

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

Petitioner,

-vs-

PAICE, LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent Nos. 7,237,634 and 7,104,347
IPR Case Nos. IPR2015-00722; IPR2015-00784;
IPR2015-00787; IPR2015-00790; IPR2015-00791;
IPR2015-00794 and IPR2015-00795

Deposition of GREGORY DAVIS, PhD

Wednesday, January 13, 2016

Southfield, Michigan

Reported by:

Laura J. Steenbergh, CSR-3707, RPR, CRR, RMR

Job no: 15629

1 APPEARANCES:
 2 MR. JOHN RONDINI, ESQ.
 3 MR. ANDREW B. TURNER, ESQ.
 4 Brooks Kushman, P.C.
 5 1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
 6 Southfield, Michigan 48075
 7 (248) 358-4400
 8 jrondini@brookskushman.com
 9 aturner@brookskushman.com
 10 Appearing on behalf of the Petitioner.
 11
 12 MR. BRIAN LIVEDALEN, ESQ.
 13 Fish & Richardson, P.C.
 14 1425 K Street NW, 11th Floor
 15 Washington, DC 20005
 16 (202) 783-5050
 17 livedalen@fr.com
 18 Appearing on behalf of the Patent Owner.
 19
 20 ALSO PRESENT: Ms. Frances Keenan - Paice, LLC
 21
 22 * * * *
 23
 24
 25

1 Southfield, Michigan
 2 Wednesday, January 13, 2016
 3 About 9:20 a.m. 09:19:13AM
 4 MR. LIVEDALEN: Good morning, Dr. Davis. 09:19:13AM
 5 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 09:23:01AM
 6 GREGORY DAVIS, PhD, 09:23:01AM
 7 having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified 09:23:01AM
 8 on his oath as follows: 09:23:01AM
 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:23:01AM
 10 Q. Could you please state your name for record? 09:23:01AM
 11 A. Sure. It's Dr. Gregory W. Davis. 09:23:03AM
 12 Q. And you understand that you've taken an oath today? 09:23:06AM
 13 A. Yes. 09:23:08AM
 14 Q. And you intend to tell the truth? 09:23:09AM
 15 A. Yes. 09:23:10AM
 16 Q. And so some administrative matters. Your counsel, or 09:23:10AM
 17 John, and I spoke about dividing these up into basically 09:23:14AM
 18 three tiers. So this first one's going to concern U.S. 09:23:18AM
 19 Patent 7,237,634, so we'll call this the '634 patent. 09:23:25AM
 20 A. Okay. 09:23:29AM
 21 Q. You're familiar with that, I believe? 09:23:29AM
 22 A. Yes. 09:23:31AM
 23 Q. And we're going to divide these up further and just 09:23:31AM
 24 address the declarations that you opined on with regard 09:23:35AM
 25 to the Ibaraki reference. And that is, for the record, 09:23:38AM

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS
 2
 3 Witness Page
 4 GREGORY DAVIS, PhD
 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 4
 6
 7
 8 INDEX TO EXHIBITS
 9 (Exhibits attached to transcript)
 10
 11 Exhibit Page
 12 DAVIS EXHIBIT 1 U.S. Patent Number 6,116,363 6
 13 DAVIS EXHIBIT 2 Declaration in IPR 2015-00784 9
 14 DAVIS EXHIBIT 3 U.S. Patent Number 7,237,634 18
 15 DAVIS EXHIBIT 4 Declaration in IPR 2015-00790 41
 16 DAVIS EXHIBIT 5 U.S. Patent Number 5,789,882 45
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1 U.S. Patent Number 5,789,882. 09:23:45AM
 2 A. Okay. 09:23:49AM
 3 Q. And so the numbers are 2015-00722, 2015-00784, 09:23:50AM
 4 2015-00787, 2015-00790, and 2015-00791. 09:24:03AM
 5 MR. RONDINI: And just for the record, I know 09:24:09AM
 6 we spoke off the record about this, I just wanted to put 09:24:11AM
 7 on the record that you are aware that you did not Notice 09:24:14AM
 8 the '722, even though that is one of '634. 09:24:18AM
 9 MR. LIVEDALEN: Well, I'll have to go confirm 09:24:21AM
 10 that, but I think we talked about it and it didn't seem 09:24:23AM
 11 like an issue. 09:24:26AM
 12 MR. RONDINI: No. 09:24:27AM
 13 MR. LIVEDALEN: Okay, great. 09:24:27AM
 14 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:24:28AM
 15 Q. And so, Dr. Davis, I know that's a lot of numbers, and I 09:24:28AM
 16 think John would have told me if I got one wrong, so 09:24:32AM
 17 we'll proceed, if that's okay with you? 09:24:34AM
 18 A. Yeah, that's fine. 09:24:36AM
 19 Q. Okay. Sure. 09:24:37AM
 20 So, Dr. Davis, I'll represent to you that one 09:24:43AM
 21 or more of these matters also deals with a reference on 09:24:47AM
 22 the Frank reference. Do you recall that one we talked 09:24:51AM
 23 about a few months ago? 09:24:56AM
 24 A. Yes, I do recall Frank. I think it was like a year ago. 09:24:58AM
 25 Q. Yeah. So that patent number is 6,116,363. Do you 09:25:02AM

