operational mode of said hicle between a low-speed mode I, a cruising mode IV, and an acceleration mode V"27
	b. ve	"Monitoring the instantaneous torque requirements required for propulsion of the hicle (RL)"
	c.	"Operating mode"
6	•	Claim 30
	a.	"At least one traction motor being coupled to road wheels of said vehicle" 29
	b.	"A controller for controlling operation and controlling flow"
		"Configured as a number of batteries connected by normally-open switching vices, such that said batteries are electrically isolated from one another in the event ower is cut off from said switching devices"
C.	,0	88 Patent
	a.	"Instantaneous torque demands (RL)"
	b. or ve	"Said microprocessor controls operationso as to operate said vehicle in a selected ne of said operating modes in response to the instantaneous torque demands (RL) of said whicle"
	c.	"Operating mode"
		"Said selected operating mode being selected such that said engine is operated only response to a load equal at least to a predetermined minimum value of its maximum raue output"

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
ACTV Inc. v. Walt Disney Co., 346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	11
Alza Corp. v. Mylan Lab., Inc. 391 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	9
C.R. Bard, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp., 388 F.3d 855 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
Gemstar-TV Guide Int'l, Inc. v. ITC, 383 F.3d 1352, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corp., 383 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
J.T. Eaton & Co. v. Atl. Paste & Glue Co., 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	10
Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc., 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
Linear Tech. Corp. v. Impala Linear Corp., 379 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967, 979 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	9
Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc., 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	9
Rockwell Int'l Corp. v. United States, 147 F.3d 1358, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	9
Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa N. Am. Corp., 299 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	10
Tex. Digital Sys. v. Telegenix Inc., 308 F.3d 1193, 1202 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	9
TI Group Auto. Sys. (N. Am.), Inc. v. VDO N. Am., L.L.C., 375 F.3d 1126, 1135 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	16, 17
Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.,	Ω



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

