

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUMENTUM HOLDINGS, INC., LUMENTUM, INC.,
LUMENTUM OPERATIONS LLC, CORIANT OPERATIONS, INC.,
CORIANT (USA) INC., CIENA CORPORATION,
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., and
FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Petitioners

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00739¹
Patent RE42,678

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

¹ Case IPR2015-01971 has been joined with this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board's August 25, 2015 Scheduling Order (Paper 8), Patent Owner Capella Photonics, Inc. respectfully requests oral argument, which is currently scheduled for May 24, 2016. Patent Owner provides the following requests for efficient oral hearings across IPR2015-00726, -00727, -00731, and -00739²:

- At least 60 minutes per side for the oral argument;
- A single oral hearing for all four *inter partes* review proceedings because all four of these IPR proceedings involve: the same panel of judges (APJs Cocks, Deshpande, and Tartal), the same patent owner (Capella), the same primary applied reference (Bouevitch), and generally the same set of arguments and evidence;
- A shared oral hearing transcript for all four *inter partes* review proceedings;
- The parties can allot the amount of time that is appropriate across the 60 minutes to argue each of the four cases;
- The parties can assign different attorneys to argue different cases and different issues during the allotted time;

² Petitioners Lumentum and Fujitsu confirmed they will oppose Patent Owner's proposed oral hearing format.

- The argument take place in Conference Room A based on the number of Patent Owner participants and the number of Petitioners; and
- The argument start at 10AM EST.

Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be argued³:

1. [IPR2015-00726]: Whether claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9–12, and 15–21 of U.S. Patent No. RE42,368 (“’368 patent”) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch and Carr;
2. [IPR2015-00726]: Whether claims 1–4, 17, and 22 of the ’368 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch and Sparks;
3. [IPR2015-00727]: Whether claims 1, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 44, 53, 61, 64, and 65 of U.S. Patent No. RE42,678 (“’678 patent”) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch and Carr;
4. [IPR2015-00727]: Whether claims 1–4, 19–23, 27, 29, 44–46, and 61–63 of the ’678 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch and Sparks;

³ Although this Request only applies to the IPR2015-00739 and IPR2015-01971 proceedings, all issues that would be argued if the requested single oral argument is granted are listed in this and all other related requests.

5. [IPR2015-00731]: Whether claims 1–6, 9–11, 13, and 15–22 of the '368 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch, Sparks, and Lin;
6. [IPR2015-00731]: Whether claim 12 of the '368 patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch, Sparks, Lin, and Dueck;
7. [IPR2015-00739]: Whether claims 1–4, 9, 10, 13, 19–23, 27, 44–46, and 61–65 of the '678 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch, Sparks, and Lin;
8. [IPR2015-00739]: Whether claims 17, 29, and 53 of the '678 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bouevitch, Sparks, Lin, and Dueck;
9. Any issues specified by Petitioner in its Request for Oral Argument;
10. Rebuttal to Petitioner's presentation on all matters; and
11. Any other outstanding motions, pleadings, and other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Patent Owner requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display possible demonstratives and exhibits, including the use of a computer, projector, and screen.

Date: April 14, 2016

Respectfully submitted,
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
(202) 371-2600

/Jason D. Eisenberg/

Jason Eisenberg, Reg. No. 43,447
Attorney for Patent Owner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.