

Ann Marie Wahls  
Direct Dial: +1.312.876.7615  
annmarie.wahls@lw.com

330 North Wabash Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Chicago, Illinois 60611  
Tel: +1.312.876.7700 Fax: +1.312.993.9767  
www.lw.com

## LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

September 22, 2014

### VIA EMAIL

James P. Ulwick  
Kramon & Graham P.A.  
One South Street  
Suite 2600  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202  
[julwick@kg-law.com](mailto:julwick@kg-law.com)

### FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Abu Dhabi	Milan
Barcelona	Moscow
Beijing	Munich
Boston	New Jersey
Brussels	New York
Chicago	Orange County
Doha	Paris
Dubai	Riyadh
Düsseldorf	Rome
Frankfurt	San Diego
Hamburg	San Francisco
Hong Kong	Shanghai
Houston	Silicon Valley
London	Singapore
Los Angeles	Tokyo
Madrid	Washington, D.C.

Re: Paice LLC v. Ford Motor Company, Case No. 14-cv-00492-WDQ

I write to renew Ford's request that Paice limit the number of claims it presently asserts against Ford to a reasonable number. Before this suit, Paice notified Ford that it would assert 5 patents and over 250 patent claims against Ford. In response, Ford provided invalidity and noninfringement defenses to each of these claims. In addition, Paice already has a significant amount of information about these claims from its litigations with Toyota and Hyundai. As a result, Ford asked Paice to limit the claims at issue in this case to make this litigation more efficient, but you declined to do so.

Now, Paice is complaining to the Court about the number of IPRs Ford has filed. As you know, Ford must file a large number of IPRs to address over 250 claims. Ford, however, would be happy to reduce the number of IPRs if Paice agrees to limit the number of asserted claims. Please reconsider whether Paice will limit the number of asserted claims and, in exchange, Ford will agree to reduce the number of IPRs.

For example, Ford renews its request that Paice limit the number of claims presently asserted to 32 (no more than 10 per patent), and further reduce the number of asserted claims to 16 (from the initial set of 32 claims and no more than 5 per patent) after it receives Ford's invalidity contentions and document discovery.

Thank you for your consideration of this proposal. We look forward to your response.

Best regards,



Ann Marie Wahls