1 recall that reference? Do you want me to give it to 09:25:07AM
 2 you? 09:25:15AM
 3 A. Let me just take a look. I think I brought a copy. 09:25:15AM
 4 6,116,363, yes, I have. 09:25:18AM
 5 Q. And do you recall, how does the Frank reference select 09:25:25AM
 6 operating modes? 09:25:28AM
 7 MR. RONDINI: Objection to form. 09:25:30AM
 8 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:25:34AM
 9 Q. Do you recall? 09:25:35AM
 10 A. Well, I've got a lot of material here. Perhaps it would 09:25:35AM
 11 go easier if you could direct me to a spot in one of 09:25:39AM
 12 these pile of declarations here that I could take a look 09:25:45AM
 13 at. 09:25:49AM
 14 Q. Well, why don't we just mark Frank as an exhibit. 09:25:49AM
 15 DAVIS EXHIBIT 1 09:26:07AM
 16 U.S. Patent Number 6,116,363 09:26:07AM
 17 WAS MARKED BY THE REPORTER 09:26:07AM
 18 FOR IDENTIFICATION 09:26:07AM
 19 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:26:07AM
 20 Q. So for the record, Exhibit 1 is U.S. Patent Number 09:26:09AM
 21 6,116,363, Exhibit 3 to Frank. Does that look right to 09:26:14AM
 22 you, Dr. Davis? 09:26:22AM
 23 A. Yes. 09:26:24AM
 24 Q. And if you look at Figure 4, does that refresh your 09:26:33AM
 25 recollection as far as what criteria Frank is using to 09:26:48AM

1 select operating modes? 09:26:51AM
 2 A. Yes, I think I did talk about that in my declarations. 09:26:53AM
 3 Let's see if I can find that. 09:27:38AM
 4 Q. Which declaration are you looking at? 09:30:45AM
 5 A. I'm looking at the IPR case number 2015-00784. 09:30:48AM
 6 Q. Okay. Maybe -- does paragraph 384 help at all? 09:30:58AM
 7 A. I actually just turned to that page. 09:31:03AM
 8 Q. All right. We're on the same page. 09:31:05AM
 9 A. Can you give me the question again? 09:31:18AM
 10 Q. If I can remember. 09:31:22AM
 11 Let me just ask you this, what criteria does 09:31:24AM
 12 the Frank reference evaluate to determine which 09:31:27AM
 13 operating mode to select? 09:31:30AM
 14 A. Well, what you can see is if you look on, you know, 09:31:33AM
 15 starting on page 165, continuing on for, I think, a 09:31:37AM
 16 couple of pages, that you can see there's lots of 09:31:43AM
 17 similarities between the hybrid electric vehicles 09:31:46AM
 18 disclosed here, and that like the Ibaraki '882, the 09:31:53AM
 19 Frank has mode of operation of engine only mode, 09:31:58AM
 20 electric motor only mode, and an engine-motor mode. But 09:32:00AM
 21 unlike the control strategy used by the Ibaraki '882, 09:32:04AM
 22 which relies on the instantaneous torque to propel the 09:32:11AM
 23 vehicle and the vehicle speed to make a determination 09:32:16AM
 24 about which mode to switch into, Frank uses a different 09:32:18AM
 25 approach. And it's probably best if we look at page 09:32:22AM

