UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/413,890	04/28/2006	Leigh M. Rothschild	Leigh-12	1804

1218   7590   10/13/2010

HESPOS & PORCO LLP
110 West 40th Street
Suite 2501
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER
JEN, MINGJEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3664	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<table>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Office Action Summary</td><td>Application No.

11/413,890</td><td>Applicant(s)

ROTHSCHILD, LEIGH M.</td><td rowspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>Examiner

IAN JEN</td><td>Art Unit

3664</td></tr>
</table>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2010</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.　　　2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒   Claim(s) <u>25-36 and 38-44</u> is/are pending in the application.

　　4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>25-31,34 and 38-44</u> is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) <u>32,33 and 35</u> is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2010</u> is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

　　Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

　　Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

　　a)☐ All　 b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

　　　1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

　　　2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

　　　3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

　* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
　　Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
　　Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   This office action is in response to the remark entered on July 26th, 2010.

2.   Claims 1 – 24, 37 have been cancelled.

3.   Claims 25, 26, 29,33,34,35,36,38 have been amended.

4.   Claims 42 - 44 has been newly added.

5.   Applicant newly submitted Figure 3 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

7.   Claims 25 – 31, 34 and 38 – 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated

by Knockeart et al (US Pat Pub 2004/0064245).

As for claim 25, Knocekert et al shows a system for remotely entering location

information into a positional information device (See Fig 15B, Server Plan Route Step 1553,

1156 for Destination Specification by Operator, Fig 21A PSTN), the system comprising:

a server configured to receive a request for an address of at least one location not already stored

in the positional information device (See Fig 11 for address input; See Fig 4B, In-Vehicle

Database; Fig 14, Point of Interest Type/Address), to determine the address of the least one

location and to transmit the determined address to the positional information device (See Fig

15A, 15B Route Plan In-Vehicle, Route Plan in Server); the positional information device

including a locational information module for determining location information of the positional

information device (See Fig 2, GPS Receiver 252); a communication module for receiving the

determined coordinates address of the at least one location from the server (See Fig 2, Sever

System 255, Cellular Transceiver 254); a processing module configured to receive the

determined coordinates address from the communication module (See fig 2, On Board

Computer, Processor 212) and determine route guidance based on the location of the positional

information device (See Fig 15A, Current Location Determination 1503) and the determined

address (See Fig 15A, Destination Specification 1502); and a display module for displaying the

route guidance (See Fig 2, Display 242); and a communications network for coupling the

positional information device to the server (See Fig 3, Telephone Network 350, PSTN Network

340).

        As for claims 26,27, 31, and 34 Knocekert et al shows the positional information device

transmits an identifier with the request to the server (Para 0124 - 0127 using particular phone

number via PSTN Network); the remote computer transmits a identifier with the request to the

server (Para 0124 - 0127 using particular phone number via PSTN Network);wherein the

identifier is a mobile phone number or an IP address (Para 0124 - 0127 using particular phone

number via PSTN Network).

As for claim 28, Knocekert shows the communications network is a telematics network (See Fig 1).

As for claim 29 and 30, Knocekert et al shows the request is received from the positional information device (See Fig 2, In vehicle System) and the request is received from a remote computer (See Fig 20B, Personal Computer 2031).

As for claim 36, Knocekert et al shows the server retrieves the address from a database residing on the server (See Fig 1, Map Provider 160).

As for claims 38 - 40, Knocekert et al shows the server resolves the address of the at least one location into latitude and longitude coordinates (See Para 0128, Server System 125 provides longitude and latitude) and the address is determined by information associated to the address (See Para 0133 for Map Database) and the associated information is a name of a person residing at the address, a cross street of the address, a zip code of the address, a phone number of the address or an alternative spelling of the address (Para 0083; See Fig 14, POI Type).

As for claim 41, Knocekert et al shows the server is operated by a live operator and the request for the at least one location is received by voice communications (See Fig 21, PSTN Network to Operator).

As for claim 42, Knocekert et al show the server is further configured to transmit an appropriate map related to the determined address to the positional information device (See Fig

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.