trials@uspto.gov

IPR2015-00722, Paper No. 35 IPR2015-00784, Paper No. 34 IPR2015-00787, Paper No. 34 IPR2015-00790, Paper No. 33 IPR2015-00791, Paper No. 34 IPR2015-00794, Paper No. 30 IPR2015-00795; Paper No. 30 August 11, 2016

571-272-7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY, Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC., Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2015-00722, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787, IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794, and IPR2015-00795
Patents 7,237,634 B2 and 7,104,347 B2

Held: June 28, 2016

eid. Julie 20, 201

BEFORE: SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and CARL M. DeFRANCO, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, June 28, 2016, commencing at 9:03 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

FRANK ANGILERI, ESQUIRE JOHN RONDINI, ESQUIRE Brooks Kushman P.C. 1000 Town Center 22nd Floor Southfield, Michigan 48075

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, NW 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE MEDLEY: This is the hearing for
4	IPR2015-00722, 784, 787, 790, 791, 794 and 795 between
5	Petitioner Ford and Patent Owner Paice and The Abell
6	Foundation, and it involves claims of U.S. Patent 7,237,634 and
7	U.S. Patent 7,104,347.
8	Per our May 26 order, each party will have 75 minutes
9	of total time to present arguments. Petitioner, you will proceed
10	first, present your case with respect to the challenged claims and
11	grounds for which the Board instituted trial. Thereafter, Patent
12	Owner, you will have an opportunity to respond to Petitioner's
13	presentation and, Petitioner, you may reserve rebuttal time.
14	At this time we'd like the parties to please introduce
15	counsel beginning with the Petitioner.
16	MR. ANGILERI: Can I introduce everyone, Your
17	Honor, or
18	JUDGE MEDLEY: I'm sorry?
19	MR. ANGILERI: Should I introduce everyone?
20	JUDGE MEDLEY: Just who's going to argue is fine.
21	MR. ANGILERI: Okay. Frank Angileri for Petitioner
22	and also John Rondini who's behind me will argue today.
23	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
24	And for Patent Owner?



1	MR. LIVEDALEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
2	Brian Livedalen from the law firm of Fish & Richardson for
3	Patent Owner. With me here is Linda Kordziel. I'll be handling
4	all the oral arguments. With me also here is the representative
5	from Patent Owner, Francis Keenan.
6	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
7	We got new equipment, so bear with us for a minute.
8	All right. It seems to be working.
9	Okay. Petitioner, you may begin.
10	MR. ANGILERI: Thank you, Your Honor. Again,
11	Frank Angileri for Petitioner. At counsel table is Andy Turner
12	and then also with us are Lissi Mojica, Kevin Greenleaf from the
13	Dentons firm, Sangeela Shah from Brooks Kushman, our firm,
14	and Matt Moore from Latham Watkins and then David Kelley
15	from Ford.
16	We have the hard copies of the demonstratives for today
17	and tomorrow. Would you like those?
18	JUDGE MEDLEY: I would like a copy.
19	MR. ANGILERI: As the Board knows, we are we
20	broke this into three groups. In general there are a lot of new
21	issues today, but there's also a lot of old issues. In fact, there may
22	be more old issues than new issues.
23	In the broad sense Group 1 relates to seven petitions
24	that are all centered in part on the Ibaraki '882 patent. Group 2



1	tomorrow morning are two petitions that focus on the priority
2	issues and the PCT and Severinsky prior art. And then Group 3,
3	the primary references are Severinsky and Bumby, which the
4	Board looked at in the final decisions from last year.
5	The common theme for last year and this year is this
6	idea of torque-based mode selection. And what I think these
7	arguments today show is that that torque-based mode selection is
8	very well-known in the art and was very well-known in the art
9	before the Paice patents were filed.
10	Again, last year it focused on Severinsky and Bumby
11	and a Caraceni reference as well as a Tabata reference. This year
12	the focus is today, anyway, is on Ibaraki.
13	Today we'll also look at the fact that the claims at issue
14	add a number of well-known features. These were they don't
15	really change the fact that the torque-based mode selection is old
16	and the well-known features don't add much to these claims.
17	What we've tried to do to organize this in both the
18	presentation today and tomorrow, we've got two things that we've
19	tried to do to organize these issues.
20	First, in slide 2 on the left we have the various issues
21	that are addressed in these seven petitions and then across the top
22	we try to explain where in each of these petitions these issues



23

arise.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