1 168, where you can see a side-by-side comparison between 09:32:27AM
 2 the Figure 4 from Frank and Figure 11 from the Ibaraki 09:32:30AM
 3 '882, and you can see the three general modes of 09:32:38AM
 4 operation. Again, the one highlighted in kind of an 09:32:41AM
 5 orange-ish pink color is the motor drive mode. In green 09:32:48AM
 6 is engine drive mode, and in blue is the combination 09:32:52AM
 7 engine-motor drive mode. And, again, if you look at 09:32:57AM
 8 Figure 11 from Ibaraki you can see that the 09:33:00AM
 9 determination as to which mode to operate in is based on 09:33:05AM
 10 the instantaneous torque to propel the vehicle and the 09:33:07AM
 11 vehicle's speed, whereas in Frank he used a different 09:33:11AM
 12 control scheme in order to determine which mode he 09:33:14AM
 13 wanted to go into. In Frank he used the vehicle speed, 09:33:16AM
 14 and the discharge of the battery, or the state of charge 09:33:21AM
 15 of the batteries to help make the decision. 09:33:25AM
 16 MR. RONDINI: Just real quick, did we want to 09:33:28AM
 17 mark this as an exhibit? 09:33:31AM
 18 MR. LIVEDALEN: Yeah, why don't we go ahead an 09:33:32AM
 19 do that. Do you want to mark his personal copy, or -- 09:33:33AM
 20 MR. RONDINI: Do you want to -- 09:33:38AM
 21 THE WITNESS: This is fine. I don't know that 09:33:39AM
 22 I have anything in here. 09:33:40AM
 23 MR. RONDINI: That's fine then. 09:33:42AM
 24
 25

1 DAVIS EXHIBIT 2 09:33:58AM
 2 Declaration in IPR 2015-00784 09:33:58AM
 3 WAS MARKED BY THE REPORTER 09:33:58AM
 4 FOR IDENTIFICATION 09:33:59AM
 5 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:33:59AM
 6 Q. All right. So if I understand you correctly, you said 09:34:03AM
 7 that the Frank reference uses speed and battery 09:34:05AM
 8 discharge to switch modes, is that right? 09:34:10AM
 9 A. Yeah, or the battery state of charge or depth of 09:34:13AM
 10 discharge. 09:34:17AM
 11 Q. And would one of skill in the art understand the Ibaraki 09:34:17AM
 12 '882 to also use speed switch modes? 09:34:21AM
 13 A. No. The Ibaraki, as I just explained, and you can see 09:34:24AM
 14 it in the side-by-side comparison on page 168 of my 09:34:27AM
 15 declaration, Ibaraki uses, if you look at the Y axis, 09:34:30AM
 16 instead of seeing, in the Frank figure on the left, you 09:34:34AM
 17 see speed on the Y axis. On the Y axis or the vertical 09:34:37AM
 18 axis of Ibaraki on the right, Figure 11, you see the 09:34:42AM
 19 vehicle drive torque. So Ibaraki is using the torque 09:34:45AM
 20 and the vehicle speed to make the determination, whereas 09:34:52AM
 21 Frank was using the speed on the Y axis and the depth of 09:34:54AM
 22 discharge on the X axis. 09:34:59AM
 23 Q. So one of skill in the art would not conclude that 09:35:00AM
 24 Ibaraki '882 is comparing vehicle speed to a speed 09:35:03AM
 25 threshold, right? 09:35:07AM

Page 10

1 MR. RONDINI: Objection to form, vague. 09:35:08AM

2 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand your 09:35:09AM

3 question. 09:35:14AM

4 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:35:17AM

5 Q. What don't you understand about it? 09:35:18AM

6 A. I guess I just -- I'm not sure I understand what you're 09:35:19AM

7 asking there. 09:35:25AM

8 Q. So in Frank you agree with me, right, that Figure 4 of 09:35:26AM

9 Frank discloses evaluating the vehicle speed to a speed 09:35:32AM

10 threshold to select an operating mode? 09:35:38AM

11 MR. RONDINI: Objection, misstates testimony, 09:35:41AM

12 vague. 09:35:43AM

13 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- again, I think maybe 09:35:46AM

