

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
BALTIMORE DIVISION

PAICE, LLC and
THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.,
Plaintiffs,

Case 1:14-cv-00492-WDQ

vs.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
Defendant.

_____ /

DEPOSITION OF GREGORY W. DAVIS, Ph.D.
Southfield, Michigan
Tuesday, January 13, 2015

REPORTED BY:

Paula S. Raskin, CSR-4757

Ref. No. 13176

TransPerfect Legal Solutions

1
2 January 13, 2015
3 9:02 A.M.
4
5 DEPOSITION OF GREGORY W. DAVIS, Ph.D., taken on
6 January 13, 2015 by the Plaintiffs at the Law
7 Offices of Brooks Kushman, 1000 Town Center,
8 Southfield, Michigan, before Paula S. Raskin,
9 Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
10 in and for the State of Michigan, County of
11 Oakland.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:
2
3 FOR THE DEFENDANT:
4
5 LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
6 BY: AARON PEREZ-DAPLE
7 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800
8 Chicago, Illinois 60611
9 (312) 876-7602
10 aaron.perez-daple@lw.com
11
12 ALSO PRESENT:
13 Frances M. Keenan, PAICE LLC
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 APPEARANCES:
2
3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4
5 FISH & RICHARDSON
6 BY: RUFFIN B. CORDELL
7 W. PETER GUARNIERI
8 1425 K Street NW, 11th Floor
9 Washington, DC 20005
10 (202) 783-5070
11 cordell@fr.com
12 guarnieri@fr.com
13
14 FOR THE DEFENDANT:
15
16 BROOKS KUSHMAN, PC
17 BY: FRANK A. ANGILERI
18 ERIN KAY BOWLES
19 JOHN P. RONDINI
20 1000 Town Center, Floor 22
21 Southfield, Michigan 48075
22 (248) 358-4400
23 fangileri@brookskushman.com
24 ebowles@brookskushman.com
25 jrondini@brookskushman.com

1 INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS
2
3 Witness Page
4 GREGORY W. DAVIS, Ph.D.
5 EXAMINATION BY MR. CORDELL: 10
6
7 INDEX TO EXHIBITS
8
9 Exhibit Page
10 (Exhibits attached to transcript.)
11
12 EXHIBIT 1 - Declaration of Dr. Gregory W. 10
13 Davis in Support of Inter Partes
14 Review - Patent No. 7,104,347
15 EXHIBIT 2 - Declaration of Dr. Gregory W. 11
16 Davis in Support of Inter Partes
17 Review - Patent No. 7,104,347
18 EXHIBIT 3 - Davis Curriculum Vitae 14
19 EXHIBIT 4 - Patent 7,104,347 B2 43
20 EXHIBIT 5 - Patent 5,343,970 122
21 EXHIBIT 6 - Patent 5,586,613 185
22
23
24
25

	Page 6
1	EXHIBIT 7 - "Computer Modelling of the 203
2	Automotive Energy Requirements
3	for Internal Combustion Engine
4	and Battery Electric-Powered
5	Vehicles"
6	EXHIBIT 8 - "Optimisation and Control of a 204
7	Hybrid Electric Car"
8	EXHIBIT 9 - "A Hybrid Internal Combustion 204
9	Engine/Battery Electric Passenger
10	Car for Petroleum displacement"
11	EXHIBIT 10- "A Test-Bed Facility for Hybrid 206
12	IC-Engine/Battery-Electric Road
13	Vehicle Drive Trains"
14	EXHIBIT 11- "Integrated Microprocessor 206
15	Control of a Hybrid I.C.
16	Engine/Battery-Electric
17	Automotive Power Train"
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Southfield, Michigan
3	January 13, 2015
4	9:02 a.m.
5	* * * *
6	GREGORY W. DAVIS, Ph.D.,
7	having been called as a witness herein, was
8	first duly sworn, examined, and testified as
9	follows: 09:02:31
10	MR. CORDELL: Ruffin Cordell and 09:02:31
11	Pete Guarnieri from Fish & Richardson on 09:02:35
12	behalf of PAICE, and with us is the 09:02:36
13	chairman of PAICE, Frances Keenan. 09:02:38
14	MR. ANGILERI: Frank Angileri for 09:02:41
15	Ford, and in the room are John Rondini, 09:02:45
16	Erin Bowles and Aaron Perez-Daple. 09:02:45
17	MR. CORDELL: Good morning, 09:02:50
18	Dr. Davis. 09:02:51
19	THE WITNESS: Good morning. 09:02:52
20	MR. CORDELL: My name is Ruffin 09:02:52
21	Cordell. We just met this morning. Did 09:02:55
22	you understand I represent PAICE? 09:02:55
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 09:02:55
24	MR. CORDELL: Is there any reason 09:02:57
25	why you can't testify completely and 09:02:58

