FORD MOTOR COMPANY v. PAICE, LLC, ET AL. NEIL HANNEMANN

April 8, 2015

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw



	D 1	П	D
	Page 1		Page 3
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1	APPEARANCES
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2	
3		3	
4		4	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
5	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, :	5	FRANK A. ANGILERI, ESQUIRE
6	Petitioner, :	6	JOHN P. RONDINI, ESQUIRE
7	v. : IPR Case No:	7	ANDREW B. TURNER, ESQUIRE
8	PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, : IPR2014-00570	8	BROOKS KUSHMAN, PC
9	INC., :	9	1000 Town Center
10	Patent Owner. :	10	22nd Floor
11	:	11	Southfield, MI 48075
12	x	12	(248) 226-2913
13		13	
14	Oval Denosition of NETL HANNIFAAANN	14	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:
15 16	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN Washington, DC	15	W. PETER GUARNIERI, ESQUIRE
17		16	LINDA LIU KORDZIEL, ESQUIRE
18	Wednesday, April 8, 2015	17	FISH & RICHARDSON
19	12:55 p.m.	18	1425 K Street, NW
20		19	11th Floor
21		20	Washington, DC 20005
22		4 1 2 2	(202) 783-5070
23	Job No.: 78384	4 ² 2 3	
24	Pages: 1 - 87	4 3 2 4	ALSO PRESENT:
25	Reported By: Rebecca Stonestreet, RPR, CRR	25 25	Frances Keenan, Paice LLC
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN, held at the	1	CONTENTS
2	offices of:	2	
3		3	EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN PAGE
4		4	By Mr. Angileri 5
5	FISH & RICHARDSON, PC	5	By Mr. Guarnieri 83
6	1425 K Street, NW	6	
7	11th Floor	7	
8	Washington, DC 20005	8	EXHIBITS
9	(202) 783-5070	9	(Retained by Counsel.)
10		10	HANNIEMANINI EVI ITDIT
11		11	HANNEMANN EXHIBIT PAGE
12		12	1 Declaration of Neil Hannemann 5
13 14	Durguant to notice hefere	13	2 U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097 5
	Pursuant to notice, before	14	3 U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970 5
15	Rebecca Stonestreet, Registered Professional Reporter,	15 16	4 "The Effects of APU Characteristics on the
16 17	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and for	17	Design of Hybrid Control Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles" 5
18	the District of Columbia, who officiated in administering the oath to the witness.	18	Hybrid Electric Vehicles" 5 5 Curriculum Vitae 70
19	are odar to the withess.	19	6 Supplemental Declaration 80
20		20	o Supplemental Decidiation 00
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
		1	



		Page 5		Page 7
1		PROCEEDINGS	1	review the declaration, the prior art, and the patent.
2		(HANNEMANN Exhibits 1 through 4 were marked	2	Q When did you come here last week to meet with
3	for ide	ntification and retained by counsel.)	3	counsel?
4	(NEIL	HANNEMANN, having been duly sworn, testified as	4	A On Wednesday.
5	·	follows:)	5	Q How long were you here?
6	1	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER	6	A Probably about most of the day, the normal
7	BY MR	. ANGILERI:	7	workday.
8	Q	Can you state your name for the record,	8	Q Did you leave at the end of the day?
9	please	?	9	A Yes.
10	Α.	Neil Hannemann.	10	Q Where did you go? Did you go back home?
11	Q	Mr. Hannemann, the reporter has marked, as	11	A No, no, I stayed in Washington. I met for
12	Exhibit	s 1 through 4, documents that I expect we'll get	12	three days last week. I arrived here Wednesday and met
13	into today.		13	Wednesday, Thursday, Friday.
1 4		What is Exhibit 1?	14	Q With counsel?
15	Α	Exhibit 1 is the declaration that I prepared	15	A Yes.
16		s matter.	16	Q Did you prepare at all on Monday?
17	Q	And this matter is IPR 2014-00570?	17	A Yes, I did.
18	Ā	That's correct.	18	Q Did you were you on any flights on Monday?
19	Q	Concerning strike that.	19	A Yeah, I flew back here Monday.
20	4	What's Exhibit 2?	20	Q From where?
21	A	Exhibit 2 is U.S. Patent 8,214,097.	21	A I was actually in Texas.
22	Q	And that's the patent that's at issue in this	22	Q So you were in Washington, D.C., Wednesday,
23	IPR?	And trides the pateric trides at issue in this	23	Thursday, Friday of last week meeting with counsel for
24	A A	That's correct.	24	Paice?
25	Q	We sometimes call it the '097 patent?	25	A Yes.
	<u> </u>	·	+	
		Page 6		Page 8
1	A	That's correct.	1	Q And then you left Washington, D.C.?
2	Q	What is Exhibit 3?	2	A Yes.
3	A	It's Patent Number 5,343,970.	3	Q And then you came back on Monday?
4	Q	Sometimes we call that the '970 patent?	4	A That's correct.
5	A	That's correct.	5	Q Who did you meet with on Wednesday, Thursday
6	Q	That's part of the prior art that's at issue	6	and Friday last week?
7	in this 1		7	A Well, at various times Mr. Guarnieri,
8	A	Yes, it is.	8	Mr. Marcus, and Ms. Kordziel.
9	Q	What is Exhibit 4?	9	MR. GUARNIERI: For the record I think he's
10	A	It's an SAE paper, 950493, titled "The Effects	10	referring to Mr. Livedalen, Brian.
11		J Characteristics on the Design of Hybrid Control	11	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
12	Strate	gies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles."	12	Q How did you prepare the declaration that's
13	Q	One of the named authors is a person named	13	been marked as Exhibit 1?
14	Catheri	ne Anderson. Correct?	14	A Quite a while ago, I reviewed all the material
15	A	That's correct.	15	that was the prior art and the patent and had I came
16	Q	And as a result, we sometimes refer to this as	16	here to Washington to meet with counsel and discuss all
17	the And	derson paper or just Anderson?	17	the elements, the technical matters.
18	A	Yes.	18	And then I worked with counsel to, you know,
19	Q	What did you do to prepare for this deposition	19	draft up various portions of this, or add or work through
20	and the	e depositions that you've had yesterday and today?	20	a draft until arriving at this product.
21	A	Well, I	21	Q Did counsel prepare first drafts of any
22		MR. GUARNIERI: And I'll just caution him not	22	portions of Exhibit 1?
23	to get i	into any privileged communications.	23	A Yeah, there were some portions that they
2 4		But you can answer.	24	drafted up initially.
i		I came here last week to meet with counsel and	25	Q Do you know which portions?