14 it's clearer if we look at my text and maybe that would 09:35:51AM

15 clear it up a little bit. If you look at -- let's see, 09:35:57AM

16 probably the description I have -- 09:36:04AM

17 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:36:07AM

18 Q. It's really a simple question. Does Frank -- 09:36:07AM

19 A. Sorry, I just don't understand. 09:36:11AM

20 Q. Okay. So you've seen Figure 4, right? 09:36:13AM

21 A. Yes. 09:36:14AM

22 Q. The Y axis is what? 09:36:15AM

23 A. It's the vehicle speed. 09:36:18AM

24 Q. All right and at below 113 kilometers per hour, what 09:36:22AM

25 mode is being used? 09:36:28AM

Page 11

1 A. It depends. 09:36:29AM

2 Q. Well, between 0 and 50 percent battery depth of 09:36:35AM

3 discharge what mode is being used in under 13 kilometers 09:36:42AM

4 per hour? 09:36:45AM

5 A. Again, it still depends. 09:36:46AM

6 Q. Okay. So looking at Figure 4, you cannot tell me 09:36:48AM

7 whether or not Frank uses -- strike that. 09:36:52AM

8 Looking at Figure 4, you cannot tell me 09:36:55AM

9 whether or not Frank compares vehicle speed to a speed 09:36:57AM

10 threshold or to select operating modes? 09:37:00AM

11 MR. RONDINI: Objection, vague. 09:37:02AM

12 THE WITNESS: Again, it uses both vehicle 09:37:09AM

13 speed, as I described before, and the battery depth of 09:37:11AM

14 discharge in order to determine the locations where it's 09:37:14AM

15 going to switch modes. 09:37:21AM

16 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:37:23AM

17 Q. Does it ever compare vehicle speed to a speed threshold 09:37:23AM

18 to select an operating mode? 09:37:28AM

19 A. Well, it defines its boundaries between modes based on 09:37:30AM

20 the vehicle speed and the depth of discharge. So, 09:37:55AM

21 again, the comparison that's being made is looking at 09:37:58AM

22 the vehicle speed at a particular depth of discharge or 09:38:03AM

23 condition of the battery in order to decide which mode 09:38:06AM

24 of operation is desired. 09:38:08AM

25 Q. And does that ever require a comparison of vehicle speed 09:38:10AM

Page 12

1 to a speed threshold? 09:38:16AM

2 MR. RONDINI: Objection, asked and answered. 09:38:18AM

3 THE WITNESS: Again, it's making the 09:38:20AM

4 determination, it set up the boundaries, if you will, 09:38:24AM

5 between the different modes based on the vehicle speed 09:38:27AM

6 and the depth of discharge. 09:38:30AM

7 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:38:33AM

8 Q. Okay. Now, looking at Ibaraki '882, does Ibaraki '882 09:38:38AM

9 compare vehicle speed to a speed threshold? 09:38:43AM

10 A. Again, Ibaraki is probably clearest if you look at the 09:38:45AM

11 figures that I have annotated on page 168 of my report, 09:38:50AM

12 you can see that the Ibaraki uses the vehicle drive 09:38:54AM

13 torque and the vehicle speed, and it's to help lay out 09:38:59AM

14 the boundaries between the modes. 09:39:08AM

15 Q. So it does make a comparison between vehicle speed and 09:39:09AM

16 the speed threshold? 09:39:13AM

17 A. So it's looking at the torque at a given speed, and 09:39:14AM

18 perhaps -- I think I have some other annotated figure at 09:39:19AM

19 Figure 11. 09:39:24AM

20 Well, perhaps a better figure to look at that 09:40:22AM

21 might help clear up any issue here is if we look at 09:40:25AM

22 page 87 of my declaration, or one example of an 09:40:27AM

23 annotated Figure 11, and you can see I've tried to lay 09:40:32AM

24 out some of the conditions here, and you can see -- 09:40:36AM

25 looks like you're still turning -- and so you can see 09:40:48AM

Page 13

1 the basic strategy that Ibaraki is employing here is 09:40:50AM

2 it's determining the vehicle speed and the required 09:40:54AM

3 torque to propel the vehicle, which I've annotated here, 09:40:58AM

4 I called that TL on the diagram. And then in order to 09:41:03AM

5 make the mode determination it's comparing that value 09:41:06AM

6 with the setpoint, which on this curve that I'm showing 09:41:11AM

