
**INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC's
EXHIBIT 2002**

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION, SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
SONY ELECTRONICS, INC., SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS
(USA) INC., SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS AB, and
SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00753
U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY	§	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, et al.,	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	CASE NO. 2:14-CV-201-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
v.	§	
	§	
HYUNDAI MOTOR CO., et al.,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court are the opening brief filed by Plaintiffs Innovative Display Technologies LLC and Delaware Display Group LLC (collectively, “Plaintiff”) (Dkt. No. 216), the response filed by Defendants Hyundai Motor Company, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, Kia Motors Manufacturing Georgia, Inc., Kia Motors America, Inc., Kia Motors Corporation, Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc., Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, Nissan Motor Co., Ltd., Nissan North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Corp., Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc., Subaru of Indiana Automotive, Inc., Gulf States Toyota, Inc., American Honda Motor Co., Inc., Honda of America Mfg., Inc., Honda Manufacturing of Alabama, LLC, Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC, Sprint Spectrum L.P., Sprint Solutions, Inc., Boost Mobile, LLC, Virgin Mobile USA, L.P., BMW of North America, LLC, BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. and Volkswagen Group of America Chattanooga Operations, LLC (collectively, “Defendants”) (Dkt. No. 221), and Plaintiff’s reply (Dkt. No. 224).

The Court held a claim construction hearing on April 29, 2015.

Table of Contents

I. BACKGROUND..... 3

II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES 4

III. THE PARTIES’ AGREED CONSTRUCTIONS..... 9

IV. CONSTRUCTION OF DISPUTED TERMS PREVIOUSLY CONSTRUED 10

 A. “continuous side walls” 10

 B. “transition region” 14

 C. “an air gap between the film, sheet, plate or substrate and the panel member” 16

 D. “predetermined” 20

 E. “well defined optical elements or deformities” and “optical elements or deformities of well defined shape” 21

 F. “pass through a liquid crystal display with low loss” 23

V. CONSTRUCTION OF DISPUTED TERMS NOT PREVIOUSLY CONSTRUED 26

 A. “one or more secondary flat, angled, faceted or curved reflective or refractive surfaces”. 26

 B. “in close proximity” 28

 C. “positioned near,” “positioned near the light emitting surface . . . and air gap between,” and “positioning a film near” 31

 D. “optical elements” 34

 E. “facilitate better mixing of light rays within the cavity or recess” 37

 F. “more in the width direction” 39

 G. “output distribution defined by a greater width component than height component” 44

 H. “greater cross-sectional width than thickness” 48

 I. “edge of said panel assembly” 48

 J. “for shining light through said panel member” 50

 K. “one or more light emitting diodes along said light input surface for receiving light from said light emitting diodes and conducting the light from said edge for emission of the light from at least one of said sides” 52

VI. CONCLUSION..... 55

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff brings suit alleging infringement of United States Patents No. 7,300,194 (“the ’194 Patent”), 7,384,177 (“the ’177 Patent”), 7,404,660 (“the ’660 Patent”), 7,434,974 (“the ’974 Patent”), 7,537,370 (“the ’370 Patent”), and 8,215,816 (“the ’816 Patent”) (collectively, the “Display Patents”) and United States Patents No. 6,508,563 (“the ’563 Patent”) and 6,886,956 (“the ’956 Patent”) (collectively, the “Auto Patents”).

All of the Display Patents are titled “Light Emitting Panel Assemblies” and “relate to the field of backlights, which can be used, for example, to illuminate LCDs [(liquid crystal displays)].” (Dkt. No. 216, at 1). All of the Display Patents claim priority to a common ancestor patent and bear an earliest priority date of June 27, 1995. The Display Patents, at least for purposes of the present claim construction proceedings, share a common written description and figures. The Abstract of the ’194 Patent is generally representative and states:

Light emitting assemblies include at least one light source and at least one film, sheet, plate or substrate having optical elements or deformities of well defined shape on at least one surface that have reflective or refractive surfaces for controlling the light output ray angle distribution of the emitted light. The film, sheet, plate or substrate may be positioned near the light emitting surface of a light emitting panel member with an air gap therebetween or over a cavity or recess in a tray through which light from a light source in the cavity or recess is emitted.

Both of the Auto Patents are titled “Light Emitting Panel Assemblies for Use in Automotive Applications and the Like.” Plaintiff submits that “[t]he Auto Patents generally relate to exterior auto lights such as taillights and headlights.” (Dkt. No. 216, at 1.) The ’563 Patent bears an earliest priority date of January 16, 1996. The ’956 Patent is a continuation of the ’563 Patent, and Plaintiff submits that the Auto Patents have “nearly identical written descriptions.” (Dkt. No. 216, at 1.) The Abstract of the ’563 Patent states:

Light emitting panel assemblies include in one form of the invention a light emitting panel member made of a transparent resiliently deformable elastomeric material that absorbs impact without breakage for use in automotive lighting applications of various types. In another form of the invention, a rigid light emitting panel member may be used with dome switches for switch area lighting or to backlight control buttons/key pads by providing holes or openings in the panel member for the control buttons/key pads. Also, a rigid light emitting panel member may be used as a structural member, and two or more such light emitting panel members may be stacked together and used to light an instrument panel or the like. One or more light sources may be mounted within one or more light transition areas adjacent one or more light input surfaces of the light emitting panel members. Also one or more light sources may be positioned adjacent one side of the light emitting panel members for causing light to shine through the panel members or through holes in the panel members for performing specified lighting functions.

Less than a year ago, the Court construed terms in the Display Patents in *Innovative Display Technologies LLC v. Acer Inc., et al.*, No. 2:13-CV-522, Dkt. No. 101, 2014 WL 4230037 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 26, 2014) (Payne, J.) (“*Acer*”),¹ *objections overruled*, Dkt. No. 219 (Dec. 15, 2014) (Gilstrap, J.). *Acer* also construed terms in United States Patent No. 6,755,547 (“the ’547 Patent”), which is related to the Display Patents but which is not asserted in the present case. Below, the Court divides the presently disputed terms into terms that the Court previously construed in *Acer* and terms that the Court has not previously construed, as Plaintiff has done in its briefing.

II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES

It is understood that “[a] claim in a patent provides the metes and bounds of the right which the patent confers on the patentee to exclude others from making, using or selling the protected invention.” *Burke, Inc. v. Bruno Indep. Living Aids, Inc.*, 183 F.3d 1334, 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Claim construction is clearly an issue of law for the court to decide. *Markman v.*

¹ Citations to *Acer* herein are to the slip opinion, which Plaintiff has attached to its opening brief as Exhibit I.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.