

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2015-00722, Paper No. 35
IPR2015-00784, Paper No. 34
IPR2015-00787, Paper No. 34
IPR2015-00790, Paper No. 33
IPR2015-00791, Paper No. 34
IPR2015-00794, Paper No. 30
IPR2015-00795; Paper No. 30
August 11, 2016

571-272-7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2015-00722, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787,
IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794,
and IPR2015-00795
Patents 7,237,634 B2 and 7,104,347 B2

Held: June 28, 2016

BEFORE: SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE,
and CARL M. DeFRANCO, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, June 28, 2016, commencing at 9:03 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Cases IPR2015-00722, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787,
IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794,
IPR2015-00795; Patents 7,237,634 B2 and 7,104,347 B2

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

FRANK ANGILERI, ESQUIRE
JOHN RONDINI, ESQUIRE
Brooks Kushman P.C.
1000 Town Center
22nd Floor
Southfield, Michigan 48075

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE
Fish & Richardson P.C.
1425 K Street, NW
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005

Cases IPR2015-00722, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787,
IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794,
IPR2015-00795; Patents 7,237,634 B2 and 7,104,347 B2

1 MR. LIVEDALEN: Good morning, Your Honor.
2 Brian Livedalen from the law firm of Fish & Richardson for
3 Patent Owner. With me here is Linda Kordziel. I'll be handling
4 all the oral arguments. With me also here is the representative
5 from Patent Owner, Francis Keenan.

6 JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
7 We got new equipment, so bear with us for a minute.
8 All right. It seems to be working.
9 Okay. Petitioner, you may begin.

10 MR. ANGILERI: Thank you, Your Honor. Again,
11 Frank Angileri for Petitioner. At counsel table is Andy Turner
12 and then also with us are Lissi Mojica, Kevin Greenleaf from the
13 Dentons firm, Sangeela Shah from Brooks Kushman, our firm,
14 and Matt Moore from Latham Watkins and then David Kelley
15 from Ford.

16 We have the hard copies of the demonstratives for today
17 and tomorrow. Would you like those?

18 JUDGE MEDLEY: I would like a copy.

19 MR. ANGILERI: As the Board knows, we are -- we
20 broke this into three groups. In general there are a lot of new
21 issues today, but there's also a lot of old issues. In fact, there may
22 be more old issues than new issues.

23 In the broad sense Group 1 relates to seven petitions
24 that are all centered in part on the Ibaraki '882 patent. Group 2

Cases IPR2015-00722, IPR2015-00784, IPR2015-00787,
IPR2015-00790, IPR2015-00791, IPR2015-00794,
IPR2015-00795; Patents 7,237,634 B2 and 7,104,347 B2

1 tomorrow morning are two petitions that focus on the priority
2 issues and the PCT and Severinsky prior art. And then Group 3,
3 the primary references are Severinsky and Bumby, which the
4 Board looked at in the final decisions from last year.

5 The common theme for last year and this year is this
6 idea of torque-based mode selection. And what I think these
7 arguments today show is that that torque-based mode selection is
8 very well-known in the art and was very well-known in the art
9 before the Paice patents were filed.

10 Again, last year it focused on Severinsky and Bumby
11 and a Caraceni reference as well as a Tabata reference. This year
12 the focus is -- today, anyway, is on Ibaraki.

13 Today we'll also look at the fact that the claims at issue
14 add a number of well-known features. These were -- they don't
15 really change the fact that the torque-based mode selection is old
16 and the well-known features don't add much to these claims.

17 What we've tried to do to organize this in both the
18 presentation today and tomorrow, we've got two things that we've
19 tried to do to organize these issues.

20 First, in slide 2 on the left we have the various issues
21 that are addressed in these seven petitions and then across the top
22 we try to explain where in each of these petitions these issues
23 arise.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.