

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00790
Patent 7,237,634

**PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE '634 PATENT	3
A.	Background of the '634 Patent	3
B.	Claim Construction	6
1.	“setpoint (SP)”	7
2.	The Challenged Claims Require a Comparison Torque Requirements to Setpoint	11
III.	ARGUMENT	15
A.	Ford is Estopped from Maintaining its Challenges to Claim 14.....	16
B.	Grounds 1-6 are Deficient—Ford Has Failed to Demonstrate that the Proposed Combination of Ibaraki '882 and Yamaguchi Discloses or Renders Obvious the Features Recited in the Challenged Claims.....	16
1.	Ibaraki '882 does not compare torque requirements to “setpoint” 16	
2.	Ibaraki '882 does not disclose a setpoint that is substantially less than MTO	35
3.	Claims 4 and 28 Are Not Obvious Over the Proposed Combination of Ibaraki '882 and Yamaguchi.....	38
C.	Ground 2 is Deficient—Claims 13-15 Are Not Obvious Over the Proposed Combination of Ibaraki '882 and Masding	39
D.	Ground 3 is Deficient—Ford Fails to Establish a Motivation to Combine Ibaraki '882 with Kawakatsu.....	41
E.	Ground 4 is Deficient—Claim 29 is Not Obvious Over the Proposed Combination of Ibaraki '882 and Vittone	44

1.	Vittone Does Not Disclose Controlling the Engine by Limiting a Rate of Change of Torque Output of the Engine	45
2.	Ford Fails to Establish a Motivation to Combine Vittone with Ibaraki '882	48
F.	Ground 5 is Deficient—Claim 32 is Not Obvious Over the Proposed Combination of Ibaraki '882 and Ibaraki '626	50
G.	Ground 6 is Deficient—Suga's Pure Electric Vehicle Does Not Relate to Sizing a Motor in a Hybrid Electric Vehicle.....	52
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	56

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6
<i>Ex parte</i> Gunasekar, Appeal 2009-008345, 2011 WL 3872007 (BPAI 2011)	40, 41
<i>Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10
<i>In re Giannelli</i> , 739 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	49
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	51
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group Int'l</i> , 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	35, 37
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	39, 43, 48, 53
<i>Ex Parte James R. Bosserdet Jr.</i> , Appeal 2012-001420, 2014 WL 5590704 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2014)	37
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	32
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	32, 44, 51

...

<i>MasterImage 3D, Inc. v. Reald Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00033, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2015)	49, 56
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	13
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Enfish, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00559, Paper 65 (PTAB Mar. 3, 2015)	43, 49, 51
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6, 7, 10, 13
<i>In re Nilssen</i> , 837 F.2d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	51
<i>Nystrom v. Trex Co.</i> , 424 F.3d 1136	35
<i>Plantronics v. Aliph, Inc.</i> , 724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	55
<i>Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-00024, Paper 16 (PTAB Nov. 19, 2013)	43, 49
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	6
<i>Texas Instruments v. United States ITC</i> , 988 F.2d 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	37, 38
<i>In re Vaidyanathan</i> , 381 Fed. Appx. 985 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	10
<i>In re Wright</i> , 569 F.2d 1124 (C.C.P.A. 1977)	36
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1)	16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.