# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ————— BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD —————

#### FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner

V.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00758 Patent 7,237,634

\_\_\_\_

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTE	TRODUCTION1				
II.	PRO	CEDURAL BACKGROUND2				
III.	THE '634 PATENT					
	A.	Background of the '634 Patent				
	B.	Claim Construction				
		1.	"setpoint (SP)"	8		
IV.	ARGUMENT1					
	A.	The Board Should Exercise its Discretion to Reject Ford's Fifth Shot at the '634 Patent				
		1.	The Petition is Ford's Fifth Shot at the '634 Patent	17		
		2. Same	Ford Advances the Exact Same Prior Art and Substantially to Arguments			
		3.	Estoppel Considerations Support Rejecting Ford's Petition .	23		
	B.	The I	Petition is Procedurally Improper	25		
		1.	The Petition Improperly Incorporates by Reference	26		
		2.	The Petition Creates an Overly Voluminous Record	29		
	C.	Grounds 1, 2, and 3 Are Deficient Because Severinsky, Alone or in Combination with the Other References of Record, Does Not Render Obvious the Challenged Claims				
		1. Anal	Ford's Proposed Grounds Fail to Provide a Proper Obviousr ysis Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)			



	The Proposed Combinations Based on Severinksy Do Not Disclose A Setpoint
	3. The Proposed Combination of Severinsky and Frank Fails to Disclose or Render Obvious the Road Load-Based Hysteresis Claim
	Limitations. 39
V	CONCLUSION 44



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc., 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
Apple, Inc., v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc., IPR2015-00356, Paper 9 (PTAB Jun. 26, 2015)	30
Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, LLC, IPR2015-00448, Paper 9 (PTAB Jul. 10, 2015)	28
ASUSTeK Computer Inc. v. Exotablet, Ltd., IPR2015-00041, Paper 6 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015)	16
Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc., 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	33
Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc., IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014)	15, 19, 24
Cisco v. C-Cation Technologies, IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)	26, 27
Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. Procter & Gamble Company, IPR2014-00628, Paper 23 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2015)	16, 23, 24
In re Cortright, 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	7, 11
In re Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC, 778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015), reh'g denied, F.3d (Fed. Cir. Jul. 8, 2015)	7
CustomPlay, LLC v. ClearPlay, Inc., IPR2014-00783, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2014)	24



eBay Inc. v. MoneyCat Ltd., CBM2015-00008, Paper 9 (PTAB May 1, 2015)	24
Ex parte Clapp, 227 U.S.P.Q. 972 (BPAI 1985)	43
Ex parte Gunasekar, et al., Appeal 2009-008345, 2011 WL 3872007 (BPAI Aug. 29, 2011)	43
Fidelity National v. DataTreasury, IPR2014-00491, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2014)	26
Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	31, 33
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988	43
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	31, 43
MaxLinear, Inc. v. Cresta Technology Corp., IPR2015-00591, Paper 9 (PTAB Jun. 15, 2015)	21
Micro Motion, Inc. v. Invensys Systems, Inc., IPR2014-0393, Paper 16 (PTAB Aug. 4, 2014)	26
Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., No. 2014-1542, 2015 WL 3747257 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015)	7, 11
In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7, 11
In re Oelrich, 666 F.2d 578 (CCPA 1981)	33
Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP, IPR2015-00555, Paper 20 (PTAB Jun. 19, 2015)	16, 23
Shaw Industries Group, Inc. v. Automated Creel Sys., Inc., IPR2013-00584, Paper 16 (PTAB Dec. 21, 2013)	26



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