Page 9 Page 11 A You know, I might be able to pick some out. 1 declarations? I'm not sure I would be getting all of them correct. 2 A I really don't have any idea, as I sit here. 3 Q Which portions of Section 8 did counsel 3 Q Do you know how much time you spent on all six 4 prepare the first draft of? 4 of those proceedings combined? A That's one where I'm not sure which section 5 A Not really. 6 started with counsel or myself. 6 Q Do you know how much you've billed Paice for 7 Q Can you identify any section that you prepared 7 these six proceedings? the first draft of? 8 A I would have invoices, but I don't have those 9 9 A Yeah. My memory is probably not that good to with me. . 0 go back and define it to that level of detail. 10 Q Can you estimate to within \$100,000 of how . 1 Q The other declarations that we marked in 571 11 much you've billed Paice? 12 and 579 depositions that were yesterday and today -- do h 2 A Sure. I think it's pretty safe to say it's 13 you recall those declarations? less than \$100,000. 4 A Yes. Q Is it less than \$50,000? . 5 Were they prepared in a similar manner as the 15 A It's really hard to say without checking, but 6 manner you just described --16 it's likely that it is. 7 A Yeah. 17 Q Is it less than \$25,000? 8 Q -- in Exhibit 1? 18 A Probably not. 9 A Yeah, in a similar manner. h 9 Q Can you look at Exhibit 3, please? 2 0 20 Q And for those two declarations, can you recall A (Witness complies.) 21 any section that you prepared the first draft of? 21 Exhibit 3 is the '970 patent. Correct? 22 22 Yes. A Some of the sections and probably the more Δ 23 technical sections are probably ones that I prepared. b 3 Q The '970 patent describes a parallel system. And, certainly, my background is something that I Is that correct? started. A Yes, it does. Page 10 Page 12 Q Is there anything specifically that you can Q Does the '970 patent have a mode where only 2 2 identify as preparing the first draft of other than your the motor is used to propel the vehicle? 3 background? 3 A Yes, it does. 4 A Specifically in this declaration, or are you 4 And does it have a mode where only the engine 5 5 talking the other ones also? is used to propel the vehicle? 6 Q Any of the three. 6 A Yes, it does. A Any of them. I just don't remember which ones 7 Q Does it have a mode where the engine and the 8 I started or somebody else may have started. motor are both used to propel the vehicle? 9 Q How much time did you spend preparing or 9 A Yes, it does. working on -- strike that. L O 10 When the motor is used to propel the vehicle, 1 1 How much time did you spend -- strike that. h 1 is the engine disconnected from the wheels through a 12 12 How much time have you spent in these three 13 13 proceedings, 570, 571 and 579? MR. GUARNIERI: I'm going to object to the 14 A I don't think I've really tracked my time for 14 extent it calls for speculation. 15 each proceeding, so that's hard to say. 15 A Figure 3 shows a clutch, and up through 16 16 Q What about the three proceedings combined? Figure 9 shows a clutch. So at least the ones disclosed 17 A Well, these have overlapped with three other 17 in those figures appear to use a clutch to disconnect the proceedings that are ongoing now, so it would be hard to 18 19 19 really break that out. Q Is it true that in order to run in the 20 20 Q Can you tell me how much time you've spent on motor-only mode for the '970 patent, you actually have to 21 21 the -- strike that. disconnect the engine from the wheels? 2.2 How many proceedings have you worked on for 2.2 A Are you speaking generically or in the scope 23 Paice thus far? 23 of this patent? 24 A The six that I've prepared declarations for. 24 In the '970 patent. How much time have you spent on those six 25 I don't recall seeing any language where it

