

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00571
Patent 7,104,347

**PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 5

 A. The District Courts’ Construction..... 5

 B. The Board should revise its construction of “setpoint (SP)” 6

II. DEFECTS IN THE INSTITUTED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY 11

 A. Ground 1 is Defective Because Ford Misapplies Severinsky to the Challenged Claims 12

 B. Ground 1 is Defective Because Ford Has Failed to Demonstrate that Severinsky Discloses or Renders Obvious the Features Recited in Claim 23 25

 1. Severinsky does not disclose or render obvious “employing said engine to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is between said lower level SP and MTO” 26

 2. Severinsky does not disclose or render obvious “employing said at least one electric motor to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is less than said lower level SP” 43

 3. Severinsky does not disclose or render obvious “employing said engine to propel said vehicle when the torque RL required to do so is less than said lower level SP and using the torque between RL and SP to drive said at least one electric motor to charge said battery” 45

 4. Severinsky does not disclose or render obvious a “setpoint” 50

 C. Ground 2 is Defective Because Ford Has Failed to Demonstrate that Severinsky in view of Ehsani Render Obvious the challenged claims..... 52

 1. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious “wherein said controller starts and operates said engine when torque require to be produced by said engine to propel the vehicle and/or to drive either one or both said electric motor(s) to charge said battery is at least equal to a setpoint (SP) above which said engine torque is efficiently produced” as required by claim 1 52

2. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious a “setpoint” as required by claim 1	55
3. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious “wherein said vehicle is operated in a plurality of operating modes responsive to the value for the road load (RL) and said setpoint SP” as required by claim 7	56
4. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious “a highway cruising mode IV, wherein said vehicle is propelled by torque provided by said internal combustion engine, while $SP < RL < MTO$ ” as required by claim 7	57
5. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious “a low-load mode I, wherein said vehicle is propelled by torque provided by said second electric motor in response to energy supplied from said battery, while $RL < SP$ ” as required by claim 7	58
6. Severinsky in view of Ehsani does not render obvious claim 9	58
D. Ground 3 is Defective Because Ford Has Failed to Demonstrate that Severinsky in View of Ehsani Fails to Render Obvious the Challenged Claims	59
III. CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	7
<i>Clearwater Sys. Corp. v. Evapco, Inc.</i> 394 F. App'x 699, 705 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	41, 50
<i>Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	42
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	42
<i>Paice LLC v. Hyundai Motor Co.</i> , No. CIV. WDQ-12-0499, 2014 WL 3725652 (D. Md. July 24, 2014)	6
<i>Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp., et al.</i> , No. 2:04-CV-211-DF, Dkt. No. 91 (E.D. Tex. Sep. 28, 2005)	6
<i>Paice LLC v. Toyota Motor Corp., et al.</i> , No. 2:07-CV-180-DF, Dkt. No. 63 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 5, 2008).....	6
<i>In re Vaidyanathan</i> , 381 Fed. Appx. 985 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (unpublished)	7

...

EXHIBITS

Patent Owner Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
PAICE Ex. 2002	Declaration of Neil Hannemann
PAICE Ex. 2003	Dr. Gregory W. Davis Deposition Transcript (Jan. 13, 2015)
PAICE Ex. 2004	Excerpt from File History for U.S. Patent 8,214,097
PAICE Ex. 2005	Integrated Microprocessor Control of a Hybrid i.c. Engine/Battery-Electric Automotive Power Train,” P.W. Masding, J.R. Bumby, Jan. 1990
PAICE Ex. 2006	Masding, Philip Wilson (1988) “Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles,” Durham theses, Durham University
PAICE Ex. 2007	Excerpt from McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms, Sixth Ed., 2003.
PAICE Ex. 2008	Neil Hannemann CV
PAICE Ex. 2009	Paice v. Ford, C.A. No. 1:14-cv-00492-WDQ, Complaint (Feb. 19, 2014)
PAICE Ex. 2010	Griffith Hack Report

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.