7 is a setpoint to transition to engine drive mode only, 09:41:15AM

8 and so it's making comparison that TL is greater than 09:41:20AM

9 that setpoint. And the different setpoints, they vary 09:41:24AM

10 as a function of speed in Ibaraki, and they're kind of 09:41:28AM

11 shown as that line B, that curved line B would be the 09:41:34AM

12 kind of boundary value or values in which you would 09:41:39AM

13 switch to engine mode, engine drive mode. 09:41:44AM

14 Q. So at a point along speed V1 is it your opinion that 09:41:48AM

15 there's a comparison between a demand torque and a 09:41:55AM

16 torque threshold? 09:42:01AM

17 MR. RONDINI: Objection, vague, misstates 09:42:03AM

18 testimony. 09:42:07AM

19 THE WITNESS: I think what I said is that 09:42:15AM

20 there is the -- the curve is set up using the torque, 09:42:18AM

21 the vehicle drive torque or the instantaneous torque to 09:42:25AM

22 propel the vehicle and vehicle speed so that there are 09:42:29AM

23 multiple setpoints. The setpoints vary as a function of 09:42:36AM

24 vehicle speed. But in the example I'm showing here on 09:42:40AM

25 page 87, at one particular vehicle speed the controller 09:42:43AM

1 will determine the value, TL, the torque, at that speed 09:42:49AM
 2 and make a comparison as to whether that is greater than 09:42:56AM
 3 the setpoint or not greater than the setpoint. And in 09:43:00AM
 4 this case it's greater than the setpoints which are 09:43:05AM
 5 defined by curve B, and it's less than the setpoint that 09:43:10AM
 6 would be defined on the curve of multiple setpoints of 09:43:16AM
 7 curve C. So it's looking at the setpoint, SP, that I 09:43:23AM
 8 have labeled, and the value I called C1, and it's making 09:43:27AM
 9 a determination in that case that it should be in engine 09:43:31AM
 10 drive mode. 09:43:35AM
 11 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:43:35AM
 12 Q. So is there or isn't there a comparison between a demand 09:43:36AM
 13 torque and a torque threshold? 09:43:40AM
 14 MR. RONDINI: Objection, compound. 09:43:42AM
 15 THE WITNESS: I think I've already answered 09:43:51AM
 16 that question a couple of times now. 09:44:00AM
 17 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:44:03AM
 18 Q. My answer calls for a yes or no answer, so is it yes or 09:44:04AM
 19 no? 09:44:07AM
 20 MR. RONDINI: Objection, vague, misstates 09:44:09AM
 21 testimony. 09:44:13AM
 22 THE WITNESS: Perhaps I don't understand your 09:44:19AM
 23 question. 09:44:20AM
 24 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:44:21AM
 25 Q. Sitting here today, can you tell me whether or not 09:44:21AM

1 Ibaraki '882 compares a torque demand to a torque 09:44:23AM
 2 threshold? If you can't answer, if you don't know, 09:44:29AM
 3 that's fine. 09:44:38AM
 4 A. I guess I don't understand your question. 09:44:38AM
 5 Q. Okay. So sitting here today you can't tell me whether 09:44:40AM
 6 Ibaraki '882 compares a torque demand to a torque 09:44:44AM
 7 threshold, is that right? 09:44:47AM
 8 A. Again, I don't understand your question. Because I 09:44:48AM
 9 think I've already answered it a couple of times, but 09:44:51AM
 10 apparently I haven't. So perhaps we're not 09:44:55AM
 11 communicating effectively here. 09:45:01AM
 12 Q. So you can't answer my question? 09:45:02AM
 13 A. No. I think I already have actually, that I kind of 09:45:03AM
 14 tried to explain how Ibaraki works here. 09:45:07AM
 15 Q. I appreciate your long explanations, but I just want an 09:45:13AM
 16 answer to my question. And if the answer is I don't 09:45:17AM
 17 know, then that's perfectly fine. So again, I'll repeat 09:45:20AM
 18 the question. 09:45:23AM
 19 Sitting here today can you tell me whether or 09:45:23AM
 20 not Ibaraki '882 compares a torque demand to a torque 09:45:26AM
 21 threshold? 09:45:29AM
 22 MR. RONDINI: Objection, asked and answered. 09:45:30AM
 23 THE WITNESS: Again, I think I did say that, 09:45:31AM
 24 that the -- the particular example here, you're looking 09:45:37AM
 25 at the instantaneous torque required to propel the 09:45:42AM