Page 15 Page 13 1 specifically requires the clutch be disconnected. 1 total power required. So they're both providing less. 2 Q If the clutch doesn't disconnect the engine, 2 Q Do you agree that the '970 patent can enter an 3 would you agree, then, that the engine would always have 3 acceleration hill-climbing mode before it reaches the 4 to be running? engine's MTO or minimum torque output? 5 A Unless there's some mechanism within what they A Yeah. According to the '970, it enters that 6 describe as a torque transfer unit that could allow the 6 mode based on vehicle speed. 7 7 Q And that can -- I don't agree with you there, 8 THE REPORTER: A torque trans? but you agree that can happen before the engine reaches 9 9 THE WITNESS: Torque transfer unit. its maximum torque output or MTO? 10 Do you agree that for the -- to run in a 10 MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Form. 11 11 A Yeah. And I guess the word "reaching" implies motor-only mode, somehow -- strike that. 12 12 Do you agree that for the '970 to run in the that the engine is already on. So if the engine is not 13 motor-only mode and not -- strike that. 13 already on, it's not going to reach any torque. 14 h 4 Do you agree that for the '970 patent to run Q Are you saying that the '970 can enter 15 in the motor-only mode, it somehow has to disconnect the 15 acceleration hill-climbing mode by starting with the 16 engine from the wheels in order to do that? 16 motor and then adding the engine? 17 h 7 A I think that's one way it can enter that mode, A I would think that's a reasonable thing to do. 18 I just don't see where it specifically states that. 18 yes. 19 Q Do you think that's how a person of ordinary And obviously in that case you are using both 20 20 skill in the art would understand the '970 patent? the motor and the engine in a situation where the engine 21 A I think in the presence of the clutch, that's 21 has not yet hit its maximum torque output. Correct? 22 how someone would understand it operated. 2 A Yeah. I think that there's points in the 23 Q So just to be clear, a person of skill in the 23 high-speed acceleration/hill-climbing mode where the 24 art would understand that when the '970 patent is running 24 engine is not at its maximum torque. 25 in motor-only mode, it's using the clutch to disconnect Q And you're using the motor and the engine at Page 14 Page 16 the engine from the wheels. Do you agree with that those times. Right? 2 statement? A Yes. 3 3 Do hybrid vehicles use AC motors or DC motors? A Yes, I do. 4 Do you agree that an object of the invention 4 I would say most of them use AC motors 5 5 currently. There have been some that have used DC motors of the '970 patent is reducing emissions? 6 A I think that's an aspirational goal, to reduce 6 in the past. emissions, yes. Q In the past, were DC motors a better fit? 8 8 MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Vague. Q In fact, if you look at Column 5, Lines 24 to 9 30, isn't that the first stated object of the invention 9 A Yeah. It depends on the design goals and the L O in the '970 patent? 10 design criteria. 1 1 Q Which is a better -- which is better to use? A Yes. 11 12 12 AC motors or DC motors? Do you agree that in the acceleration 13 13 MR. GUARNIERI: Same objection. Vague. hill-climbing mode of the '970 patent, the motor is used 14 to supplement the engine? 14 A Yeah. It depends on what's important in your 15 MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Assumes facts not 15 design. If it's performance, weight, cost, all those 16 in evidence and calls for speculation. 16 issues can drive a different design decision. 17 17 A Yeah, I agree in the high-speed Q Is a person of ordinary skill in the art going 18 acceleration/hill-climbing mode, both the internal to consider those design criteria, that you just 19 19 combustion engine and the electric motor provide torque mentioned, when making a choice between AC and DC motors? 20 20 A Yeah. I think every choice designing a car 21 21 has cost, weight, and performance implications. Q Do you agree, then, that in that situation, 22 2.2 the engine is providing less than the amount of torque Q So would a person of ordinary skill in the art 23 required to operate the vehicle? 23 consider the factors you identified in choosing between 24 24 A Yeah. You have torque coming from both the AC and DC motors? engine and the motor, so neither one is providing the 25 A Those are some of the factors they would

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

