

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097 to Severinsky et al.

IPR Case No.: IPR2015-00792

**REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,214,097**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	iii
Updated List of Exhibits	iv
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Claim construction.....	2
A. “Setpoint (SP)”	2
B. Paice seeks to amend independent claims 1, 11 and 21 to include a “comparison”	3
C. “Abnormal and transient conditions”	4
III. Paice’s procedural arguments	6
A. Ford’s Petition is not conclusory.....	6
B. Ford is not estopped from maintaining its challenges to claims 7, 17, 27, 37 and 38	6
IV. Issues raised for Grounds 1 and 2: Paice’s repeated arguments regarding “RL” and “SP,” and Paice’s new argument regarding “abnormal and transient conditions,” are not persuasive	7
A. As the Board has previously found, Severinsky ’970 discloses “when” to operate the engine based on “RL”, i.e., the “torque required to propel the vehicle”	7
B. As the Board has previously found, Severinsky ’970 discloses a “setpoint”	8
C. Severinsky ’970 discloses the additional “abnormal and transient conditions” limitations of claims 7, 17, 27 and 37	10
D. Paice cannot avoid its admissions in the specification of the ’097 Patent.....	12
V. Issues raised for Grounds 1 and 2: Paice’s renewed arguments regarding “limit[ing] the rate of change of torque produced by the engine such that combustion of fuel within the engine occurs at a substantially stoichiometric ratio,” and the rationale to combine Severinsky ’970 and Takaoka, are not persuasive.....	14

A.	As the Board has previously found, the combination of Severinsky '970 and Takaoka teaches " <i>limit[ing] the rate of change of torque produced by the engine such that combustion of fuel within the engine occurs at a substantially stoichiometric ratio</i> "	14
1.	Takaoka teaches a control strategy for limiting engine torque - Paice's "underpowered engine" argument lacks merit	14
2.	Takaoka teaches limiting engine <i>torque</i>	16
3.	Paice's current analysis of Takaoka conflicts with its prior analysis of Takaoka.....	17
B.	Rationale to combine Severinsky '970 with Takaoka	18
1.	Severinsky '970's disclosure of a lean-burn engine control strategy is not a "teaching away"	20
VI.	Issues raised for only Ground 2.....	21
VII.	Conclusion	22
	Certificate of Service	23

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Clearwater Systems Corp. v. Evapco, Inc.</i> , 394 Fed. Appx. 699 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	13
<i>Clearwater Systems Corp. v. Evapco, Inc.</i> , 596 F.Supp.2d 291 (D. Conn. 2009)	13
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	12
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	20, 21
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	5, 11
<i>PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	12

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 315	6, 21
-----------------------	-------

Updated List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description	Identifier
1201	U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097	'097 Patent
1202	Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey L. Stein	Stein Decl.
1203	<i>Paice LLC v. Ford Motor Company</i> , Case No. 1:14-cv-00492, District of MD, Baltimore Div., Complaint (Feb. 19, 2014)	Paice Complaint
1204	Ford's letter to Paice dated September 22, 2014	Ford Letter
1205	U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970	Severinsky '970
1206	Toshifumi Takaoka et al., <i>A High-Expansion Ratio Gasoline Engine for the Toyota Hybrid System</i> , Toyota Technical Review Vol. 47, No. 2 (April 1998) (available at: https://www.worldcat.org/title/a-high-expansion-ratio-gasoline-engine-for-the-toyota-hybrid-system/oclc/205516653&referer=brief_results .)	Takaoka
1207	<i>Ford Motor co. v. Paice LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-01415, Paper 9, Patent Owner Preliminary Response (P.T.A.B. Dec. 16, 2014)	IPR2014-01415 PO Preliminary Response
1208	Declaration of Walt Johnson, Librarian at Patent and Trademark Resource Center (PTRC), Minneapolis Central Library	Librarian Decl.
1209	U.S. Patent No. 5,865,263	Yamaguchi
1210	File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097	'097 File History
1211	U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347	'347 Patent
1212	File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347	'347 File History
1213	<i>Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp. et al.</i> , Case No. 2:04-	Toyota

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.