

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Facebook, Inc.  
Petitioner

v.

TLI Communications, LLC  
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295  
Filing Date: June 17, 1997  
Issue Date: March 14, 2000

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR RECORDING, COMMUNICATING  
AND ADMINISTERING DIGITAL IMAGES

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,038,295**

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2014-00566

## Table of Contents

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	1
D. Service Information .....	2
E. Power of Attorney .....	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	2
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 .....	3
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	3
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	3
C. Requirements for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	4
IV. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND RELEVANT TO THE '295 PATENT.....	5
A. Prior Art Technologies for Transmitting Digital Images – Fax.....	5
B. Telecommunications Standards .....	6
C. Server Storage of Digital Images from Facsimile Devices .....	7
D. Digital Cameras and Imaging .....	8
V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER .....	8
A. The Specification of the '295 Patent.....	8
B. The Claims of the '295 Patent.....	10
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	12
A. “classification information” .....	12
B. “means for allocating classification information” .....	13
C. “archived” and “archiving” .....	15
D. “means for incorporating time information” .....	15

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
E. "data bank system" .....	16
VII. CLAIMS 1, 2, 6-11, 14-17 AND 21-24 OF THE '295 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	16
A. Ground 1 – Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 17, 21 and 24 Are Obvious Over Hassan in View of Witek Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	16
B. Brief Summary of Hassan (the "Telephone Unit") .....	17
C. Brief Summary of Witek (the "Server") .....	19
D. Hassan and Witek Are Properly Combinable .....	20
E. Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 17, 21 and 24 Are Obvious Over Hassan and Witek .....	24
1. Hassan in View of Witek Renders Claim 1 Obvious .....	24
a. Hassan Discloses the "Telephone Unit" (Claim 1[a]) .....	25
(1) "Telephone Portion for Making Telephone Call" .....	25
(2) "Digital Pick Up Unit for Recording Images" .....	27
(3) "Memory for Storing Digital Images" .....	28
(4) "Means for Allocating Classification Information" .....	28
(5) "Processor for Processing the Digital Images" .....	30
b. Hassan + Witek Disclose the "Server" (Claim 1[b]) .....	30
(1) "Receiving Unit" .....	31
(2) "Analysis Unit" .....	32
(3) "Memory" for Archiving the Digital Images .....	33
c. Hassan + Witek Disclose the "Transmission System" (Claim 1[c]) .....	35

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2. Claim 2 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	36
3. Claim 6 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	37
4. Claim 9 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	37
5. Claim 17 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	38
6. Claim 21 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	41
7. Claim 24 is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek .....	41
F. Hassan in View of Witek and Murphy Render Claim 14 Obvious .....	42
G. Each Limitation of Claims 7 and 22 Is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek, and Further in View of Quinn .....	44
H. Each Limitation of Claims 10, 11, 15 and 16 Is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek, and Further in View of Campbell .....	46
1. Hassan in View of Witek and Campbell Discloses Claim 10 .....	47
2. Hassan in View of Witek and Campbell Discloses Claim 11 .....	49
3. Hassan in View of Witek and Campbell Discloses Claim 15 .....	51
4. Hassan in View of Witek and Campbell Discloses Claim 16 .....	52
I. Each Limitation of Claims 8 and 23 Is Disclosed By Hassan in View of Witek, and Further in View of Burgess and RFC 937 .....	53
VIII. CONCLUSION .....	56

**List of Exhibits**

<b>Ex. No.</b>	<b>Title of Document</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295 to Heinz Mattes
<b>1002</b>	Declaration of William H. Beckmann, Ph.D.
<b>1003</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,550,646 to Ahmad Hassan et al.
<b>1004</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,461,488 to Keith E. Witek
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,898,675 to Frederick Murphy
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,115,326 to Ken L. Burgess et al.
<b>1007</b>	Joe Campbell, <i>C Programmer's Guide to Serial Communications</i> (2d ed. 1993), pp.135-180, 335-404.
<b>1008</b>	Gerald V. Quinn, <i>The Fax Handbook</i> (1989), pp.1-18, 61-69.
<b>1009</b>	M. Butler et al., <i>Network Working Group, Request for Comments (RFC) 937</i> (February 1985).
<b>1010</b>	Donald L. Schilling, <i>Wireless Communications Going Into the 21st Century</i> , in <i>IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology</i> , Vol. 43, No. 3, Aug. 1994, pp.645-652.
<b>1011</b>	Bodil Jönsson and Arne Svensk, <i>Isaac – A Personal Digital Assistant for the Differently Abled</i> , in <i>The European Context for Assistive Technology</i> (1995), pp.356-361.
<b>1012</b>	Caroline M. Halliday, <i>Hayes Modem Communications Companion</i> (1994), pp.36-53, 96-123.
<b>1013</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,729,741 to Anthony Liaguno et al.
<b>1014</b>	<i>Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary</i> (1991), p.92.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.