1 vehicle, which is indicated by TL if we go back to that 09:45:47AM
 2 Figure 11 I have annotated on page 87 and you're 09:45:51AM
 3 comparing to see if it's greater than that setpoint at 09:45:55AM
 4 the same vehicle speed. And if it is, then you're going 09:45:58AM
 5 to consider engine drive mode only. You're also going 09:46:03AM
 6 to look then to see if it's less than the setpoint which 09:46:08AM
 7 is laid out on -- I called it C1, and if it's between 09:46:13AM
 8 those two values you're going to go into engine drive 09:46:18AM
 9 mode. So it's clearly looking at the torque required to 09:46:21AM
 10 propel the vehicle and comparing it to the setpoint. 09:46:27AM
 11 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:46:30AM
 12 Q. So you're telling me there is a comparison? 09:46:30AM
 13 A. I think I have been telling you that, that it's a 09:46:33AM
 14 comparison between those values. 09:46:37AM
 15 Q. Okay. I'm not trying to trick you, I'm just trying to 09:46:38AM
 16 get -- trying to make sure I understand your opinion. 09:46:41AM
 17 So you would agree with me then -- or strike 09:46:45AM
 18 that. 09:46:45AM
 19 So is it your opinion that Ibaraki '882 09:46:51AM
 20 compares a torque demand to a torque threshold? 09:46:53AM
 21 MR. RONDINI: Objection, asked and answered. 09:46:56AM
 22 BY MR. LIVEDALEN: 09:46:59AM
 23 Q. I think your answer's yes. I don't know why -- 09:47:01AM
 24 A. Perhaps I'm just -- I didn't use the exact terms that 09:47:03AM
 25 you're using in your question, so perhaps maybe that's 09:47:05AM

1 where there's some miscommunication. It definitely 09:47:11AM
 2 compares its controller determination of the 09:47:17AM
 3 instantaneous drive torque to propel the vehicle to the 09:47:18AM
 4 setpoints. 09:47:22AM
 5 Q. So you said that in your opinion there's a setpoint at 09:47:22AM
 6 B, along curve B at B1, right, that's where you're 09:47:27AM
 7 identifying your setpoint? 09:47:35AM
 8 A. Yes, that's one particular setpoint. That's an example 09:47:36AM
 9 at that particular vehicle speed. 09:47:39AM
 10 Q. And at that precise point does Ibaraki compare the 09:47:40AM
 11 vehicle speed to a speed threshold? 09:47:44AM
 12 A. You know, it's looking at that particular point in order 09:47:49AM
 13 to determine what the setpoint torque value would be. 09:47:52AM
 14 Q. There's no comparison -- 09:47:56AM
 15 A. And then it's making a comparison. 09:47:58AM
 16 Q. There's no comparison of a speed, of a vehicle speed to 09:48:00AM
 17 a speed threshold? 09:48:04AM
 18 A. I don't believe so, there is a speed threshold there. I 09:48:05AM
 19 think later on, you know, obviously over the full 09:48:09AM
 20 operating range of the vehicle, speed is a concern. 09:48:12AM
 21 Q. Okay. But you would not consider Ibaraki '882 to 09:48:17AM
 22 disclose a comparison of vehicle speed to a speed 09:48:21AM
 23 threshold, are you? 09:48:24AM
 24 A. At this example that I show here, it's using the speed 09:48:25AM
 25 in order to determine what the setpoint value should be 09:48:28AM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.