

Principles of Optics

*Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation,
Interference and Diffraction of Light*

by

MAX BORN

M.A., Dr.Phil., F.R.S.

Nobel Laureate

Formerly Professor at the Universities of Göttingen and Edinburgh

and

EMIL WOLF

Ph.D., D.Sc.

Professor of Physics, University of Rochester, N.Y.

with contributions by

A. B. BHATIA, P. C. CLEMMOW, D. GABOR, A. R. STOKES,

A. M. TAYLOR, P. A. WAYMAN and W. L. WILCOCK

SIXTH (CORRECTED) EDITION



PERGAMON PRESS

OXFORD · NEW YORK · BEIJING · FRANKFURT
SÃO PAULO · SYDNEY · TOKYO · TORONTO

U.K.	Pergamon Press, Headington Hill Hall, Oxford OX3 0BW, England
U.S.A.	Pergamon Press, Maxwell House, Fairview Park, Elmsford, New York 10523, U.S.A.
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA	Pergamon Press, Qianmen Hotel, Beijing, People's Republic of China
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY	Pergamon Press, Hammerweg 6, D-6242 Kronberg, Federal Republic of Germany
BRAZIL	Pergamon Editora, Rua Eça de Queiros, 346, CEP 04011, São Paulo, Brazil
AUSTRALIA	Pergamon Press Australia, P.O. Box 544, Potts Point, N.S.W. 2011, Australia
JAPAN	Pergamon Press, 8th Floor, Matsuoka Central Building, 1-7-1 Nishishinjuku, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan
CANADA	Pergamon Press Canada, Suite 104, 150 Consumers Road, Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1P9, Canada

Copyright © 1980 Max Born and Emil Wolf

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means: electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the publishers.

First edition 1959
Second (revised) edition 1964
Third (revised) edition 1965
Fourth (revised) edition 1970
Fifth (revised) edition 1975
Reprinted 1975, 1977
Sixth edition 1980
Reprinted (with corrections) 1983
Reprinted 1984
Reprinted (with corrections) 1986
Reprinted 1987

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Born, Max
Principles of optics—6th ed. (with corrections).
1. Optics
I. Title II. Wolf, Emil
535 QC351 80-41470
ISBN 0-08-026482-4 Hardcover
ISBN 0-08-026481-6 Flexicover

Printed in Great Britain by A. Wheaton & Co. Ltd., Exeter

TABLE XXVI

The "penetration depth" d for copper for radiation in three familiar regions of the spectrum, calculated with the static conductivity $\sigma \sim 5.14 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $\mu = 1$.

Radiation	Infra-red	Microwaves	Long radio waves
λ_0 d	10^{-3} cm $6.1 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm}$	10 cm $6.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$	$1000 \text{ m} = 10^5 \text{ cm}$ $6.1 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm}$

A perfect conductor is characterized by infinitely large conductivity ($\sigma \rightarrow \infty$). Since according to (16), $\epsilon/\sigma = (1 - \kappa^2)/\nu\kappa$, we have in this limiting case $\kappa^2 \rightarrow 1$, or by (16a), $n \rightarrow \infty$. Such a conductor would not permit the penetration of an electromagnetic wave to any depth at all and would reflect all the incident light (cf. § 13.2 below).

Whilst the refractive index of transparent substances may easily be measured from the angle of refraction, such measurements are extremely difficult to carry out for metals, because a specimen of the metal which transmits any appreciable fraction of incident light has to be exceedingly thin. Nevertheless KUNDT* succeeded in constructing metal prisms that enabled direct measurements of the real and imaginary parts of the complex refractive index to be made. Usually, however, the optical constants of metals are determined by means of katoptric rather than dioptric experiments, i.e. by studying the changes which light undergoes on reflection from a metal, rather than by means of measurements on the light transmitted through it.

13.2. REFRACTION AND REFLECTION AT A METAL SURFACE

We have seen that the basic equations relating to the propagation of a plane time-harmonic wave in a conducting medium differ from those relating to propagation in a transparent dielectric only in that the real constants ϵ and k are replaced by complex constants $\hat{\epsilon}$ and \hat{k} . It follows that the formulae derived in Chapter I, as far as they involve only linear relations between the components of the field vectors of plane monochromatic waves, apply also in the present case. In particular, the boundary conditions for the propagation of a wave across a surface of discontinuity and hence also the formulae of § 1.5 relating to refraction and reflection remain valid.

Consider first the propagation of a plane wave from a dielectric into a conductor, both media being assumed to be of infinite extent, the surface of contact between them being the plane $z = 0$. By analogy with § 1.5 (8) the law of refraction is

$$\sin \theta_t = \frac{1}{\hat{n}} \sin \theta_i. \quad (1)$$

Since \hat{n} is complex, so is θ_t , and this quantity therefore no longer has the simple significance of an angle of refraction.

Let the plane of incidence be the xz -plane. The space-dependent part of the phase of the wave in the conductor is given by $\hat{k}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{(t)})$ where (cf. § 1.5 (4))

$$s_x^{(t)} = \sin \theta_t, \quad s_y^{(t)} = 0, \quad s_z^{(t)} = \cos \theta_t. \quad (2)$$

* A. KUNDT, *Ann. d. Physik*, **34** (1888). 469.