	Page 8
1	truthfully here today? 09:03:00
2	THE WITNESS: No. 09:03:00
3	MR. CORDELL: Could you give us your 09:03:02
4	full name and address for the record. 09:03:03
5	THE WITNESS: Sure. It's 09:03:06
6	Dr. Gregory W. Davis, and I live in 09:03:07
7	Frankenmuth, Michigan, 143 Clarmarc Drive, 09:03:11
8	C-L-A-R-M-A-R-C, and the zip code is 48734. 09:03:16
9	MR. CORDELL: And you've been 09:03:24
10	deposed before? 09:03:24
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. 09:03:26
12	MR. CORDELL: How many times? 09:03:27
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know. 09:03:28
14	MR. CORDELL: Over a dozen? 09:03:31
15	THE WITNESS: Probably not. 09:03:34
16	MR. CORDELL: You know that I'm 09:03:35
17	going to ask you a series of questions, 09:03:36
18	right? 09:03:38
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. 09:03:38
20	MR. CORDELL: And I'm going to 09:03:38
21	presume that you understand my question 09:03:39
22	unless you ask for clarification. Is that 09:03:41
23	fair? 09:03:43
24	THE WITNESS: Fair. 09:03:44
25	MR. CORDELL: And if -- from time to 09:03:45

	Page 9
1	time, Mr. Angileri may interpose an 09:03:50
2	objection. Unless he asks you not to 09:03:53
3	answer the question, you know you're 09:03:55
4	supposed to go ahead and answer it, 09:03:57
5	correct? 09:03:57
6	THE WITNESS: Correct. 09:03:57
7	MR. CORDELL: Okay. Good. 09:04:00
8	MR. ANGILERI: Mr. Cordell, before 09:04:01
9	we start, which deposition are you doing? 09:04:03
10	Because you guys noticed them both for 09:04:04
11	today. 09:04:06
12	MR. CORDELL: I plan on marking both 09:04:07
13	of the declarations, and then we'll see how 09:04:08
14	far we get. 09:04:13
15	MR. ANGILERI: You can mark them 09:04:13
16	both, but I want to proceed one at a time, 09:04:14
17	because if I'm going to make a relevance 09:04:18
18	objection, it needs to be in context. 09:04:21
19	MR. CORDELL: I'm not sure those are 09:04:23
20	appropriate in any context, but make 09:04:23
21	whatever objections you feel like you need 09:04:23
22	to. I'll try to make sure that I'm in 09:04:23
23	context. But the issues overlap, so I 09:04:28
24	don't think you can divide them that way. 09:04:31
25	MR. ANGILERI: I think you can. 09:04:32

Page 10

1 They're two separate proceedings. 09:04:34
 2 MR. CORDELL: You make your 09:04:36
 3 objections, I'll ask my questions. 09:04:37
 4 MR. ANGILERI: Well, which 09:04:39
 5 proceeding are you here for? 09:04:39
 6 MR. CORDELL: Well, we are here for 09:04:41
 7 IPR 2014-00571 and 2014-00579. I will 09:04:44
 8 begin with 571, if that helps you. 09:04:50
 9 MR. ANGILERI: Then I'll consider 09:04:53
 10 this a 571 deposition. 09:04:55
 11 MR. CORDELL: Again, I'm not going 09:04:56
 12 to agree that anything -- well, I not going 09:04:58
 13 to agree that that limitation prevents us 09:05:03
 14 from using questions that you consider to 09:05:05
 15 be appropriate to 571 in the 579 09:05:08
 16 proceeding. 09:05:13
 17 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 MARKED 09:05:13
 18 FOR IDENTIFICATION) 09:05:13
 19 EXAMINATION 09:05:13
 20 BY MR. CORDELL: 09:05:13
 21 Q. And with that, Dr. Davis, let me 09:05:14
 22 hand you what we've marked as Davis Exhibit 1, 09:05:16
 23 which for the record is the "Declaration of 09:05:19
 24 Dr. Gregory W. Davis in Support of Inter Partes 09:05:21
 25 Review Under," et cetera, but it's marked Ford 09:05:28

