

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC
Patent Owner

Case:

U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. FLASCK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background And Qualifications	1
B. Information Considered	4
II. LEGAL STANDARDS	4
A. Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	5
B. Anticipation.....	6
C. Obviousness	7
D. Claim Construction	10
III. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND.....	11
A. Historical Perspective	11
B. Light Source/Lamp.....	14
C. Reflector	16
D. Light Guide	17
E. Reflector Sheet.....	19
F. Set Of Light Redirecting Sheets	19
G. Case/Frame/Tray.....	23
H. LCD Panel.....	24
IV. THE '194 PATENT'	25
A. Background Of The '194 Patent.....	25
B. Prosecution History Of The '194 Patent (Ex.1002).....	26
C. Challenged Claims	27
D. Claim Construction	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. "Deformities"	27
2. "Air Gap"	28
3. "Well Defined"	29
V. PRIOR ART ANALYSIS	30
A. Claims 16-18, 22-24, 26, 27, And 31 Are Unpatentable Under §102(a) As Being Anticipated By Matsuoka.....	30
B. Claims 16, 22, 23, 26, 27, And 31 Are Unpatentable Under §102(e) As Being Anticipated By Nishio '332.....	36
C. Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 12, And 28 Are Unpatentable Under § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Pristash	41
D. Claims 1, 10, 11, 15, 16, 22, 23, 27, And 31 Are Unpatentable Under § 102(e) As Being Anticipated By Funamoto	51
E. Claims 4, 5, 6, And 18 Are Unpatentable Under § 103(a) As Obvious Over Funamoto	69
F. Claims 1, 2-6, 11, 12, 14, 15, And 28 Are Unpatentable Under § 102(e) As Being Anticipated By Nishio '280	73
VI. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	87
VII. CONCLUSION	87

I, RICHARD A. FLASCK, declare and state as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Sony Corporation ("Sony") as an expert in the relevant art.

2. I have been asked to provide my opinions and views on the materials I have reviewed in this case related to Ex.1001, U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194 ("the '194 Patent"), and the scientific and technical knowledge regarding the same subject matter before and for a period following the date of the first application for the '194 Patent was filed.

3. I am being compensated at the rate of \$375/hour for my work, plus reimbursement for expenses. My compensation has not influenced any of my opinions in this matter and does not depend on the outcome of this proceeding or any issue in it.

4. My opinion and underlying reasoning for this opinion is set forth below.

A. Background And Qualifications

5. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Physics from the University of Michigan (Ann Arbor, MI) in 1970. I received a Master of Science degree in Physics from Oakland University (Rochester, MI) in 1976.

6. My forty-four year career has involved high tech product development, intellectual property issues, projection displays, optical design and components (including illumination systems), LCD flat panel displays and modules, backlighting of

flat panel displays, CCFL and LED product development, injection molding, chip-on-board development, and High Definition Television (HDTV) systems.

7. I founded Alphasil, Inc. in 1982 and was one of the first to work in amorphous silicon thin film transistor ("TFT") active matrix liquid crystal displays ("LCD"). As CEO of Alphasil, I was responsible for establishing the first amorphous silicon TFT LCD pilot production line (2000 square feet of class 10 cleanroom) in Fremont California in 1986.

8. My experience includes developing the TFT active matrix circuits and production processes, video controllers, scalers, gate drive circuits, data drive circuits, and cold cathode fluorescent lamp ("CCFL") backlight units ("BLU") for the LCD modules which Alphasil developed and sold. This activity included the design and development of BLUs and CCFL drive circuit technology.

9. At Alphasil, I pioneered the use of CCFLs in LCD backlight units (BLUs) starting in 1986. By 1988 I had managed the development of some of the first LCD backlight units (BLUs), including one of the first dimming inverters (then called "electronic ballasts") for driving CCFLs in such BLUs.

10. I founded RAF Electronics Corp. in 1989 and pioneered Liquid Crystal On Silicon ("LCOS") projection technology. My experience included developing LCD microdisplay circuits, video controller, scalers, and illumination systems for LCOS microdisplays.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.