From (1) and (2) and § 13.1 (15)

$$s_x^{(t)} = \sin \theta_t = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{n(1 + i\kappa)} = \frac{1 - i\kappa}{n(1 + \kappa^2)} \sin \theta_i, \quad (3a)$$

$$s_z^{(t)} = \cos \theta_t = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta_t} \\ = \sqrt{1 - \frac{(1 - \kappa^2)}{n^2(1 + \kappa^2)^2} \sin^2 \theta_i + i \frac{2\kappa}{n^2(1 + \kappa^2)^2} \sin^2 \theta_i}. \quad (3b)$$

It is convenient to express $s_z^{(t)}$ in the form

$$s_z^{(t)} = \cos \theta_t = qe^{i\gamma} \quad (4)$$

(q, γ real). Expressions for q and γ in terms of n, κ and $\sin \theta_i$ are immediately obtained on squaring (3b) and (4) and equating real and imaginary parts. This gives

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q^2 \cos 2\gamma &= 1 - \frac{1 - \kappa^2}{n^2(1 + \kappa^2)^2} \sin^2 \theta_i, \\ q^2 \sin 2\gamma &= \frac{2\kappa}{n^2(1 + \kappa^2)^2} \sin^2 \theta_i. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{(t)}) &= \frac{\omega}{c} n(1 + i\kappa)(xs_x^{(t)} + zs_z^{(t)}) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{c} n(1 + i\kappa) \left[\frac{x(1 - i\kappa)}{n(1 + \kappa^2)} \sin \theta_i + z(q \cos \gamma + iq \sin \gamma) \right] \\ &= \frac{\omega}{c} [x \sin \theta_i + znq (\cos \gamma - \kappa \sin \gamma) + inzq(\kappa \cos \gamma + \sin \gamma)]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We see that the surfaces of constant amplitude are given by

$$z = \text{constant}, \quad (7)$$

and are, therefore, planes parallel to the boundary. The surfaces of constant real phase are given by

$$x \sin \theta_i + znq (\cos \gamma - \kappa \sin \gamma) = \text{constant}, \quad (8)$$

and are planes whose normals make an angle θ'_t with the normal to the boundary, where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \cos \theta'_t &= \frac{nq(\cos \gamma - \kappa \sin \gamma)}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_i + n^2 q^2 (\cos \gamma - \kappa \sin \gamma)^2}}, \\ \sin \theta'_t &= \frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_i + n^2 q^2 (\cos \gamma - \kappa \sin \gamma)^2}}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (9)$$

Since the surfaces of constant amplitude and the surfaces of constant phase do not in general coincide with each other, the wave in the metal is an *inhomogeneous wave*.

If we denote the square root in (9) by n' , the equation for $\sin \theta'_t$ may be written in the form $\sin \theta' = \sin \theta_i / n'$, i.e. it has the form of SNELL'S law. However, n' depends

now not only on the quantities that specify the medium, but also on the angle of incidence θ_i .

We may also derive expressions for the amplitude and the phase of the refracted and reflected waves by substituting for θ_t the complex value given by (1) in the FRESNEL formulae (§ 1.5.2). The explicit expressions will be given in § 13.4.1 in connection with the theory of stratified conducting media. Here we shall consider how the optical constants of the metal may be deduced from observation of the reflected wave.

Since we assumed that the first medium is a dielectric, the reflected wave is an ordinary (homogeneous) wave with a real phase factor. As in § 1.5 (21a) the amplitude components A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp} of the incident wave and the corresponding components R_{\parallel} , R_{\perp} of the reflected wave are related by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_{\parallel} &= \frac{\tan(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\tan(\theta_i + \theta_t)} A_{\parallel}, \\ R_{\perp} &= -\frac{\sin(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\sin(\theta_i + \theta_t)} A_{\perp}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

Since θ_t is now complex, so are the ratios $R_{\parallel}/A_{\parallel}$ and R_{\perp}/A_{\perp} , i.e. characteristic phase changes occur on reflection; thus incident linearly polarized light will in general become elliptically polarized on reflection at the metal surface. Let ϕ_{\parallel} and ϕ_{\perp} be the phase changes, and ρ_{\parallel} and ρ_{\perp} the absolute values of the reflection coefficients, i.e.

$$r_{\parallel} = \frac{R_{\parallel}}{A_{\parallel}} = \rho_{\parallel} e^{i\phi_{\parallel}}, \quad r_{\perp} = \frac{R_{\perp}}{A_{\perp}} = \rho_{\perp} e^{i\phi_{\perp}}. \quad (11)$$

Suppose that the incident light is *linearly polarized* in the azimuth α_i i.e.

$$\tan \alpha_i = \frac{A_{\perp}}{A_{\parallel}}, \quad (12)$$

and let α_r be the azimuthal angle (generally complex) of the light that is reflected. Then*

$$\tan \alpha_r = \frac{R_{\perp}}{R_{\parallel}} = -\frac{\cos(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\cos(\theta_i + \theta_t)} \tan \alpha_i = P e^{-i\Delta} \tan \alpha_i, \quad (13)$$

where

$$P = \frac{\rho_{\perp}}{\rho_{\parallel}}, \quad \Delta = \phi_{\parallel} - \phi_{\perp}. \quad (14)$$

We note that α_r is real in the following two cases:

- (1) For normal incidence ($\theta_i = 0$); then $P = 1$ and $\Delta = -\pi$, so that $\tan \alpha_r = -\tan \alpha_i$.
- (2) For grazing incidence ($\theta_i = \pi/2$); then $P = 1$ and $\Delta = 0$, so that $\tan \alpha_r = \tan \alpha_i$.

It should be remembered that in the case of normal incidence the directions of the incident and reflected rays are opposed; thus the negative sign implies that the

* We write $-i\Delta$ rather than $+i\Delta$ in the exponent on the right-hand side of (13) to facilitate comparison with certain results of § 1.5.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.