Page 11

1 Exhibit 1005, and it's for IPR Case Number 09:05:32
 2 IPR2014-00571. 09:05:36
 3 Is this your declaration, Dr. Davis? 09:05:42
 4 A. It appears to be, without any of the 09:06:42
 5 attachments. 09:06:44
 6 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 MARKED 09:06:44
 7 FOR IDENTIFICATION) 09:06:46
 8 Q. Okay. Now let me hand you what 09:06:46
 9 we've marked as Davis Exhibit 2, which is also 09:06:48
 10 entitled the "Declaration of Dr. Gregory Davis 09:06:51
 11 in Support of Inter Partes Review," et cetera, 09:06:54
 12 and it is marked Ford Exhibit 1108 for IPR Case 09:06:56
 13 Number IPR2014-00579. 09:07:02
 14 And, Dr. Davis, let me ask, is this 09:07:28
 15 the declaration you did in the 579 proceeding? 09:07:30
 16 A. It appears to be, again without any 09:08:11
 17 of the attachments. 09:08:14
 18 Q. Tell me how you put these 09:08:15
 19 declarations together. 09:08:17
 20 A. I'm not sure I understand your 09:08:22
 21 question. 09:08:23
 22 Q. How did you write these 09:08:24
 23 declarations? Did you sit in a room and write 09:08:26
 24 them? Did you have help? Was it a 09:08:28
 25 collaborative effort between you and the 09:08:32

Page 12

1 attorneys from Brooks Kushman? How did it 09:08:35
 2 happen? 09:08:37
 3 A. Well, I drafted this declaration 09:08:37
 4 much in the same way I would any. I had other 09:08:39
 5 people do most of the typing. I'm not the best 09:08:44
 6 typist in the world. I reviewed obviously the 09:08:49
 7 patents, the prior art, formed my opinions, 09:08:54
 8 often would tell others this is what I want to 09:09:03
 9 say, this is how I want to type it, and we 09:09:06
 10 would type it together. So I did it much in 09:09:10
 11 the same way I would any declaration. 09:09:13
 12 Q. When were you first contacted about 09:09:18
 13 this case? 09:09:20
 14 A. I'm not sure. 09:09:21
 15 Q. Well, it had to be after October of 09:09:25
 16 2013, right? 09:09:30
 17 A. I guess. I don't remember. 09:09:32
 18 Q. Who contacted you? 09:09:36
 19 A. I don't recall. Probably somebody 09:09:37
 20 from Brooks & Kushman. 09:09:40
 21 Q. But, suffice it to say, it was 09:09:44
 22 before April of 2014 when you signed these 09:09:45
 23 declarations. 09:09:48
 24 A. Certainly, yes. 09:09:48
 25 Q. About how much work went into 09:09:49

Page 13

1 putting the declarations together? 09:09:52
 2 A. I spent a lot of time on these. 09:09:53
 3 Q. How much? 09:09:55
 4 A. I can't put a number on it. 09:09:58
 5 Q. Two weeks? 09:10:01
 6 A. Remember, you have to understand, 09:10:04
 7 first of all, I have a day job too, so that 09:10:06
 8 these things take a lot longer. But I spent 09:10:08
 9 a -- much more than two weeks certainly. 09:10:12
 10 Q. More than a month? 09:10:15
 11 A. Yeah. 09:10:23
 12 Q. More than two months? 09:10:24
 13 A. Again, I don't know. I can't give 09:10:25
 14 you any specific dates, but I spent quite an 09:10:28
 15 amount of time. I don't remember all the 09:10:33
 16 details. 09:10:34
 17 Q. Have you ever worked with Ford 09:10:35
 18 before these two IPR proceedings? 09:10:40
 19 A. I'm not sure I understand. 09:10:43
 20 Q. Have you ever been a Ford employee? 09:10:45
 21 A. No. 09:10:51
 22 Q. Have you ever done a contract with 09:10:51
 23 Ford, contract work for Ford? 09:10:53
 24 A. Not that I believe, no. 09:10:56
 25 Q. Have you ever had any research 09:11:02

1 funded by Ford? 09:11:04
 2 A. Yes. I've had engines donated to my 09:11:09
 3 lab facilities, things like that. 09:11:12
 4 Q. Which lab? 09:11:14
 5 A. My advanced engine research lab up 09:11:15
 6 at Kettering University. 09:11:19
 7 Q. Does Ford get along with Kettering 09:11:20
 8 okay, despite its GM roots? 09:11:23
 9 A. Yes. 09:11:23
 10 Q. And aside from having engines 09:11:28
 11 donated, can you think of any other instance in 09:11:32
 12 which you've received any kind of financial 09:11:34
 13 support from Ford outside of this case? 09:11:38
 14 A. I've done another inter partes 09:11:40
 15 review in another case. 09:11:48
 16 Q. Okay. Describe that for me. 09:11:51
 17 A. It was regarding fuel pump 09:11:53
 18 technology. 09:11:56
 19 Q. Which case was that? 09:11:57
 20 A. I don't know the number or anything. 09:11:59
 21 Q. I'm going to mark as Davis Exhibit 3 09:12:07
 22 a copy of your CV, which is Ford Exhibit 1015. 09:12:09
 23 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3 MARKED 09:12:06
 24 FOR IDENTIFICATION) 09:12:25
 25 A. Thank you. 09:12:25

