

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC. AND TWITTER, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

SUMMIT 6, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00686  
Patent 7,765,482

---

**JOINT REQUEST THAT APPLE/SUMMIT 6 AND TWITTER/SUMMIT  
6 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BE TREATED AS BUSINESS  
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND KEPT SEPARATE UNDER 37  
C.F.R. § 42.74(c)**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exh. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,765,482 to Wood <i>et al.</i> , issued July 27, 2010 (“the ’482 patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Declaration of Dr. Andrew Lippman in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,765,482, February 4, 2015 with Curriculum Vitae (“Lippman Decl.”)
<b>1003</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,930,709 to Creamer <i>et al.</i> , issued August 16, 2005 (“Creamer”)
<b>1004</b>	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/067,310 to Creamer, filed December 4, 1997 (“Creamer ’97”)
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/085,585 to Creamer, filed May 15, 1998 (“Creamer ’98”)
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295 to Mattes, issued March 14, 2000 (“Mattes”)
<b>1007</b>	Claim Construction Order, Summit 6 LLC v. Research in Motion Corp., CA No. 3:11-cv-367-O (N.D. Tex., May 21, 2012) (“Claim Constr. Order”)
<b>1008</b>	Partial File History of Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 7,764,482, Control No. 90/012,987 (“Reexam FH”)
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,612,515 to Wood <i>et al.</i> , issued December 17, 2013 (“the ’515 patent”)
<b>1010</b>	Intentionally Left Blank
<b>1011</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,092,114 to Shaffer <i>et al.</i> , issued July 18, 2000 (“Shaffer”)
<b>1012</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,223,190 to Aihara <i>et al.</i> , issued April 24, 2001 (“Aihara”)
<b>1013</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,875,296 to Shi <i>et al.</i> , issued February 23, 1999 (“Shi”)
<b>1014</b>	EP 0838774A2 Application (DE), published April 29, 1998 (“Bandini”)
<b>1015</b>	Godin, <i>You’ve Got Pictures: AOL’s Guide to Digital Imaging</i>

Exh. No.	Description
	(1998) (“Godin”)
1016	Lu <i>et al.</i> , <i>eWorld – The Official Guide for Macintosh Users</i> , Hayden Books, 1994 (“eWorld”)
1017	Jain <i>et al.</i> , “The Design and Performance of MedJava,” Proceedings of the 4th USENIX Conference, on Object-Oriented Technologies and Systems (COOTS), April 1998 (“MedJava”)
1018	U.S. Patent No. 6,018,774 to Mayle <i>et al.</i> , issued January 25, 2000 (“Mayle”)
1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,567,122 to Anderson <i>et al.</i> , issued May 20, 2003 (“Anderson ’122”)
1020	U.S. Patent No. 6,118,480 to Anderson <i>et al.</i> , issued September 12, 2000 (“Anderson ’480”)
1021	Rose <i>et al.</i> , <i>NeXTSTEP Applications Manual</i> (1990) (“NeXTSTEP”)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 6,370,193 to Lee <i>et al.</i> , issued April 9, 2002 (“Lee”)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,075,528 to Curtis, issued June 13, 2000 (“Curtis”)
1024	U.S. Patent No. 6,895,557 to Wood <i>et al.</i> , issued May 17, 2005 (“the ’557 patent”)
1025	Opening Claim Construction Brief of Plaintiff Summit 6, LLC, <i>Summit 6 LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 7:14-cv-00014 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 29, 2014) (“Op. CC Brief”)
1026	Defendants’ Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>Summit 6 LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 7:14-cv-00014 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 30, 2014) (“Def. Op. CC Brief”)
1027	Amended Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, <i>Summit 6 LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 7:14-cv-00014 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 27, 2014) (“Joint Claim Constr.”)
1028	Ahuja, Jasmine J., <i>Client-Server Applications in Java</i> , Pace Univ. Dec. 1997 (“Ahuja”)
1029	Transcript of Patent Trial and Appeal Board Teleconference in

<b>Exh. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
	Cases IPR2015-00685, -00686, -00687, and -00688, Wednesday, March 11, 2015
<b>1030</b>	Settlement Agreement between Summit 6, LLC and Twitter, Inc. [Confidential]
<b>1031</b>	Settlement Agreement between Summit 6, LLC and Apple Inc. [Confidential]

## **BACKGROUND**

The Board sent an e-mail on June 8, 2015 authorizing (1) Apple and Summit 6 to file their settlement agreement and (2) Twitter and Summit 6 to file their settlement agreement, each agreement being in support of a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned *inter partes* review, Case No. IPR2015-00686 (the “Review”). If requested, the parties are entitled to have any filed agreement be treated as business confidential information, and be kept separate from the files of the involved patent. 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

In a concurrent filing, the patent owner Summit 6 and the petitioner Apple and Twitter have jointly requested termination of the above-captioned *inter partes* review. They have also submitted, as part of that joint request, a true copy of the individual settlement agreements between them as Exhibits 1030 and 1031.

## **RELIEF REQUESTED**

Summit 6 and Apple/Twitter jointly request that the Office treat the settlement agreements (Exhibits 1030 and 1031) as business confidential information, that the agreements be kept separate from the file of the involved patents, and the agreements be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.