

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00727
Patent RE42,678 E

**DECLARATION OF DR. ALEXANDER V. SERGIENKO
IN SUPPORT OF THE PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

Mail Stop "Patent Board"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Capella 2033

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	1
III.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED FOR THIS DECLARATION	4
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE LAW USED FOR THIS DECLARATION	10
	A. Level of Skill in the Art.....	11
	B. Obviousness.....	12
	C. Obviousness to Combine.....	14
	D. Claim Construction.....	15
V.	INSTITUTED GROUNDS.....	15
VI.	TECHNOLOGY	15
	A. General Overview.....	15
	B. Use of Circulators at the Time of the Invention.....	21
	C. Use of MEMS Switches at the Time of the Invention	25
	D. Optical Components at the Time of the Invention	26
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '678 PATENT AND APPLIED REFERENCES....	29
	A. The '678 Patent	30
	B. Bouevitch.....	39
	1. Bouevitch's Fig 11 Has Only 2 Ports and Therefore Needs a Circulator.....	39
	2. Bouevitch Discloses Two Distinct 2 Modifying Means	41
	3. Bouevitch's Figure 11 Does Not Control Power	52
	C. Carr	53
	D. Sparks	53
VIII.	INDEPENDENT CLAIM ELEMENTS.....	54
	A. Fiber Collimators, Providing an Input Port and a Plurality of Output Ports.....	54
IX.	REJECTIONS	68
	A. Ground 2: Bouevitch in View of Carr Does Not Render Obvious Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-12, and 15-21.	68
	1. Petitioner's Proposed Combination Would Destroy Bouevitch's Principle of Operation.	69

2.	Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Would Have Only Been Done Through Impermissible Hindsight.....	73
3.	Bouevitch Does Not Teach Three Ports as Ports are Claimed in the ’678 Patent.....	87
4.	Bouevitch and Carr Do Not Disclose Beam-deflecting Elements That Switch Spectral Channels to any Output Port.....	98
B.	Ground 3: Bouevitch in View of Sparks Does Not Render Obvious Claims 1-4, 17, and 22.....	99
1.	Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Would Have Only Been Done Through Impermissible Hindsight.....	100
2.	Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Would Have Only Been Done Through Impermissible Hindsight.....	105
3.	Bouevitch Does Not Teach Three Ports as Ports are Claimed in the ’678 Patent.....	119
4.	Bouevitch and Sparks Do Not Disclose Beam- deflecting Elements That Switch Spectral Channels to any Output Port.....	130
X.	CONCLUSION.....	132

I, Dr. Alexander V. Sergienko, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Alexander V. Sergienko. Capella Photonics, Inc. has retained me as an expert witness. I have been asked to provide my expert opinion on the validity of claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46, 53 and 61-65 of U.S. Patent No. RE42,678 to Wilde et al. (“678 patent”).

2. I am being compensated for my work at a rate of \$400 per hour. My compensation is not contingent upon and in no way affects the substance of my testimony.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

3. I have a Ph.D. in Physics from Moscow State University in 1987 and a Master of Science Degree in Physics from Moscow State University in 1981.

4. I am currently a full professor at Boston University where I hold joint appointments in the Photonics Center, the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, and the Department of Physics. My expertise and research interests include optics, photonics, quantum physics, laser physics, nonlinear optics, and precise optical measurement in telecommunication and optical engineering.

5. I have experience and familiarity with the technical areas involved in this case. With over 30 years of research experience in the field of optics, I have

studied and worked with optical components such as those at issue in this case. For example, during my tenure as a Director of the Quantum Communication and Measurement Laboratory at the Boston University Photonics Center, I developed quantum optical technologies for high-resolution evaluation of optical device parameters (*e.g.*, fibers, switches, and amplifiers). With this research I have evaluated the differences in wavelength selective switches produced by commercial vendors. I have thus studied switching technologies such as microelectromechanical (“MEMS”) mirrors, liquid crystal (“LC”), combined MEMS+LC, and liquid crystal on silicon (“LCOS”).

6. For more than a decade, my focus has been on high-resolution measurement of polarization mode dispersion (“PMD”) in modern wavelength selective switches operating in 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/c telecommunication reconfigurable optical add-drop multiplexer networks. I have worked to develop measurement technologies that are based on the use of quantum properties of light and enable measurement of PMD in discrete telecommunication devices, fibers, and switches with a superior resolution of $< 1\text{fs}$. For details on my research regarding high-resolution measurement of PMD, see, *e.g.*, Fraine, D.S. Simon, O. Minaeva, R. Egorov, and A.V. Sergienko, *Precise Evaluation of Polarization Mode Dispersion by Separation of Even- and Odd-Order Effects in Quantum*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.