1 Q. So what we've marked as Davis 09:12:29
 2 Exhibit 3 is in fact your current CV? 09:12:32
 3 A. It appears to be. 09:13:00
 4 Q. I note that you don't include 09:13:02
 5 litigation that you've been involved with on 09:13:05
 6 your CV. Can you describe for us the 09:13:07
 7 litigation you've had for the last five years, 09:13:11
 8 that you've been involved with for the last 09:13:15
 9 five years? 09:13:17
 10 A. I don't believe that's true. 09:13:17
 11 Q. Maybe I missed it. Where do you see 09:13:20
 12 it? 09:13:23
 13 Oh, there it is. You're right. 09:13:24
 14 It's in the middle of the document. 09:13:26
 15 So which of these cases involved 09:13:28
 16 Ford, if any? 09:13:32
 17 A. I forgot about this one. There's a 09:13:50
 18 case here where I represented Ford in the 09:13:53
 19 International Trade Commission. 09:13:57
 20 Q. In fact, you were adverse to 09:13:58
 21 Dr. Stein in the case, right? 09:14:02
 22 A. I don't remember that. I'm not sure 09:14:07
 23 that is true. 09:14:08
 24 Q. You don't recall Dr. Jeffrey Stein 09:14:10
 25 being on the other side of that case? 09:14:12

1 A. I don't recall. 09:14:15
 2 Q. Which side won that case? Do you 09:14:16
 3 recall? 09:14:19
 4 A. No. 09:14:19
 5 Q. You have no recollection of 09:14:21
 6 reviewing any of Dr. Stein's work in any case? 09:14:28
 7 A. I do believe Dr. Stein was 09:14:33
 8 representing or one of the experts on the other 09:14:39
 9 side in a case I did a number of years ago. 09:14:41
 10 Q. Which one was that? 09:14:46
 11 A. I'm not sure. 09:14:47
 12 Q. So I don't see any IPRs listed in 09:14:53
 13 the legal proceedings section. Is there one 09:14:59
 14 that you can recall where you assisted Ford? 09:15:04
 15 A. Again, I -- when I put this 09:15:08
 16 together, I believe I hadn't provided any 09:15:14
 17 testimony with regards to the IPR that I did 09:15:16
 18 with Ford. 09:15:20
 19 Q. Okay. And do you know who the other 09:15:22
 20 party is in that IPR? 09:15:24
 21 A. I'm not sure, but I believe it's 09:15:31
 22 TMC. 09:15:33
 23 Q. And what is the subject matter of 09:15:35
 24 the Ford-TMC IPR? 09:15:39
 25 A. Again, it involves fuel pumps. 09:15:42

1 Q. And is Ford or TMC the patentee? 09:15:46
 2 A. TMC I believe is the patentee. 09:15:54
 3 Q. Have you done a declaration in that 09:15:59
 4 case? 09:16:02
 5 A. Yes, I have. 09:16:02
 6 Q. Have you been deposed? 09:16:03
 7 A. Yes, I was deposed. 09:16:04
 8 Q. When? 09:16:06
 9 A. I don't recall the exact date. 09:16:07
 10 Q. Well, was it within the last six 09:16:18
 11 months? 09:16:21
 12 A. Yes, that would be fair. I believe 09:16:21
 13 it was. 09:16:23
 14 Q. Sometime in the fall? 09:16:23
 15 A. Yeah, it would be sometime I believe 09:16:25
 16 in the fall. 09:16:27
 17 Q. And who are the lawyers 09:16:29
 18 representing -- 09:16:30
 19 A. I think so, but I'm not actually a 09:16:31
 20 hundred percent sure on that, but I think it 09:16:33
 21 was in the fall. 09:16:35
 22 Q. Sometime in the back half of 2014? 09:16:35
 23 A. That sounds correct. 09:16:37
 24 Q. Really very few depositions in IPRs 09:16:40
 25 happen before that, so that's why -- 09:16:43

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.