

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00731
Patent RE42,368

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND8

 A. Optical circulators limited the scalability of optical switches8

 B. The '368 patent captured a novel switch scalable to a large number of ports and to a large number of spectral channels10

 C. Claims.....13

III. CLAIMS 1-6, 9-13, AND 15-22 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF BOUEVITCH, SPARKS, AND LIN.....14

 A. Petitioner’s obviousness challenge is based on impermissible hindsight14

 1. Petitioner’s obviousness challenge relies on various elements pulled from distinctly different embodiments of Bouevitch15

 2. A POSA would not have used a more complex two-axis mirror to achieve the same function as a one-axis mirror.....18

 3. Replacing the single-axis mirror in Bouevitch with the two-axis mirror of Sparks is not a simple substitution20

 B. Bouevitch teaches away from misalignment for power control as described in Sparks.....23

 C. Bouevitch and Sparks are incompatible technologies.....27

 D. Bouevitch and Dueck are incompatible technologies29

 E. Bouevitch does not teach or suggest “input port,” “output port,” and “one or more [other/drop/add] ports” as recited in independent claims 1, 15 and 16.31

 1. Petitioner incorrectly maps the '368 patent’s claimed “ports” to Bouevitch’s “circulator ports”32

2.	Proper meaning of the term “port,” as recited in the ’368 patent claims	33
3.	The ’368 patent disavows circulator ports	34
4.	The claimed preamble requires all elements to be in an “optical add drop apparatus,” so a POSA would have not construed the claimed ports to include circulator ports.....	39
5.	The ’368 patent’s provisional application is consistent with the construction that the claimed ports are not circulator ports.....	39
6.	The meaning of the term “port,” as recited in the claims, was understood by a POSA.....	42
7.	Bouevitch at most has two ports as recited in the ’368 patent .	43
F.	The configuration depicted in Bouevitch’s Figure 11 does not reflect light beams into the circulator ports.....	44
G.	The applied references do not teach or suggest beam deflecting elements that are continuously controllable in two dimensions as recited in independent claims 1, 15, 16 and 17	45
1.	Petitioner misconstrues “continuously controllable”	46
2.	Petitioner concedes that Bouevitch does not teach or suggest beam-deflecting elements that are continuously controllable in two dimensions	47
3.	Sparks does not meet the claimed beam-deflecting elements that are continuously controllable in two dimensions	48
4.	Lin’s one-axis mirror does not meet the claimed beam-deflecting elements that are continuously controllable in two dimensions	49
H.	Petitioner fails to provide <i>KSR</i> rationale for combining Sparks and Lin	52

I. Petitioner does not explain how or why a POSA would have incorporated Sparks’s alleged servo control into Bouevitch53

IV. THE INDUSTRY ADOPTED CAPELLA’S OPTICAL CONFIGURATION54

A. The industry recognized the advantages presented in Capella’s optical configuration55

B. Experts, including Petitioner’s expert, adopted Capella’s ROADM configuration56

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Reference
2001	Defendant's Motion to Transfer Venue, <i>Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , Case Number: 1:14-cv-20529-PAS, Docket No. 19, April 4, 2014.
2002	<i>Capella Photonics Launches Dynamically Reconfigurable Wavelength Routing Subsystems, Offering Unprecedented Operating Cost Savings and Flexibility for Telecom Service Providers</i> , Business Wire (June 2, 2003, 8:16 AM), http://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20030602005554/en/Capella-Photonics-Launches-Dynamically-Reconfigurable-Wavelength-Routing .
2003	WavePath 4500 Product Brief, Capella, http://www.capellainc.com/downloads/WavePath%204500%20Product%20Brief%20030206B.pdf .
2004	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/183,155
2005	Deposition Transcript of Dan M. Marom, Ph.D.
2006	Benjamin B. Dingel & Achyut Dutta, <i>Photonic Add-Drop Multiplexing Perspective for Next Generation Optical Networks</i> , 4532 SPIE 394 (2001).
2007	Tze-Wei Yeow, K. L. Eddie Law, & Andrew Goldenberg, <i>MEMS Optical Switches</i> , 39 IEEE Comm. I Mag. no. 11, 158 (2001).
2008	Clifford Holliday, Components for R-OADM's '05 (B & C Consulting Services & IGI Consulting Inc. 2005) (Excerpts).
2009	Patrick B. Chu et al., <i>MEMS: the Path to Large Optical Crossconnects</i> , 40 IEEE Comm. I Mag. no. 3, 80 (2002).
2010	Clifford Holliday, <i>Switching the Lightwave: OXC's – The Centerpiece of All Optical Network</i> (IGI Consulting Inc. & B & C Consulting Services 2001) (Excerpts).
2011	An Vu Tran et al., <i>Reconfigurable Multichannel Optical Add-Drop Multiplexers Incorporating Eight-Port Optical Circulators and Fiber Bragg Gratings</i> , 13 Photonics Tech. Letters, IEEE, no. 10, 1100 (2001).
2012	Jungho Kim & Byoungho Lee, <i>Bidirectional Wavelength Add-Drop Multiplexer Using Multiport Optical Circulators and Fiber Bragg Gratings</i> , 12 IEEE Photonics Tech. Letters no. 5, 561 (2000).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.