

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC and THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Cases

IPR2015-00758 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00784 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00787 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00790 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00791 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00792 (Patent 8,214,097)
IPR2015-00799 (Patent 7,237,634)

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and
CARL M. DeFRANCO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2015-00758 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00784 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00787 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00790 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00791 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00792 (Patent 8,214,097)
IPR2015-00799 (Patent 7,237,634)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 7¹), Paice LLC and The Abell Foundation, Inc. (collectively, “Patent Owner”) filed a “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” (Paper 14, “Mot.”) of Daniel A. Tishman.² Patent Owner is expected to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel. Ford Motor Company (“Petitioner”) does not oppose Patent Owner’s Motion. Mot. 2. Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); see also *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).³

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission is *granted*, and Mr. Tishman is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in IPR2015-00758, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787, IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00792, and IPR2015-00799;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these *inter partes* review

¹ All citations are to IPR2015-00758 unless otherwise noted. IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787, IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00792, and IPR2015-00799 include the same motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Tishman, and the corresponding exhibits.

² Patent Owner also filed an affidavit of Mr. Tishman in support of the Motion (Ex. 2207).

³ Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2015-00758 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00784 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00787 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00790 (Patent 7,237,634)
IPR2015-00791 (Patent 7,237,634) IPR2015-00792 (Patent 8,214,097)
IPR2015-00799 (Patent 7,237,634)

proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Tishman is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and that Mr. Tishman is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

For PETITIONER:

Frank A. Angileri
John P. Rondini
John M. Halan
Todd W. Dishman
FPGP0104IPR4@brookskushman.com

Lissi Mojica
Kevin Greenleaf
iptdocketchi@dentons.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Timothy w. Riffe
Kevin E. Greene
Ruffin B. Cordell
Linda L. Kordziel
Brian J. Livedalen
IPR36351-0015IP4@fr.com